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## Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {M }}$ Index (Elder Index) California Counties
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| Alameda |
| :---: |
| Alpine |
| Amador |
| Butte |
| Calaveras |
| Colusa |
| Contra Costa |
| Del Norte |
| El Dorado |
| Fresno |
| Glenn |
| Humboldt |
| Imperial |
| Inyo |
| Kern |
| Kings |
| Lake |
| Lassen |
| Los Angeles City |
| Rest of Los Angeles County |
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| Madera | San Luis Obispo |
| :---: | :---: |
| Marin | San Mateo |
| Mariposa | Santa Barbara |
| Mendocino | Santa Clara |
| Merced | Santa Cruz |
| Modoc | Shasta |
| Mono | Sierra |
| Monterey | Siskiyou |
| Napa | Solano |
| Nevada | Sonoma |
| Orange | Stanislaus |
| Placer | Sutter |
| Plumas | Tehama |
| Riverside | Trinity |
| Sacramento | Tulare |
| San Benito | Tuolumne |
| San Bernardino | Ventura |
| San Diego | Yolo |
| San Francisco | Yuba |
| San Joaquin | Statewide Totals |

## Supplemental Sheets

| Below Elder Index County | Federal Poverty Level and |
| :---: | :---: |
| Comparisons | Elder Index Compa |

## County Comparisons, Annual Elder Index Amounts

Elder Renters Elder Owners w/o Mortgage Elder Owners w/ Mortgage

## Supporting Data

Average Annual Social Security Inflation Adjustments Housing Type by County

For full report on Annual Elder Index Amounts see: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index08feb.html

Financial support for the Elder Economic Security Initiative development provided by: Aging \& Independent Services of San Diego, Alameda County Social Services Agency Adult and Aging Services, Area Agency on Aging of the County of Los Angeles, The California Endowment,California Community Foundation, California Policy Research Center, The California Wellness Foundation, City of Los Angeles Department of Aging, Council on Aging-Silicon Valley, Health Trust, Wider Opportunities for Women, UC Program on Access to Care, United Way of the Bay

Area, and Y \& H Soda Foundation.

For the complete report and methodology visit:
http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program visit:
http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html


# California <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {M }}$ Index (Elder Index) 

Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index
ederal Poverty Level (FPL)

## Above FPL <br> and <br> Below the Elder Index

## Living Alone <br> (1 person households)

## Couples

(2 person households)

Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)

The Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index is the basic income needed to make ends meet for persons age 65 and older. It reflects actual costs at the county level for housing, health care, food, transportation, and other costs in different housing types.

Source: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index08feb.html

Offically called the Federal Poverty Guideline (also called the Federal Poverty Level or FPL), it is used by many public programs to calculate eligibility. In 2007, the Federal Poverty Level for one adult age 65+, living anywhere in the country was $\$ 10,210$ per year.

Source: http://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/

Persons age 65 and older whose income is in the gap above the Federal Poverty Level and below the Elder Index. These elders often do no qualify for public supports and do not have enough to cover their basic expenses. The Elder Index demonstrates that many older Americans who are not "poor", as defined by the FPL, still do not have enough income to meet their basic needs.

A person age 65 and over who is in a one person household.

Couples are a two person household where one or both are age 65 or older and married.

All persons age 65 and older in all living arrangements, except nursing homes, whose income is below the Elder Index (Economically Insecure). The Elder Index measures how much income is needed for retired adults age 65+ to meet basic needs in each county of California.

These data provide the percent and number of older adults who are economically insecure (below the Elder Index) in each living arrangement. Within each of the four living arrangements, data is provided for those who are economically insecure according to their housing status, gender, age, and race and ethnicity. For example, under the section Gender: the table shows among women $65+$ who live alone, the percent and number who are economically insecure. It also shows among men 65+ who live alone, the percent and number who are economically insecure. In cases where the results are fewer than 1,000 people below the elder index for a particular subgroup, the number is too small to calculate a reliable estimate and therefore not included.

Data for these tables are based on the U.S. Census 2006 American Community Survey (ACS) and the California Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index adjusted to 2006.

# Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 <br> Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index) <br> Clustered California Counties <br> Methodology for Clustered Counties 

Due to small numbers and unreliable estimates in some individual counties, a 2-step method was used to cluster the counties listed on this page to produce reliable estimates.

The first step clustered counties according the the Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMAs) as defined by the Census. PUMAs are special nonoverlapping areas that partition a state, and each PUMA contains a total population of about $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{0 0 0}$. State governments drew the PUMA boundaries at the time of the 2000 Census.
http://www.census.gov/acs/www/Products/users guide/acs 2006 reference maps.htm
If these clusters and/or other individual counties still contained small numbers and unreliable estimates when subset to older adults, the second step combined PUMA clusters or other individual counties according to the California Department of Aging, Planning and Service Areas (PSAs). In some instances, the PUMAs and PSAs did not coincide and exceptions are noted.

The following counties are clustered according the Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMAs) as defined by the Census.
http://www.census.gov/geo/puma/puma2000.html

| Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne comprise PUMA \#1800 | Alpine | Amador | Calaveras Inyo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mariposa | Mono | Tuolumne |
| Montery and San Bentio comprise PUMA \#3002 | Monterey | San Benito |  |
| Lake and Mendocino comprise PUMA \#400 | Lake | Mendocino |  |

The following counties are clustered due to small numbers in at least one of the counties. The clusters are consistent with the CA Department of Aging Planning and Service Areas (PSA). PSA county clustering can be obtained at the Triple-A Council of California.
http://www.4tacc.org/
Kings and Tulare Counties follow PSA $15 \quad$ Kings Tulare

Fresno and Madera Counties follow PSA $14 \quad$ Fresno Madera

Napa and Solano follow PSA 28
Napa Solano

The following clusters contain PUMA clusters with small numbers and unreliable estimates of older adults. Further clustering follows PSA county clusters with exceptions noted.

Butte County is combined with PUMA cluster \#500, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity, to follow PSA 3. Note: Due to the composition of Census PUMAs, this cluster differs from PSA3 by including Trinity and excluding Plumas.

Shasta County is combined with PUMA cluster \#100 Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, and Siskiyou to follow PSA 2. Note: Due to the composition of Census PUMAs, this cluster differs from PSA2 by including Del Norte and excluding Trinity.

Yolo County is combined with two PUMA clusters: Nevada, Plumas, \& Sierra (\#700) and Sutter \& Yuba (\#800) to follow PSA 4. Note: Due to composition of Census PUMAs, this cluster differs from PSA 4 by including Plumas and excluding Placer and Sacramento (available separately).

For the complete report and methodology visit:
http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09jan.html
For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program visit:
http://www.insightcced.org/index.php?page=california-elder-economic-security-initiative

| $\underline{\text { Butte }}$ | $\underline{\text { Colusa }}$ | $\underline{\text { Glenn }}$ | Tehama |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\underline{\text { Trinity }}$ |  |  |  |
| $\underline{\text { Del Norte }}$ | $\underline{\text { Lassen }}$ | $\underline{\text { Modoc }}$ | $\underline{\text { Shasta }}$ |
| $\underline{\text { Siskiyou }}$ |  |  |  |
| $\underline{\text { Nevada }}$ | $\underline{\text { Plumas }}$ | $\underline{\text { Sierra }}$ | $\underline{\text { Sutter }}$ |
| $\underline{\text { Yolo }}$ | $\underline{\text { Yuba }}$ |  |  |

Wider Opportunities for Women

## Alameda County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

|  | Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Above and Below <br> Elder Index | Below Federal <br> Poverty Level <br> (FPL) | Above FPL <br> and | Total Below <br> Elder Index |
|  |  |  |  | Below Elder Index |
| (Economically Insecure) |  |  |  |  |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alameda County**

|  |  | Living Alone <br> (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & 54.0 \% \\ & 23,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 26.5 \% \\ & 13,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 35,569$; Couple $=\$ 45,204$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 17,602 ;$ Couple $=\$ 27,237$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 25,153$; Couple $=\$ 34,788$ ) | 55.5\% <br> 4,000 <br> 32.7\% <br> 6,000 <br> 72.9\% <br> 14,000 | 23.7\% <br> 3,000 <br> 20.6\% <br> 6,000 <br> 55.1\% <br> 4,000 |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{aligned} & 57.7 \% \\ & 18,000 \\ & 45.0 \% \\ & 6,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 28.3 \% \\ 6,000 \\ 25.0 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 0 . 2 \%} \\ & 10,000 \\ & \mathbf{5 7 . 2 \%} \\ & 13,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23.3 \% \\ 6,000 \\ 30.7 \% \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 43.4 \% \\ 11,000 \\ 79.1 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 69.9 \% \\ 6,000 \\ 72.3 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 7 . 7 \%} \\ 6,000 \\ 39.4 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \\ \\ 51.7 \% \\ 5,000 \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Alameda County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
${ }^{\text {**N }}$ Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

## Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 <br> Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {M }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 33,000 | \# | 11,000 | 12,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% |  | 32.9\% | 35.8\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties**

|  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index <br>  \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 20.0\% } \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 29,585 ;$ Couple $=\$ 39,586)$ <br>  Owner without mortgage <br>  $($ Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 18,950 ;$ Couple $=\$ 28,951$ ) <br>  Renter, one bedroom <br>  <br>  Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 19,840 ;$ Couple $=\$ 29,841$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 64.2 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \text { 48.4\% } \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 2 . 5 \%} \\ 1,000 \\ \mathbf{1 7 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 2 . 3 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \mathbf{4 5 . 1 \%} \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.5 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 0 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: $65-74$ <br>  $75+$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 0 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 8 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 2 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: White (non-Latino) <br>  Latino (any race) <br>  African American <br>  Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.0 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.1 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Alpine County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 <br> Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 33,000 | \# | 11,000 | 12,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% |  | 32.9\% | 35.8\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 0 . 0 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 29,585$; Couple $=\$ 39,586$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,716; Couple = \$30,717 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 64.2 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \text { 48.4\% } \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 2 . 5 \%} \\ 1,000 \\ \mathbf{1 7 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 2 . 3 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \mathbf{4 5 . 1 \%} \\ \text { 1,000 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.5 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 0 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 0 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 8 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 2 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.0 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.1 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Amador County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 47,000 | 2,000 | 18,000 | 20,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 5.3\% | 38.0\% | 43.3\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index <br> \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 48.6\% } \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 33.3\% } \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 26,582$; Couple $=\$ 36,583$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 16,766$; Couple $=\$ 26,767$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,512; Couple = \$29,513 ) | 63.1\% <br> 2,000 <br> 33.8\% <br> 3,000 <br> 65.7\% <br> 3,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 36.5 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 30.9 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.2 \% \\ 5,000 \\ \mathbf{4 6 . 8 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35.4 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 31.6 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.2 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 49.4 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 27.5 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 41.5 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45.2 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.8 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Butte County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 <br> Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | ```Total Below \\ Elder Index (Economically Insecure)``` |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 33,000 | \# | 11,000 | 12,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% |  | 32.9\% | 35.8\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 0 . 0 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586 ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,696 ; Couple = \$29,697 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 64.2 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \text { 48.4\% } \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 2 . 5 \%} \\ 1,000 \\ \mathbf{1 7 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 2 . 3 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \mathbf{4 5 . 1 \%} \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 9 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 0 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 0 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 8 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 2 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.0 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.1 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Calaveras County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 47,000 | 2,000 | 18,000 | 20,000 |
| $\underline{\text { Percent of total }}$ | 100.0\% | 5.3\% | 38.0\% | 43.3\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.6 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.3 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 26,969$; Couple $=\$ 36,970$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,517; Couple = \$26,518 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,726; Couple = \$28,727 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 63.1 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 33.8 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 65.7 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 36.5 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 30.9 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.2 \% \\ 5,000 \\ 46.8 \% \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35.4 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 31.6 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.2 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 49.4 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 7 . 5 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 1 . 5 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45.2 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.8 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

| *Elder Index Amount for Colusa County Only | \# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates |
| :---: | :---: |
| **Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet | Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS |

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

## Contra Costa County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Contra Costa |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 116,000 | 6,000 | 42,000 | 48,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 5.4\% | 36.1\% | 41.5\% |


| Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Contra Costa County** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{4 6 . 1 \%} \\ & 13,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20.8\% } \\ & 10,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 34,470 ;$ Couple $=\$ 44,104$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 18,804$; Couple $=\$ 28,438$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 25,353$; Couple $=\$ 34,988$ ) | 54.5\% <br> 4,000 <br> 31.9\% <br> 4,000 <br> 66.5\% <br> 4,000 | 16.8\% <br> 3,000 <br> 16.4\% <br> 4,000 <br> 58.3\% <br> 3,000 |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 53.1\% } \\ & \text { 11,000 } \\ & \text { 22.2\% } \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20.6 \% \\ & 4,000 \\ & 21.0 \% \\ & 6,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42.2 \% \\ 5,000 \\ 49.0 \% \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 17.4 \% \\ 5,000 \\ 24.9 \% \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | 38.8\% <br> 9,000 <br> 98.6\% <br> 1,000 <br> 75.0\% <br> 2,000 <br> \# | 16.0\% <br> 6,000 <br> 47.4\% <br> 2,000 <br> 35.0\% <br> 1,000 <br> 28.0\% <br> 1,000 |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Contra Costa County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 43,000 | 3,000 | 15,000 | 18,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.3\% | 34.6\% | 41.9\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 1 . 9 \%} \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 29.6\% } \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 25,615 ;$ Couple $=\$ 35,616$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,423; Couple = \$26,424 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,627; Couple = \$28,628) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{4 3 . 8 \%} \\ 1,000 \\ \mathbf{4 6 . 0 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{7 2 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 2 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 6 . 3} \% \\ 5,000 \\ \mathbf{4 2 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 0 . 0 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{2 9 . 2 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.9 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 59.2 \% \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 8 . 6 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \mathbf{3 1 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 54.1 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30.1 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Del Norte County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Eldorado County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements } \\ \text { (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Above and Below } \\ \text { Elder Index }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Below Federal } \\ \text { Poverty Level } \\ \text { (FPL) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total Below } \\ \text { Elder Index }\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Below Elder Index }\end{array}$ |
| (Economically Insecure) |  |  |  |  |$]$

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Eldorado County**

|  | Living Alone <br> (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index <br>  \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 41.8 \% \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.1 \% \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ 38.4 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | \# <br> \# <br> \# |
| Gender: Women <br>  Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 42.1\% } \\ 1,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | \# <br> \# |
| Age: $65-74$ <br>  $75+$ | 56.1\% 2,000 | $\begin{gathered} 22.0 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: White (non-Latino) <br>  Latino (any race) <br>  African American <br>  Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.8 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | 14.2\% <br> 1,000 <br> \# <br> \# <br> \# |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Eldorado County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
${ }^{\text {**N }}$ Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

## Fresno and Madera Counties, CA

Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | Above FPL and Below Elder Index | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 97,000 | 10,000 | 36,000 | 46,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 10.4\% | 37.1\% | 47.5\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Fresno and Madera Counties**

|  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index <br>  \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 51.9 \% \\ & 13,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{2 6 . 4 \%} \\ & 10,000 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{4 4 . 3 \%} \\ \text { 5,000 } \\ \mathbf{6 2 . 3 \%} \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 5 . 7 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ 15.7 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{6 0 . 4 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 5 . 2 \%} \\ 10,000 \\ \mathbf{4 1 . 0 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 6 . 2 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \mathbf{2 6 . 6 \%} \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: $65-74$ <br>  $75+$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.4 \% \\ 5,000 \\ \mathbf{5 4 . 1 \%} \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 0 . 4 \%} \\ 7,000 \\ \mathbf{2 0 . 7 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: White (non-Latino) <br>  Latino (any race) <br>  African American <br>  Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42.0 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \mathbf{8 3 . 3 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 18.6 \% \\ 5,000 \\ \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Fresno County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see Clustered Counties for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 <br> Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below <br> Elder Index <br> (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 47,000 | 2,000 | 18,000 | 20,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 5.3\% | 38.0\% | 43.3\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples <br> ( 2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.6 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.3 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 26,969$; Couple $=\$ 36,970$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 16,517$; Couple $=\$ 26,518$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,934; Couple = \$27,935 ) | 63.1\% <br> 2,000 <br> 33.8\% <br> 3,000 <br> 65.7\% <br> 3,000 | $\begin{gathered} 36.5 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 30.9 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 49.2\% } \\ 5,000 \\ \mathbf{4 6 . 8 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35.4 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 31.6 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.2 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 49.4 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 27.5 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 41.5 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} 45.2 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.8 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Glenn County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit
http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Humboldt |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 16,000 | 1,000 | 5,000 | 6,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.1\% | 28.5\% | 35.6\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Humboldt County**

|  |  | Living Alone <br> (1 person households) | Couples <br> (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 43.9 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | \# |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 25,955$; Couple $=\$ 35,956$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 16,985$; Couple $=\$ 26,986$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,176; Couple = \$29,177 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \# \\ \# \\ \\ 73.7 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | \# <br> \# |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.6 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | \# <br> \# |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.7 \% \\ 1,000 \\ 40.8 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | \# <br> \# |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 43.5 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | \# <br> \# <br> \# |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding


For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Imperial County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 <br> Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | Above FPL and Below Elder Index | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Imperial |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 16,000 | 2,000 | 9,000 | 11,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 13.5\% | 55.6\% | 69.0\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Imperial County**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 67.1 \% \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.8 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 24,551$; Couple $=\$ 35,292$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 17,569$; Couple $=\$ 28,310$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 19,891 ;$ Couple $=\$ 30,632$ ) | \# 94.8\% 1,000 | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ 43.1 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 60.5 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.8 \% \\ 1,000 \\ 46.0 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{gathered} 65-74 \\ 75+ \end{gathered}$ | \# <br> 68.1\% <br> 1,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 46.3 \% \\ 1,000 \\ 49.7 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline \# \\ \\ 85.5 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42.8 \% \\ 1,000 \\ 60.8 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Imperial County Only
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet $\quad$ \# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:
http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.htm
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit:
http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 <br> Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below <br> Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 33,000 | \# | 11,000 | 12,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% |  | 32.9\% | 35.8\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties**

|  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index <br>  \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 0 . 0 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 64.2 \% \\ 1,000 \\ 48.4 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 22.5 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \mathbf{1 7 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: <br> Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 2 . 3 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ 45.1 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.5 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 0 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: $65-74$ <br>  $75+$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 0 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 8 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 2 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: White (non-Latino) <br>  Latino (any race) <br>  African American <br>  Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.0 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.1 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Inyo County Only \# Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

# Kern County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 <br> Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {M }}$ Index (Elder Index) 

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> Above and Below <br> Elder Index |  | Below Federal <br> Poverty Level <br> (FPL) | Above FPL <br> and <br> Below Elder Index | Total Below <br> Elder Index <br> (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | $3,736,000$ | 295,000 | $1,465,000$ | $1,760,000$ |
| Percent of total | $100.0 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ | $47.1 \%$ |
| Kern | 67,000 | 7,000 | 22,000 | 28,000 |
| Number | $100.0 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ | $42.5 \%$ |
| Percent of total |  |  |  |  |

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Kern County**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 46.3 \% \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 24.3 \% \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 24,142$; Couple $=\$ 32,825$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 15,848$; Couple $=\$ 24,531$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,789; Couple = \$26,471 ) | 51.4\% <br> 1,000 <br> 36.3\% <br> 4,000 <br> 64.7\% <br> 3,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 20.5\% } \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 2 . 5 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 4 . 8 \%} \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 45.0\% } \\ 6,000 \\ \mathbf{5 0 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 24.1 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 24.4 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{gathered} 65-74 \\ 75+ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 41.3 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 50.1 \% \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.8 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 28.2 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 41.4 \% \\ 5,000 \\ 64.3 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 22.8 \% \\ 5,000 \\ 32.7 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Kern County Only
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:
http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit:
http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Kings and Tulare Counties, CA

Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Below } \\ \text { Elder Index } \\ \text { (Economically Insecure) } \end{gathered}$ |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 46,000 | 5,000 | 16,000 | 21,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 11.3\% | 33.9\% | 45.2\% |


| Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Kings and Tulare Counties** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples ( 2 person households) |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \text { 48.8\% } \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 26.9 \% \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 27,042 ;$ Couple $=\$ 37,043$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 17,032$; Couple $=\$ 27,032$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 19,112$; Couple $=\$ 29,113$ ) | 34.7\% <br> 2,000 <br> 79.8\% <br> 2,000 | 34.4\% <br> 2,000 <br> 15.8\% <br> 2,000 <br> 53.8\% <br> 1,000 |
| Gender: |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.5 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 46.4 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 8 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{2 5 . 9 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.1 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 48.5 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.5 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 34.8 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 40.3 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 87.6 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 18.1 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 67.1 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Kings County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:
http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Below } \\ \text { Elder Index } \\ \text { (Economically Insecure) } \end{gathered}$ |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 20,000 | 1,000 | 9,000 | 10,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 6.4\% | 44.7\% | 51.0\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Lake and Mendocino Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 57.7 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 37.6 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 30,226$; Couple $=\$ 40,595$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,135; Couple = \$27,504 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,436; Couple = \$29,805 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \# \\ \\ \text { 48.8\% } \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{8 3 . 2 \%} \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 1 . 5 \%} \\ 1,000 \\ \mathbf{3 3 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 73.3 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45.0 \% \\ 1,000 \\ 32.3 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 61.7 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 54.5 \% \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.5 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 34.9 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 57.1 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 40.4 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Lake County Only
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit
http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit:
http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | ```Total Below \\ Elder Index (Economically Insecure)``` |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 43,000 | 3,000 | 15,000 | 18,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.3\% | 34.6\% | 41.9\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 1 . 9 \%} \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 29.6\% } \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 25,615 ;$ Couple $=\$ 35,616$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,423; Couple = \$26,424 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,843; Couple = \$28,844 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 43.8 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \mathbf{4 6 . 0 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{7 2 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 2 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 6 . 3 \%} \\ 5,000 \\ \mathbf{4 2 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 0 . 0 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{2 9 . 2 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.9 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 59.2 \% \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 8 . 6 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \mathbf{3 1 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 54.1 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30.1 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Lassen County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Los Angeles City, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Below } \\ \text { Elder Index } \\ \text { (Economically Insecure) } \end{gathered}$ |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Los Angeles City |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 350,000 | 40,000 | 164,000 | 204,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 11.4\% | 47.0\% | 58.4\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Los Angeles City**

|  |  | Living Alone <br> (1 person households) | Couples <br> (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index <br> \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & 58.8 \% \\ & 58,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 37.8 \% \\ & 41,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index: Single $=\$ 33,358$; Couple $=\$ 41,098$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,184; Couple = \$23,924 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 22,798 ;$ Couple $=\$ 30,538$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { 52.1. } \\ 10,000 \\ 34.3 \% \\ 10,000 \\ 75.3 \% \\ 38,000 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 26.0 \% \\ 10,000 \\ \mathbf{1 7 . 9 \%} \\ 7,000 \\ 80.1 \% \\ 24,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{5 8 . 7 \%} \\ & 40,000 \\ & 59.0 \% \\ & 18,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.0 \% \\ & 18,000 \\ & 37.0 \% \\ & 23,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{5 8 . 1 \%} \\ & 27,000 \\ & 59.4 \% \\ & 31,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 34.3 \% \\ & 21,000 \\ & 42.7 \% \\ & 19,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | 47.3\% 26,000 <br> 79.9\% <br> 11,000 <br> 69.8\% <br> 13,000 <br> 73.3\% <br> 7,000 | 26.8\% 19,000 <br> 62.3\% <br> 9,000 <br> 38.2\% <br> 3,000 <br> 65.4\% <br> 10,000 |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Los Angeles City Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

Los Angeles County (excluding LA City), CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Los Angeles County (excluding LA City) |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 575,000 | 52,000 | 260,000 | 312,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 9.0\% | 45.2\% | 54.2\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Los Angeles County (excluding LA City)**

|  |  | Living Alone <br> (1 person <br> households) | Couples <br> (2 person <br> households) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\mathbf{5 4 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 2 \%}$ |
|  | \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | 74,000 | 55,000 |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding


For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html


## Fresno and Madera Counties, CA

## Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007

Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 97,000 | 10,000 | 36,000 | 46,000 |
| $\underline{\text { Percent of total }}$ | 100.0\% | 10.4\% | 37.1\% | 47.5\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Fresno and Madera Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 51.9 \% \\ & 13,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 26.4\% } \\ & 10,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 25,342 ;$ Couple $=\$ 33,972$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 17,424$; Couple $=\$ 26,055$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 17,964$; Couple $=\$ 26,595$ ) | 50.5\% <br> 2,000 <br> 44.3\% <br> 5,000 <br> 62.3\% <br> 6,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25.7 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 15.7 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 60.4 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{5 5 . 2 \%} \\ & 10,000 \\ & \mathbf{4 1 . 0 \%} \\ & 2,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 26.2 \% \\ & 4,000 \\ & 26.6 \% \\ & 6,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.4 \% \\ 5,000 \\ 54.1 \% \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30.4 \% \\ 7,000 \\ 20.7 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42.0 \% \\ 7,000 \\ 83.3 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 18.6 \% \\ 5,000 \\ \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Madera County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:
http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Marin County, CA

Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Marin |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 35,000 | 2,000 | 7,000 | 9,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 4.3\% | 21.0\% | 25.3\% |

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Marin County**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 37.0 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | \# |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 39,573 ;$ Couple $=\$ 48,348)$ <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 18,005$; Couple $=\$ 26,780$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 26,581$; Couple $=\$ 35,355$ ) | 40.6\% 1,000 <br> 21.5\% 1,000 <br> 53.6\% 2,000 | \# <br> \# <br> \# |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.6 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | \# <br> \# |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 34.3 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 38.7 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | \# <br> \# |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 37.2 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | \# <br> \# <br> \# <br> \# |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Marin County Only
${ }^{\text {**N }}$ Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

## Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 <br> Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 33,000 | \# | 11,000 | 12,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% |  | 32.9\% | 35.8\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 0 . 0 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 29,585 ;$ Couple $=\$ 39,586)$ <br> Owner without mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,840; Couple = \$29,841 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 64.2 \% \\ 1,000 \\ 48.4 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 2 . 5 \%} \\ 1,000 \\ \mathbf{1 7 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 2 . 3 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \mathbf{4 5 . 1 \%} \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.5 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \text { 20.3\% } \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 0 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 8 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 2 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.0 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.1 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

| *Elder Index Amount for Mariposa County Only | \# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates |
| :---: | :---: |
| **Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet | Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS |

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html
center ror community
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

## Lake and Mendocino Counties, CA

## Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007

## Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements } \\ \text { (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Above and Below } \\ \text { Elder Index }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Below Federal } \\ \text { Poverty Level } \\ \text { (FPL) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total Below } \\ \text { Elder Index }\end{array}$ |
| Below Elder Index |  |  |  |  |$]$

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Lake and Mendocino Counties**

|  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Total: } & \text { \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index } \\ & \text { \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 57.7 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 37.6 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 29,858 ;$ Couple $=\$ 39,859)$ <br>  Owner without mortgage <br>  (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 16,766 ;$ Couple $=\$ 26,767)$ <br>  Renter, one bedroom <br>  (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 20,220 ;$ Couple $=\$ 30,221$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \# \\ \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 8 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{8 3 . 2 \%} \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 1 . 5 \%} \\ 1,000 \\ \mathbf{3 3 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 73.3 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45.0 \% \\ 1,000 \\ 32.3 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: $65-74$ 75+ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 1 . 7 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{5 4 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.5 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 34.9 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: White (non-Latino) <br>  Latino (any race) <br>  African American <br>  Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 57.1 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 40.4 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Mendocino County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000 , too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

[^0]
## Merced County, CA

Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Merced |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 21,000 | 3,000 | 10,000 | 13,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 13.8\% | 46.3\% | 60.1\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Merced County**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index <br> \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 53.7 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 2 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 25,682 ;$ Couple $=\$ 35,683$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 16,361 ;$ Couple $=\$ 26,362$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 18,952 ;$ Couple $=\$ 28,953$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ 51.8 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ 28.2 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{5 2 . 7 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35.8 \% \\ 1,000 \\ 28.6 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | 68.1\% 2,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 26.6\% } \\ 1,000 \\ 39.4 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 46.6 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.7 \% \\ \text { 2,000 } \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Merced County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007

Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 43,000 | 3,000 | 15,000 | 18,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.3\% | 34.6\% | 41.9\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 1 . 9 \%} \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 29.6\% } \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,615; Couple = \$35,616 ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,423; Couple = \$26,424 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,423; Couple = \$28,424 ) | 43.8\% <br> 1,000 <br> 46.0\% <br> 3,000 <br> 72.4\% <br> 2,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 2 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 6 . 3 \%} \\ 5,000 \\ \mathbf{4 2 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30.0 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{2 9 . 2 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.9 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 59.2 \% \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 8 . 6 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \mathbf{3 1 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 54.1 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30.1 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

| *Elder Index Amount for Modoc County Only | \# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates |
| :---: | :---: |
| **Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet | Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS |

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 <br> Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 33,000 | \# | 11,000 | 12,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% |  | 32.9\% | 35.8\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties**

|  | Living Alone (1 person households) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index <br>  \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 0 . 0 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 64.2 \% \\ 1,000 \\ 48.4 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 2 . 5 \%} \\ 1,000 \\ \mathbf{1 7 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: <br> Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 2 . 3 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \mathbf{4 5 . 1 \%} \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.5 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 20.3 \% \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: $65-74$ <br>  $75+$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 0 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 8 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 2 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: White (non-Latino) <br>  Latino (any race) <br>  African American <br>  Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.0 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.1 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Mono County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit
http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Monterey and San Benito Counties, CA

## Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007

Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 43,000 | 3,000 | 15,000 | 19,000 |
| $\underline{\text { Percent of total }}$ | 100.0\% | 7.8\% | 35.3\% | 43.1\% |

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Monterey and San Benito Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.6 \% \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 7 . 3 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 34,463$; Couple $=\$ 44,464$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,328; Couple = \$27,329 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,178; Couple = \$34,179 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.3 \% \\ 1,000 \\ 31.2 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{6 8 . 6 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 3 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.8 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 46.9 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 7 . 9 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 7 . 0 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 44.7 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 49.4 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 5 . 6 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{3 0 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 37.4 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{9 0 . 2 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 2 . 6 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ 58.0 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Monterey County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000 , too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Napa and Solano Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> Above and Below <br> Elder Index |  | Below Federal <br> Poverty Level <br> (FPL) | Above FPL <br> and | Total Below <br> Elder Index <br> Below Elder Index |
| (Economically Insecure) |  |  |  |  |


| Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Napa and Solano Counties** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples ( 2 person households) |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 6 . 1 \%} \\ & 10,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.4 \% \\ 4.000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> $($ Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 35,918 ;$ Couple $=\$ 44,692$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 18,614$; Couple $=\$ 27,388$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 22,086$; Couple $=\$ 30,861$ ) | 59.2\% 2,000 <br> 48.6\% 3,000 60.8\% 4,000 | $\begin{gathered} 32.1 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{5 8 . 3 \%} \\ \mathbf{7 , 0 0 0} \\ \mathbf{5 0 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.8 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 19.2 \% \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 55.2 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 56.6 \% \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 8 . 6 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \text { 20.8\% } \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 53.5 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \# \\ \\ 78.7 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12.6 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \\ \text { 40.5\% } \\ 1,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Napa County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit
http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit:
http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 56,000 | 3,000 | 20,000 | 23,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 6.0\% | 35.1\% | 41.1\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.6 \% \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 27.2\% } \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 30,623 ;$ Couple $=\$ 40,623$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,687; Couple = \$27,688 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,842; Couple = \$30,842 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.6 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{3 4 . 8 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{7 3 . 8 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \text { 23.3\% } \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 9 . 0 \%} \\ \text { 1,000 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 1 \%} \\ 6,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.0 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{2 5 . 8 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 9 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 6 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 9 . 2 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{3 8 . 7 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.8 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25.8 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |


| note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| *Elder Index Amount for Nevada County Only | \# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates |
| **Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet | Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS |

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

## Orange County, CA

 Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)|  | Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Above and Below <br> Elder Index | Below Federal <br> Poverty Level <br> (FPL) | Above FPL <br> and | Total Below <br> Elder Index <br> Below Elder Index |
| (Economically Insecure) |  |  |  |  |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Orange County**

|  |  | Living Alone <br> (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 47.3 \% \\ & 37,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 0 . 8 \%} \\ & 25,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 33,582 ;$ Couple $=\$ 41,427$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,589; Couple = \$23,434 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 25,466$; Couple $=\$ 33,310$ ) | 51.5\% <br> 9,000 <br> 25.4\% <br> 9,000 <br> 72.6\% <br> 19,000 | 23.5\% <br> 12,000 <br> 11.2\% <br> 6,000 <br> 56.3\% <br> 6,000 |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} 51.9 \% \\ 30,000 \\ 34.4 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21.7 \% \\ & 11,000 \\ & 20.2 \% \\ & 14,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{gathered} \hline 65-74 \\ 75+ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 40.2 \% \\ & 12,000 \\ & 51.8 \% \\ & 25,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{1 7 . 3 \%} \\ & 12,000 \\ & \mathbf{2 5 . 7 \%} \\ & 13,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 43.3 \% \\ 29,000 \\ 77.4 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \\ 67.0 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 17.8 \% \\ 18,000 \\ 30.5 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \\ \text { 41.2\% } \\ 5,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Orange County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.htm|

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html


## Placer County, CA

## Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007

 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Placer |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 46,000 | 1,000 | 15,000 | 16,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 3.1\% | 32.8\% | 35.9\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Placer County**

|  | Living Alone <br> (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index <br>  \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.0 \% \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23.5 \% \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 51.6 \% \\ 1,000 \\ 31.0 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{7 5 . 2 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.3 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 11.7 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 59.9 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: Women <br>  Men | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{5 2 . 9 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25.7 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 21.9 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: $65-74$ <br>  $75+$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45.9 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 49.1 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.2 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 27.1 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: White (non-Latino) <br>  Latino (any race) <br>  African American <br>  Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.8 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 22.6 \% \\ 5,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Placer County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm|

Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 56,000 | 3,000 | 20,000 | 23,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 6.0\% | 35.1\% | 41.1\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.6 \% \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 27.2\% } \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 30,623 ;$ Couple $=\$ 40,623$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,687; Couple = \$27,688 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,162; Couple = \$29,162 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.6 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{3 4 . 8 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{7 3 . 8 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \text { 23.3\% } \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 9 . 0 \%} \\ \text { 1,000 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 1 \%} \\ 6,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.0 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{2 5 . 8 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 9 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 6 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 9 . 2 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{3 8 . 7 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.8 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25.8 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Plumas County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Riverside County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | Above FPL and Below Elder Index | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Riverside |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 219,000 | 17,000 | 75,000 | 92,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 34.3\% | 42.2\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Riverside County**

|  |  | Living Alone <br> (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 46.9 \% \\ & 26,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24.5\% } \\ & 23,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 28,858$; Couple $=\$ 36,884$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 15,571 ;$ Couple $=\$ 23,597$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 20,703 ;$ Couple $=\$ 28,729$ ) | 54.7\% 6,000 <br> 33.9\% 10,000 <br> 69.6\% 9,000 | 30.1\% <br> 11,000 <br> 16.3\% <br> 8,000 <br> 47.4\% <br> 5,000 |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 49.3 \% \\ & 19,000 \\ & 41.4 \% \\ & 7,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 25.8 \% \\ & 11,000 \\ & 23.3 \% \\ & 12,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 47.1 \% \\ & 10,000 \\ & 46.8 \% \\ & 16,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 3 . 4 \%} \\ & 12,000 \\ & 25.7 \% \\ & 11,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | 41.9\% <br> 19,000 <br> 63.0\% <br> 4,000 <br> 66.6\% <br> 1,000 <br> 76.4\% <br> 1,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20.7 \% \\ 17,000 \\ 45.8 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 40.1 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*EIder Index Amount for Riverside County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

## Sacramento County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

|  | Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Above and Below <br> Elder Index | Below Federal <br> Poverty Level <br> (FPL) | Above FPL <br> and | Total Below <br> Elder Index |
|  |  |  | Below Elder Index | (Economically Insecure) |


| Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Sacramento County** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44.3\% } \\ & \text { 19,000 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20.6 \% \\ & 11,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 27,752 ;$ Couple $=\$ 36,773$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 16,701$; Couple $=\$ 25,723$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 21,517$; Couple $=\$ 30,538$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 36.8 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 31.2 \% \\ 5,000 \\ 60.1 \% \\ 11,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 6 . 6 \%} \\ 5,000 \\ 13.3 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 39.6 \% \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 46.9 \% \\ 15,000 \\ 37.3 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 22.3 \% \\ 5,000 \\ 19.1 \% \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 45.7 \% \\ & 8,000 \\ & 43.2 \% \\ & 11,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 15.7 \% \\ 5,000 \\ 27.9 \% \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 41.3 \% \\ 14,000 \\ \# \\ \\ \mathbf{6 1 . 2 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{6 2 . 2 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 17.3 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \mathbf{4 2 . 0 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \\ 30.4 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Sacramento County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

## Monterey and San Benito Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Below } \\ \text { Elder Index } \\ \text { (Economically Insecure) } \end{gathered}$ |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 43,000 | 3,000 | 15,000 | 19,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.8\% | 35.3\% | 43.1\% |

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Monterey and San Benito Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples <br> (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.6 \% \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 7 . 3 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 34,463$; Couple $=\$ 44,464$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 17,328$; Couple $=\$ 27,329$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = $\$ 22,678$; Couple $=\$ 32,679$ ) | 47.3\% <br> 1,000 <br> 31.2\% <br> 2,000 <br> 68.6\% <br> 3,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23.4 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 27.1 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.8 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 46.9 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 7 . 9 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 7 . 0 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 44.7 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 49.4 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 5 . 6 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{3 0 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 37.4 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 90.2 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 2 . 6 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ 58.0 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for San Benito County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:
http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

CENTERFOR COMMUNITY
ECONOMTC DEVELOPMENT

## San Bernardino County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | Above FPL and Below Elder Index | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| San Bernardino |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 157,000 | 13,000 | 67,000 | 80,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 8.1\% | 42.8\% | 50.9\% |

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in San Bernardino County**

|  |  | Living Alone <br> (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 58.6 \% \\ & 19,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 25.9 \% \\ & 14,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 27,459$; Couple $=\$ 35,485$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> $($ Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 14,901 ;$ Couple $=\$ 22,926$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 20,591 ;$ Couple $=\$ 28,617$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 71.3 \% \\ 5,000 \\ 44.8 \% \\ 7,000 \\ 70.9 \% \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | 22.0\% <br> 5,000 <br> 23.3\% <br> 6,000 <br> 52.7\% <br> 3,000 |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 59.2 \% \\ 14,000 \\ 57.1 \% \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25.5 \% \\ 6,000 \\ 26.3 \% \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.7 \% \\ 8,000 \\ 60.0 \% \\ 12,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23.3 \% \\ 7,000 \\ 29.7 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 2 . 3 \%} \\ 14,000 \\ 83.4 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 77.2 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 2 . 1 \%} \\ 9,000 \\ \mathbf{2 6 . 7 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \\ \mathbf{6 0 . 0 \%} \\ 1,000 \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for San Bernardino County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## San Diego County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

|  | Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> Above and Below <br> Elder Index |  | Below Federal <br> Poverty Level <br> (FPL) | Above FPL <br> and | Total Below <br> Elder Index |
|  |  |  | Below Elder Index | (Economically Insecure) |

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in San Diego County**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index <br> \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & 46.3 \% \\ & 38,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.0 \% \\ & 30,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 30,368 ;$ Couple $=\$ 38,603)$ <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 15,678 ;$ Couple $=\$ 23,912$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 22,822 ;$ Couple $=\$ 31,057$ ) | 47.9\% <br> 9,000 <br> 28.2\% <br> 11,000 <br> 70.3\% 19,000 | 25.1\% <br> 11,000 <br> 14.4\% <br> 10,000 <br> 52.6\% <br> 9,000 |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 50.0 \% \\ & 29,000 \\ & 37.3 \% \\ & 9,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.7 \% \\ & 14,000 \\ & 22.5 \% \\ & 16,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{gathered} 65-74 \\ 75+ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 42.3 \% \\ & 13,000 \\ & 48.6 \% \\ & 26,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20.4 \% \\ & 15,000 \\ & 26.3 \% \\ & 16,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | 42.4\% <br> 30,000 <br> 75.1\% <br> 6,000 <br> 63.2\% <br> 1,000 <br> 55.7\% <br> 1,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 18.3 \% \\ 20,000 \\ 56.5 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \\ 40.0 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for San Diego County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
${ }^{\text {**N }}$ Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## San Francisco County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

|  | Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Above and Below <br> Elder Index | Below Federal <br> Poverty Level <br> (FPL) | Above FPL <br> and | Total Below <br> Elder Index |
|  |  |  | Below Elder Index | (Economically Insecure) |


| Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in San Francisco County** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 61.3 \% \\ & 21,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 48.6 \% \\ & 15,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 37,893 ;$ Couple $=\$ 47,606$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 17,586 ;$ Couple $=\$ 27,299$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 27,435$; Couple $=\$ 37,148$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 43.2 \% \\ 1,000 \\ 35.1 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 79.4 \% \\ 16,000 \end{gathered}$ | 35.8\% <br> 3,000 <br> 30.5\% <br> 4,000 <br> 78.8\% <br> 9,000 |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 60.8\% } \\ & 14,000 \\ & \mathbf{6 2 . 1 \%} \\ & 8,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 50.3 \% \\ 7,000 \\ 47.3 \% \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 60.4 \% \\ & 8,000 \\ & 61.7 \% \\ & 14,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 46.4 \% \\ 7,000 \\ 50.4 \% \\ 9,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 9 \%} \\ 9,000 \\ \mathbf{5 7 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{7 9 . 9 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{7 6 . 3 \%} \\ 8,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 24.0 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 58.1 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \\ \\ 75.3 \% \\ 11,000 \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for San Francisco County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html


EENTERFOR COMMUNIT

## San Joaquin County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | Above FPL and Below Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Below } \\ \text { Elder Index } \\ \text { (Economically Insecure) } \end{gathered}$ |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| San Joaquin |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 61,000 | 4,000 | 24,000 | 28,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 6.8\% | 39.2\% | 46.0\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in San Joaquin County**

|  |  | Living Alone <br> $\mathbf{( 1 ~ p e r s o n ~}$ <br> households) | Couples <br> (2 person <br> households) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\mathbf{4 6 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 4 \%}$ |
|  | \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | 6,000 |  |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for San Joaquin County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

## San Luis Obispo County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | Above FPL and Below Elder Index | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| San Luis Obispo |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 35,000 | 4,000 | 9,000 | 13,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 10.8\% | 27.1\% | 38.0\% |

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in San Luis Obispo County**

|  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: <br> \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 52.8\% } \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 22.4\% } \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 30,588 ; ~ C o u p l e ~$$=\$ 40,957$ ) <br>  Owner without mortgage <br> $($ Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 17,587 ;$ Couple $=\$ 27,956)$ <br>  Renter, one bedroom <br> $\quad$ Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 22,380 ;$ Couple $=\$ 32,749$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 41.2\% } \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{8 0 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | 17.7\% <br> 2,000 <br> 82.9\% <br> 2,000 |
| Gender: Women <br>  Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 55.5 \% \\ 5,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 2 . 9 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \text { 21.9\% } \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 53.0 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 52.7 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 6 . 2 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 8 . 8 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: White (non-Latino) <br>  Latino (any race) <br>  African American <br>  Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.8 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23.2 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for San Luis Obispo County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

## San Mateo County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 <br> Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {M }}$ Index (Elder Index)

|  | Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Above and Below <br> Elder Index | Below Federal <br> Poverty Level <br> (FPL) | Above FPL <br> and | Total Below <br> Elder Index <br> Below Elder Index |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | $3,736,000$ | 295,000 | $1,465,000$ | $39.2 \%$ |

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in San Mateo County**

|  |  | Living Alone <br> $\mathbf{( 1}$ person <br> households) | Couples <br> (2 person <br> households) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\mathbf{3 7 . 7 \%}$ |  |
|  | \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | 8,000 |  |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

## Santa Barbara County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {M }}$ Index (Elder Index)

|  | Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Above and Below <br> Elder Index | Below Federal <br> Poverty Level <br> (FPL) | Above FPL <br> and | Total Below <br> Elder Index |
|  |  |  | Below Elder Index | (Economically Insecure) |

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Santa Barbara County**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.2 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25.3 \% \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> $($ Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 33,401 ;$ Couple $=\$ 43,770)$ <br> Owner without mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 18,320$; Couple $=\$ 28,689$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 24,566 ;$ Couple $=\$ 34,935$ ) | 3,000 <br> 59.0\% <br> 3,000 | 18.7\% <br> 1,000 <br> 23.7\% <br> 3,000 <br> 42.0\% <br> 2,000 |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 51.0 \% \\ 5,000 \\ 37.4 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 24.0 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 26.3 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{gathered} 65-74 \\ 75+ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.1 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 46.6 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 3 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{2 8 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 41.9 \% \\ 5,000 \\ \mathbf{8 8 . 2 \%} \\ 1,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.5 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 70.3 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Santa Barbara County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Santa Clara County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

|  | Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Above and Below <br> Elder Index | Below Federal <br> Poverty Level <br> (FPL) | Above FPL <br> and | Total Below <br> Elder Index |
|  |  |  | Below Elder Index | (Economically Insecure) |


| Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Santa Clara County** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50.2\% } \\ & 20,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 22.9 \% \\ & 14,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 37,641 ;$ Couple $=\$ 47,354$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 17,632$; Couple $=\$ 27,345$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 25,391$; Couple $=\$ 35,104$ ) | 62.5\% <br> 5,000 <br> 33.4\% <br> 6,000 <br> 63.8\% <br> 9,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.9 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 12.0 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 57.4 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 55.0 \% \\ 16,000 \\ 36.1 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23.3 \% \\ 6,000 \\ 22.5 \% \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45.9 \% \\ 7,000 \\ 53.2 \% \\ 12,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 15.6 \% \\ 5,000 \\ 31.8 \% \\ 9,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 42.1\% } \\ 13,000 \\ 80.5 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \# \\ \\ 76 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 15.1 \% \\ 7,000 \\ 34.5 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \\ 51.2 \% \\ 5,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Santa Clara County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
${ }^{* *}$ Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

## Santa Cruz County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | Above FPL and Below Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Below } \\ \text { Elder Index } \\ \text { (Economically Insecure) } \end{gathered}$ |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Santa Cruz |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 25,000 | 2,000 | 9,000 | 11,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.0\% | 34.5\% | 41.6\% |

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Santa Cruz County**

|  |  | Living Alone <br> (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 59.4 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 0 . 9 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> $($ Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 38,080 ;$ Couple $=\$ 48,081)$ <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 19,528$; Couple $=\$ 29,529$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 25,492 ;$ Couple $=\$ 35,493$ ) | 51.4\% <br> 2,000 <br> 68.7\% <br> 2,000 |  |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{5 8 . 4 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21.8 \% \\ & 1,000 \\ & 20.2 \% \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 8 . 2 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{6 0 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20.4 \% \\ & 1,000 \\ & 21.4 \% \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | 55.7\% <br> 3,000 <br> \# <br> \# <br> \# | $\begin{gathered} \hline 17.8 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Santa Cruz County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007

Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 43,000 | 3,000 | 15,000 | 18,000 |
| $\underline{\text { Percent of total }}$ | 100.0\% | 7.3\% | 34.6\% | 41.9\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 1 . 9 \%} \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 29.6\% } \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,460; Couple = \$34,461 ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,141; Couple = \$27,142 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,298; Couple = \$29,299 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 43.8 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \text { 46.0\% } \\ \text { 3,000 } \\ \mathbf{7 2 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 2 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 6 . 3 \%} \\ 5,000 \\ \mathbf{4 2 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 0 . 0 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{2 9 . 2 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.9 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 59.2 \% \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 8 . 6 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \mathbf{3 1 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 54.1 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 0 . 1 \%} \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 56,000 | 3,000 | 20,000 | 23,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 6.0\% | 35.1\% | 41.1\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.6 \% \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 27.2\% } \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 30,623 ;$ Couple $=\$ 40,623$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,687; Couple = \$27,688 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,314; Couple = \$30,314 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.6 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{3 4 . 8 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{7 3 . 8 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \text { 23.3\% } \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 9 . 0 \%} \\ \text { 1,000 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 1 \%} \\ 6,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.0 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{2 5 . 8 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 9 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 6 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 9 . 2 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{3 8 . 7 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.8 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25.8 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Sierra County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007

Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 43,000 | 3,000 | 15,000 | 18,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.3\% | 34.6\% | 41.9\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 51.9 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 29.6\% } \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 25,615 ;$ Couple $=\$ 35,616$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,423; Couple = \$26,424 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,255; Couple = \$28,256 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 43.8 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \text { 46.0\% } \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{7 2 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 2 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.3 \% \\ 5,000 \\ \mathbf{4 2 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 0 . 0 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{2 9 . 2 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.9 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 59.2 \% \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 8 . 6 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \mathbf{3 1 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 54.1 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 0 . 1 \%} \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |


| note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| *Elder Index Amount for Siskiyou County Only | \# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates |
| **Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet | Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS |

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Napa and Solano Counties, CA

## Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 <br> Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 60,000 | 5,000 | 22,000 | 27,000 |
| $\underline{\text { Percent of total }}$ | 100.0\% | 8.9\% | 36.9\% | 45.7\% |

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Napa and Solano Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 6 . 1 \%} \\ 10,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.4 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 34,571 ;$ Couple $=\$ 43,345$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,305; Couple =\$25,079 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$21,857; Couple = \$30,632 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 59.2 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 6 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{6 0 . 8 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 32.1 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 8 . 3 \%} \\ 7,000 \\ \mathbf{5 0 . 4 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.8 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 19.2 \% \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 5 . 2 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \mathbf{5 6 . 6 \%} \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 8 . 6 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 0 . 8 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 53.5 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \# \\ \\ 78.7 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12.6 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \\ 40.5 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Solano County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

## Sonoma County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Sonoma |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 60,000 | 3,000 | 16,000 | 19,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 4.7\% | 26.8\% | 31.5\% |

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Sonoma County**

|  |  | Living Alone <br> $\mathbf{( 1}$ person <br> households) | Couples <br> (2 person <br> households) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\mathbf{4 1 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 3 \%}$ |
|  | \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | 4,000 |  |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Sonoma County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
${ }^{* *}$ Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm|

## Stanislaus County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Stanislaus |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 68,000 | 5,000 | 28,000 | 34,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.6\% | 42.1\% | 49.7\% |


| Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Stanislaus County** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index <br> \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 54.6 \% \\ 9,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.9 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 28,444 ;$ Couple $=\$ 37,074$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 15,833$; Couple $=\$ 24,464$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 18,971 ;$ Couple $=\$ 27,601$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 57.4 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 36.9 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 71.0 \% \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | 33.6\% <br> 3,000 <br> 19.2\% <br> 2,000 <br> 55.7\% <br> 2,000 |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 5 . 3 \%} \\ 7,000 \\ \mathbf{5 2 . 8 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.6 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{3 0 . 2 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.7 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 58.0 \% \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23.4 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 38.8 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 51.3 \% \\ 7,000 \\ 80.3 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 24.8 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 35.2 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \\ \\ 71.3 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Stanislaus County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit. http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | ```Total Below \\ Elder Index (Economically Insecure)``` |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 56,000 | 3,000 | 20,000 | 23,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 6.0\% | 35.1\% | 41.1\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.6 \% \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 27.2\% } \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 28,132$; Couple $=\$ 38,132$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,236; Couple = \$26,237 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,560; Couple = \$28,561 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.6 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{3 4 . 8 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{7 3 . 8 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \text { 23.3\% } \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 9 . 0 \%} \\ \text { 1,000 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 1 \%} \\ 6,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 9 . 0 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{2 5 . 8 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 9 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 6 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 9 . 2 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{3 8 . 7 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.8 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25.8 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Sutter County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
${ }^{* *}$ Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007

 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 47,000 | 2,000 | 18,000 | 20,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 5.3\% | 38.0\% | 43.3\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.6 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.3 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 26,969$; Couple $=\$ 36,970$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,517; Couple = \$26,518 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,234; Couple = \$28,235 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 63.1 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 33.8 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 65.7 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 36.5 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 30.9 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.2 \% \\ 5,000 \\ 46.8 \% \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35.4 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 31.6 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.2 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 49.4 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 7 . 5 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 1 . 5 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45.2 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.8 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |


| note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| *Elder Index Amount for Tehama County Only | \# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates |
| **Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet | Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS |

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html
center ror community
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

## Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 47,000 | 2,000 | 18,000 | 20,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 5.3\% | 38.0\% | 43.3\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.6 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.3 \% \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$26,969; Couple = \$36,970 ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,517; Couple = \$26,518 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,234; Couple = \$28,235 ) | 63.1\% <br> 2,000 <br> 33.8\% <br> 3,000 <br> 65.7\% <br> 3,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 36.5 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 30.9 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.2 \% \\ 5,000 \\ \mathbf{4 6 . 8 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35.4 \% \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{3 1 . 6 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.2 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 49.4 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 7 . 5 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 1 . 5 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45.2 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33.8 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Kings and Tulare Counties, CA

## Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007

Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 46,000 | 5,000 | 16,000 | 21,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 11.3\% | 33.9\% | 45.2\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Kings and Tulare Counties**

|  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index <br>  \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.8 \% \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 6 . 9 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: Owner with mortgage <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 24,624 ;$ Couple $=\$ 33,255)$ <br>  Owner without mortgage <br>  (Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 15,303 ;$ Couple $=\$ 23,933$ ) <br>  Renter, one bedroom <br>  $($ Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 17,814 ;$ Couple $=\$ 26,445$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3 4 . 7 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{7 9 . 8 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 34.4\% } \\ 2,000 \\ \text { 15.8\% } \\ 2,000 \\ 53.8 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: Women <br>   | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.5 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 46.4 \% \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 8 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{2 5 . 9 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: $65-74$ <br>  $75+$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.1 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 48.5 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.5 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 34.8 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: White (non-Latino) <br>  Latino (any race) <br>  African American <br>  Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 40.3 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 87.6 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 8 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{6 7 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Tulare County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000 , too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 <br> Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 33,000 | \# | 11,000 | 12,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% |  | 32.9\% | 35.8\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 0 . 0 \%} \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586 ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,044; Couple = \$30,045 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 64.2 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \text { 48.4\% } \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 2 . 5 \%} \\ 1,000 \\ \mathbf{1 7 . 5 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 2 . 3 \%} \\ 4,000 \\ \mathbf{4 5 . 1 \%} \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.5 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 0 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 0 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 8 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{2 2 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.0 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.1 \% \\ 4,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

| *Elder Index Amount for Tuolumne County Only | \# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates |
| :---: | :---: |
| **Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet | Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS |

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Ventura County, CA <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | Above FPL and Below Elder Index | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Ventura |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 81,000 | 3,000 | 28,000 | 31,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 3.8\% | 34.8\% | 38.6\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Ventura County**

|  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: $\begin{array}{ll}\text { \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index } \\ \text { \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45.7 \% \\ 9,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.3 \% \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 48.4\% <br> 2,000 <br> 30.3\% <br> 3,000 <br> 64.8\% <br> 4,000 | 15.0\% <br> 2,000 <br> 8.7\% <br> 1,000 <br> 56.3\% <br> 2,000 |
| Gender: Women <br>  Men | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{4 9 . 5 \%} \\ 7,000 \\ \mathbf{3 6 . 2 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 15.7 \% \\ 2,000 \\ 16.7 \% \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.5 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 49.9 \% \\ 6,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 14.5 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 19.0 \% \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: White (non-Latino) <br>  Latino (any race) <br>  African American <br>  Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42.6 \% \\ 7,000 \\ 78.0 \% \\ 2,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 13.4 \% \\ 4,000 \\ 26.5 \% \\ 1,000 \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Ventura County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm|

Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | ```Total Below \\ Elder Index \\ (Economically Insecure)``` |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 56,000 | 3,000 | 20,000 | 23,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 6.0\% | 35.1\% | 41.1\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.6 \% \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 27.2\% } \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 33,352$; Couple $=\$ 42,374$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,217; Couple = \$25,239 ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,608; Couple = \$29,630 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 6 . 6 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{3 4 . 8 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{7 3 . 8 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \text { 23.3\% } \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 9 . 0 \%} \\ \text { 1,000 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 1 \%} \\ 6,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.0 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 25.8 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 9 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 6 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.2 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 38.7 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.8 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25.8 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Yolo County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | ```Above FPL and Below Elder Index``` | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 3,736,000 | 295,000 | 1,465,000 | 1,760,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 7.9\% | 39.2\% | 47.1\% |
| Clustered Counties |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 56,000 | 3,000 | 20,000 | 23,000 |
| Percent of total | 100.0\% | 6.0\% | 35.1\% | 41.1\% |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties**

|  |  | Living Alone (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.6 \% \\ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 27.2\% } \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$28,132; Couple =\$38,132 ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 16,236 ;$ Couple $=\$ 26,237$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,560; Couple = \$28,561 ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 6 . 6 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \mathbf{3 4 . 8 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{7 3 . 8 \%} \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 7 . 1 \%} \\ 2,000 \\ \text { 23.3\% } \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 9 . 0 \%} \\ \text { 1,000 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 1 \%} \\ 6,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 3 \%} \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.0 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 25.8 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 0 . 9 \%} \\ 3,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 6 \%} \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19.2 \% \\ 3,000 \\ 38.7 \% \\ 4,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | $\begin{gathered} \hline 48.8 \% \\ 7,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25.8 \% \\ 6,000 \\ \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Elder Index Amount for Yuba County Only
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.
Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

## Statewide for California <br> Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index)

| Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements <br> (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Above and Below Elder Index | Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | Above FPL and Below Elder Index | Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure) |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Number <br> Percent of total | $\begin{gathered} 3,736,000 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 295,000 \\ 7.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,465,000 \\ 39.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1,760,000 } \\ 47.1 \% \end{gathered}$ |

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Statewide California**

|  |  | Living Alone <br> (1 person households) | Couples (2 person households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | \% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index \# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 50.9 \% \\ & 495,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{2 6 . 1 \%} \\ & 369,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Housing Type: | Owner with mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index: Single $=\$ 30,224$; Couple $=\$ 39,686$ ) <br> Owner without mortgage <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 17,105$; Couple $=\$ 26,567$ ) <br> Renter, one bedroom <br> ( Annual Elder Index*: Single $=\$ 21,011 ;$ Couple $=\$ 30,472$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 51.1 \% \\ 96,000 \\ 34.4 \% \\ 147,000 \\ 70.4 \% \\ 252,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 5 . 1 \%} \\ 126,000 \\ \mathbf{1 7 . 3 \%} \\ 124,000 \\ \mathbf{6 1 . 4 \%} \\ 120,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Gender: | Women <br> Men | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 53.5 \% \\ & 374,000 \\ & 44.0 \% \\ & 121,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 6 . 8 \%} \\ 165,000 \\ \mathbf{2 5 . 6 \%} \\ 204,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age: | $\begin{aligned} & 65-74 \\ & 75+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 48.4 \% \\ & 193,000 \\ & 52.6 \% \\ & 302,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 23.6\% } \\ \text { 192,000 } \\ 29.6 \% \\ 177,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity: | White (non-Latino) <br> Latino (any race) <br> African American <br> Asian | 44.3\% <br> 324,000 <br> 75.7\% <br> 77,000 <br> 69.2\% <br> 42,000 <br> 67.2\% <br> 43,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 0 . 2 \%} \\ 217,000 \\ \mathbf{4 7 . 8 \%} \\ 69,000 \\ \mathbf{2 9 . 1 \%} \\ 12,000 \\ \mathbf{4 8 . 6 \%} \\ 67,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding
*Average Elder Index Amount for Statewide California
\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit:
http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.htm

Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index) California County Comparison Summary

|  | LIVING ALONE (1 person households) |  | COUPLES <br> (2 person households) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below Elder Index | \% Below Elder Index | Below Elder Index | \% Below Elder Index |
| California | 495,000 | 50.9\% | 369,000 | 26.1\% |
| Alameda | 23,000 | 54.0\% | 13,000 | 26.5\% |
| Alpine, Amador, Calavaras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono, Tuolumne | 5,000 | 57.1\% | 4,000 | 20.0\% |
| Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama, Trinity | 7,000 | 48.6\% | 7,000 | 33.3\% |
| Contra Costa | 13,000 | 46.1\% | 10,000 | 20.8\% |
| Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta, Siskiyou | 7,000 | 51.9\% | 7,000 | 29.6\% |
| El Dorado | 2,000 | 41.8\% | 2,000 | 19.1\% |
| Fresno, Madera | 13,000 | 51.9\% | 10,000 | 26.4\% |
| Humboldt | 3,000 | 43.9\% | \# | 9.7\% |
| Imperial | 2,000 | 67.1\% | 3,000 | 47.8\% |
| Kern | 8,000 | 46.3\% | 6,000 | 24.3\% |
| Kings, Tulare | 5,000 | 48.8\% | 5,000 | 26.9\% |
| Lake, Mendocino | 3,000 | 57.7\% | 3,000 | 37.6\% |
| Los Angeles |  |  |  |  |
| LA City | 58,000 | 58.8\% | 41,000 | 37.8\% |
| LA County (except LA City) | 74,000 | 54.4\% | 55,000 | 31.2\% |
| Marin | 4,000 | 37.0\% | \# | 5.7\% |
| Merced | 3,000 | 53.7\% | 2,000 | 32.1\% |
| Monterey, San Benito | 6,000 | 47.6\% | 5,000 | 27.3\% |
| Napa, Solano | 10,000 | 56.1\% | 4,000 | 19.4\% |
| Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo, Yuba | 8,000 | 49.6\% | 7,000 | 27.2\% |
| Orange | 37,000 | 47.3\% | 25,000 | 20.8\% |
| Placer | 5,000 | 48.0\% | 6,000 | 23.5\% |
| Riverside | 26,000 | 46.9\% | 23,000 | 24.5\% |
| Sacramento | 19,000 | 44.3\% | 11,000 | 20.6\% |
| San Bernardino | 19,000 | 58.6\% | 14,000 | 25.9\% |
| San Diego | 38,000 | 46.3\% | 30,000 | 23.0\% |
| San Francisco | 21,000 | 61.3\% | 15,000 | 48.6\% |
| San Joaquin | 7,000 | 46.4\% | 6,000 | 26.4\% |
| San Luis Obispo | 6,000 | 52.8\% | 4,000 | 22.4\% |
| San Mateo | 8,000 | 37.7\% | 10,000 | 29.9\% |
| Santa Barbara | 7,000 | 47.2\% | 6,000 | 25.3\% |
| Santa Clara | 20,000 | 50.2\% | 14,000 | 22.9\% |
| Santa Cruz | 4,000 | 59.4\% | 2,000 | 20.9\% |
| Sonoma | 7,000 | 41.6\% | 4,000 | 14.3\% |
| Stanislaus | 9,000 | 54.6\% | 7,000 | 29.9\% |
| Ventura | 9,000 | 45.7\% | 5,000 | 16.3\% |

\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
Data from U.S.Census 2006 ACS
Numbers for individuals and couples living with others on separate sheet
For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:
http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html
For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

## Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Above the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) and Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index (Elder Index) California County Comparison Summary, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

|  | Below FPL | \% Below FPL | Below Elder Index | \% Below <br> Elder Index | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above FPL } \\ \& \\ \text { Below Elder Index } \end{gathered}$ | \% Above FPL $\&$ Below Elder Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | 295,000 | 7.9\% | 1,760,000 | 47.1\% | 1,465,000 | 39.2\% |
| Alameda | 10,000 | 6.8\% | 71,000 | 49.8\% | 62,000 | 42.9\% |
| Alpine, Amador, Calavaras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono, and Tuolumne | \# | 2.9\% | 12,000 | 35.8\% | 11,000 | 32.9\% |
| Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama, and Trinity | 2,000 | 5.3\% | 20,000 | 43.3\% | 18,000 | 38.0\% |
| Contra Costa | 6,000 | 5.4\% | 48,000 | 41.5\% | 42,000 | 36.1\% |
| Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou, and Shasta | 3,000 | 7.3\% | 18,000 | 41.9\% | 15,000 | 34.6\% |
| EI Dorado | 1,000 | 7.4\% | 7,000 | 33.0\% | 5,000 | 25.6\% |
| Fresno and Madera | 10,000 | 10.4\% | 46,000 | 47.5\% | 36,000 | 37.1\% |
| Humboldt | 1,000 | 7.1\% | 6,000 | 35.6\% | 5,000 | 28.5\% |
| Imperial | 2,000 | 13.5\% | 11,000 | 69.0\% | 9,000 | 55.6\% |
| Kern | 7,000 | 9.9\% | 28,000 | 42.5\% | 22,000 | 32.6\% |
| Kings and Tulare | 5,000 | 11.3\% | 21,000 | 45.2\% | 16,000 | 33.9\% |
| Lake and Mendocino | 1,000 | 6. 4 \% | 10,000 | 51.0\% | 9,000 | 44.7\% |
| "Los Angeles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA City | 40,000 | 11.4\% | 204,000 | 58.4\% | 164,000 | 47.0\% |
| LA County (excluding LA City) | 52,000 | 9.0\% | 312,000 | 54.2\% | 260,000 | 45.2\% |
| Marin | 2,000 | 4.3\% | 9,000 | 25.3\% | 7,000 | 21.0\% |
| Merced | 3,000 | 13.8\% | 13,000 | 60.1\% | 10,000 | 46.3\% |
| Monterey and San Benito | 3,000 | 7.8\% | 19,000 | 43.1\% | 15,000 | 35.3\% |
| Napa and Solano | 5,000 | 8.9\% | 27,000 | 45.7\% | 22,000 | 36.9\% |
| Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yuba, and Yolo | 3,000 | 6.0\% | 23,000 | 41.1\% | 20,000 | 35.1\% |
| Orange | 19,000 | 6.0\% | 137,000 | 43.7\% | 118,000 | 37.6\% |
| Placer | 1,000 | 3.1\% | 16,000 | 35.9\% | 15,000 | 32.8\% |
| Riverside | 17,000 | 7.9\% | 92,000 | 42.2\% | 75,000 | 34.3\% |
| Sacramento | 12,000 | 8.3\% | 60,000 | 41.9\% | 48,000 | 33.6\% |
| San Bernardino | 13,000 | 8.1\% | 80,000 | 50.9\% | 67,000 | 42.8\% |
| San Diego | 24,000 | 7.7\% | 131,000 | 41.8\% | 107,000 | 34.1\% |
| San Francisco | 10,000 | 9.3\% | 65,000 | 61.3\% | 55,000 | 52.0\% |
| San Joaquin | 4,000 | 6.8\% | 28,000 | 46.0\% | 24,000 | 39.2\% |
| Sann Luis Obispo | 4,000 | 10.8\% | 13,000 | 38.0\% | 9,000 | 27.1\% |
| San Mateo | 6,000 | 7.2\% | 38,000 | 43.0\% | 31,000 | 35.8\% |
| Santa Barbara | 2,000 | 5.1\% | 19,000 | 38.8\% | 16,000 | 33.6\% |
| Santa Clara | 11,000 | 6.3\% | 82,000 | 48.4\% | 72,000 | 42.1\% |
| Santa Cruz | 2,000 | 7.0\% | 11,000 | 41.6\% | 9,000 | 34.5\% |
| Sonoma | 3,000 | 4.7\% | 19,000 | 31.5\% | 16,000 | 26.8\% |
| Stanislaus | 5,000 | 7.6\% | 34,000 | 49.7\% | 28,000 | 42.1\% |
| Ventura | 3,000 | 3.8\% | 31,000 | 38.6\% | 28,000 | 34.8\% |

\# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
Data from U.S.Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ Index, 2007
County Comparison Summary
Annual Elder Index Total Cost for Renters

| County | Elder <br> Index | County | Elder <br> Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda | \$ 25,153 | Nevada | \$ 20,842 |
| Alpine | \$ 19,840 | Orange | \$ 25,466 |
| Amador | \$ 20,716 | Placer | \$ 21,727 |
| Butte | \$ 19,512 | Plumas | \$ 19,162 |
| Calaveras | \$ 19,696 | Riverside | \$ 20,703 |
| Colusa | \$18,726 | Sacramento | \$ 21,517 |
| Contra Costa | \$ 25,353 | San Benito | \$ 22,678 |
| Del Norte | \$ 18,627 | San Bernardino | \$ 20,591 |
| El Dorado | \$ 21,151 | San Diego | \$ 22,822 |
| Fresno | \$ 18,870 | San Francisco | \$ 27,435 |
| Glenn | \$ 17,934 | San Joaquin | \$ 19,913 |
| Humboldt | \$ 19,176 | San Luis Obispo | \$ 22,380 |
| Imperial | \$ 19,891 | San Mateo | \$ 27,550 |
| Inyo | \$ 18,748 | Santa Barbara | \$ 24,566 |
| Kern | \$ 17,789 | Santa Clara | \$ 25,391 |
| Kings | \$ 19,112 | Santa Cruz | \$ 25,492 |
| Lake | \$ 19,436 | Shasta | \$ 19,298 |
| Lassen | \$ 18,843 | Sierra | \$ 20,314 |
| Los Angeles |  | Siskiyou | \$ 18,255 |
| City of LA | \$ 22,798 | Solano | \$ 21,857 |
| Rest of LA | \$ 22,827 | Sonoma | \$ 22,635 |
| Madera | \$ 17,964 | Stanislaus | \$ 18,971 |
| Marin | \$ 26,581 | Sutter | \$ 18,560 |
| Mariposa | \$ 19,840 | Tehama | \$ 18,234 |
| Mendocino | \$ 20,220 | Trinity | \$ 18,234 |
| Merced | \$ 18,952 | Tulare | \$ 17,814 |
| Modoc | \$ 18,423 | Tuolumne | \$ 20,044 |
| Mono | \$ 21,652 | Ventura | \$ 23,910 |
| Monterey | \$ 24,178 | Yolo | \$ 20,608 |
| Napa | \$ 22,086 | Yuba | \$ 18,560 |
| Average | \$ 21,011 |  |  |
| Median | \$ 20,220 |  |  |
| High | \$ 27,550 |  |  |
| Low | \$ 17,789 |  |  |

or the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index08feb.htm|

| County | Elder Index | County | Elder <br> Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda | \$ 34,788 | Nevada | \$ 30,842 |
| Alpine | \$ 29,841 | Orange | \$ 33,310 |
| Amador | \$ 30,717 | Placer | \$ 30,749 |
| Butte | \$ 29,513 | Plumas | \$ 29,162 |
| Calaveras | \$ 29,697 | Riverside | \$ 28,729 |
| Colusa | \$ 28,727 | Sacramento | \$ 30,538 |
| Contra Costa | \$ 34,988 | San Benito | \$ 32,679 |
| Del Norte | \$ 28,628 | San Bernardino | \$ 28,617 |
| El Dorado | \$ 29,781 | San Diego | \$ 31,057 |
| Fresno | \$ 27,735 | San Francisco | \$ 37,148 |
| Glenn | \$ 27,935 | San Joaquin | \$ 28,674 |
| Humboldt | \$ 29,177 | San Luis Obispo | \$ 32,749 |
| Imperial | \$ 30,632 | San Mateo | \$ 37,263 |
| Inyo | \$ 28,749 | Santa Barbara | \$ 34,935 |
| Kern | \$ 26,471 | Santa Clara | \$ 35,104 |
| Kings | \$ 29,113 | Santa Cruz | \$ 35,493 |
| Lake | \$ 29,805 | Shasta | \$ 29,299 |
| Lassen | \$ 28,844 | Sierra | \$ 30,314 |
| Los Angeles |  | Siskiyou | \$ 28,256 |
| City of LA | \$ 30,538 | Solano | \$ 30,632 |
| Rest of LA | \$ 30,567 | Sonoma | \$ 31,410 |
| Madera | \$ 26,595 | Stanislaus | \$ 27,601 |
| Marin | \$ 35,355 | Sutter | \$ 28,561 |
| Mariposa | \$ 29,841 | Tehama | \$ 28,235 |
| Mendocino | \$ 30,221 | Trinity | \$ 28,235 |
| Merced | \$ 28,953 | Tulare | \$ 26,445 |
| Modoc | \$ 28,424 | Tuolumne | \$ 30,045 |
| Mono | \$ 31,653 | Ventura | \$ 31,259 |
| Monterey | \$ 34,179 | Yolo | \$ 29,630 |
| Napa | \$ 30,861 | Yuba | \$ 28,561 |
| Average | \$ 30,472 | return to index page |  |
| Median | \$ 29,841 |  |  |
| High | \$ 37,263 |  |  |
| Low | \$ 26,445 |  |  |

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program visit:
http://www.insightcced.org/index.php?page=california-elder-economic-securitynitiative

Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index, 2007
County Comparison Summary
Annual Elder Index Total Cost for Homeowner without Mortgage

Individual Homeowner w/o Mortgage in Good Health

| County | Elder <br> Index | County | Elder <br> Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda | \$ 17,602 | Nevada | \$ 17,687 |
| Alpine | \$ 18,950 | Orange | \$ 15,589 |
| Amador | \$ 18,950 | Placer | \$ 17,965 |
| Butte | \$ 16,766 | Plumas | \$ 17,687 |
| Calaveras | \$ 18,950 | Riverside | \$ 15,571 |
| Colusa | \$ 16,517 | Sacramento | \$ 16,701 |
| Contra Costa | \$ 18,804 | San Benito | \$ 17,328 |
| Del Norte | \$ 16,423 | San Bernardino | \$ 14,901 |
| El Dorado | \$ 16,816 | San Diego | \$ 15,678 |
| Fresno | \$ 16,001 | San Francisco | \$ 17,586 |
| Glenn | \$ 16,517 | San Joaquin | \$ 16,034 |
| Humboldt | \$ 16,985 | San Luis Obispo | \$ 17,587 |
| Imperial | \$ 17,569 | San Mateo | \$ 18,272 |
| Inyo | \$ 18,950 | Santa Barbara | \$ 18,320 |
| Kern | \$ 15,848 | Santa Clara | \$ 17,632 |
| Kings | \$ 17,032 | Santa Cruz | \$ 19,528 |
| Lake | \$ 17,135 | Shasta | \$ 17,141 |
| Lassen | \$ 16,423 | Sierra | \$ 17,687 |
| Los Angeles |  | Siskiyou | \$ 16,423 |
| City of LA | \$ 16,184 | Solano | \$ 16,305 |
| Rest of LA | \$ 16,355 | Sonoma | \$ 17,085 |
| Madera | \$ 17,424 | Stanislaus | \$ 15,833 |
| Marin | \$ 18,005 | Sutter | \$ 16,236 |
| Mariposa | \$ 18,950 | Tehama | \$ 16,517 |
| Mendocino | \$ 16,766 | Trinity | \$ 16,517 |
| Merced | \$ 16,361 | Tulare | \$ 15,303 |
| Modoc | \$ 16,423 | Tuolumne | \$ 18,950 |
| Mono | \$ 18,950 | Ventura | \$ 15,082 |
| Monterey | \$ 17,328 | Yolo | \$ 16,217 |
| Napa | \$ 18,614 | Yuba | \$ 16,236 |
| Average | \$ 17,105 |  |  |
| Median | \$ 16,985 |  |  |
| High | \$ 19,528 |  |  |
| Low | \$ 14,901 |  |  |
| For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index08feb.htm |  |  |  |

Couple Homeowner w/o Mortgage in Good Health

| County | Elder <br> Index | County | Elder <br> Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda | \$ 27,237 | Nevada | \$ 27,688 |
| Alpine | \$ 28,951 | Orange | \$ 23,434 |
| Amador | \$ 28,951 | Placer | \$ 26,986 |
| Butte | \$ 26,767 | Plumas | \$ 27,688 |
| Calaveras | \$ 28,951 | Riverside | \$ 23,597 |
| Colusa | \$ 26,518 | Sacramento | \$ 25,723 |
| Contra Costa | \$ 28,438 | San Benito | \$ 27,329 |
| Del Norte | \$ 26,424 | San Bernardino | \$ 22,926 |
| El Dorado | \$ 25,447 | San Diego | \$ 23,912 |
| Fresno | \$ 24,866 | San Francisco | \$ 27,299 |
| Glenn | \$ 26,518 | San Joaquin | \$ 24,795 |
| Humboldt | \$ 26,986 | San Luis Obispo | \$ 27,956 |
| Imperial | \$ 28,310 | San Mateo | \$ 27,985 |
| Inyo | \$ 28,951 | Santa Barbara | \$ 28,689 |
| Kern | \$ 24,531 | Santa Clara | \$ 27,345 |
| Kings | \$ 27,032 | Santa Cruz | \$ 29,529 |
| Lake | \$ 27,504 | Shasta | \$ 27,142 |
| Lassen | \$ 26,424 | Sierra | \$ 27,688 |
| Los Angeles |  | Siskiyou | \$ 26,424 |
| City of LA | \$ 23,924 | Solano | \$ 25,079 |
| Rest of LA | \$ 24,095 | Sonoma | \$ 25,859 |
| Madera | \$ 26,055 | Stanislaus | \$ 24,464 |
| Marin | \$ 26,780 | Sutter | \$ 26,237 |
| Mariposa | \$ 28,951 | Tehama | \$ 26,518 |
| Mendocino | \$ 26,767 | Trinity | \$ 26,518 |
| Merced | \$ 26,362 | Tulare | \$ 23,933 |
| Modoc | \$ 26,424 | Tuolumne | \$ 28,951 |
| Mono | \$ 28,951 | Ventura | \$ 22,431 |
| Monterey | \$ 27,329 | Yolo | \$ 25,239 |
| Napa | \$ 27,388 | Yuba | \$ 26,237 |
| Average | \$ 26,567 | return to index page |  |
| Median | \$ 26,767 |  |  |
| High | \$ 29,529 |  |  |
| Low | \$ 22,431 |  |  |

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative ${ }^{T M}$ program visit:
http://www.insightcced.org/index.php?page=california-elder-economic-securityinitiative

Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index, 2007
County Comparison Summary

Annual Elder Index Total Cost for Homeowner with Mortgage

| County | Elder Index | County |  | Elder Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda | \$ 35,569 | Nevada | \$ | 30,623 |
| Alpine | \$ 29,585 | Orange | \$ | 33,582 |
| Amador | \$ 29,585 | Placer | \$ | 35,321 |
| Butte | \$ 26,582 | Plumas | \$ | 30,623 |
| Calaveras | \$ 29,585 | Riverside | \$ | 28,858 |
| Colusa | \$ 26,969 | Sacramento | \$ | 27,752 |
| Contra Costa | \$ 34,470 | San Benito | \$ | 34,463 |
| Del Norte | \$ 25,615 | San Bernardino | \$ | 27,459 |
| El Dorado | \$ 31,091 | San Diego | \$ | 30,368 |
| Fresno | \$ 25,661 | San Francisco | \$ | 37,893 |
| Glenn | \$ 26,969 | San Joaquin | \$ | 29,568 |
| Humboldt | \$ 25,955 | San Luis Obispo | \$ | 30,588 |
| Imperial | \$ 24,551 | San Mateo | \$ | 42,987 |
| Inyo | \$ 29,585 | Santa Barbara | \$ | 33,401 |
| Kern | \$ 24,142 | Santa Clara | \$ | 37,641 |
| Kings | \$ 27,042 | Santa Cruz | \$ | 38,080 |
| Lake | \$ 30,226 | Shasta | \$ | 24,460 |
| Lassen | \$ 25,615 | Sierra | \$ | 30,623 |
| Los Angeles |  | Siskiyou | \$ | 25,615 |
| City of LA | \$ 33,358 | Solano | \$ | 34,571 |
| Rest of LA | \$ 30,591 | Sonoma | \$ | 31,854 |
| Madera | \$ 25,342 | Stanislaus | \$ | 28,444 |
| Marin | \$ 39,573 | Sutter | \$ | 28,132 |
| Mariposa | \$ 29,585 | Tehama | \$ | 26,969 |
| Mendocino | \$ 29,858 | Trinity | \$ | 26,969 |
| Merced | \$ 25,682 | Tulare | \$ | 24,624 |
| Modoc | \$ 25,615 | Tuolumne | \$ | 29,585 |
| Mono | \$ 29,585 | Ventura | \$ | 32,321 |
| Monterey | \$ 34,463 | Yolo | \$ | 33,352 |
| Napa | \$ 35,918 | Yuba | \$ | 28,132 |
| Average | \$ 30,224 |  |  |  |
| Median | \$ 29,585 |  |  |  |
| High | \$ 42,987 |  |  |  |
| Low | \$ 24,142 |  |  |  |

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index08feb.html

Couple Homeowner with Mortgage in Good Health

| County | Elder <br> Index | County | Elder <br> Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda | \$ 45,204 | Nevada | \$ 40,623 |
| Alpine | \$ 39,586 | Orange | \$ 41,427 |
| Amador | \$ 39,586 | Placer | \$ 44,342 |
| Butte | \$ 36,583 | Plumas | \$ 40,623 |
| Calaveras | \$ 39,586 | Riverside | \$ 36,884 |
| Colusa | \$ 36,970 | Sacramento | \$ 36,773 |
| Contra Costa | \$ 44,104 | San Benito | \$ 44,464 |
| Del Norte | \$ 35,616 | San Bernardino | \$ 35,485 |
| El Dorado | \$ 39,721 | San Diego | \$ 38,603 |
| Fresno | \$ 34,526 | San Francisco | \$ 47,606 |
| Glenn | \$ 36,970 | San Joaquin | \$ 38,329 |
| Humboldt | \$ 35,956 | San Luis Obispo | \$ 40,957 |
| Imperial | \$ 35,292 | San Mateo | \$ 52,700 |
| Inyo | \$ 39,586 | Santa Barbara | \$ 43,770 |
| Kern | \$ 32,825 | Santa Clara | \$ 47,354 |
| Kings | \$ 37,043 | Santa Cruz | \$ 48,081 |
| Lake | \$ 40,595 | Shasta | \$ 34,461 |
| Lassen | \$ 35,616 | Sierra | \$ 40,623 |
| Los Angeles |  | Siskiyou | \$ 35,616 |
| City of LA | \$ 41,098 | Solano | \$ 43,345 |
| Rest of LA | \$ 38,331 | Sonoma | \$ 40,628 |
| Madera | \$ 33,972 | Stanislaus | \$ 37,074 |
| Marin | \$ 48,348 | Sutter | \$ 38,132 |
| Mariposa | \$ 39,586 | Tehama | \$ 36,970 |
| Mendocino | \$ 39,859 | Trinity | \$ 36,970 |
| Merced | \$ 35,683 | Tulare | \$ 33,255 |
| Modoc | \$ 35,616 | Tuolumne | \$ 39,586 |
| Mono | \$ 39,586 | Ventura | \$ 39,670 |
| Monterey | \$ 44,464 | Yolo | \$ 42,374 |
| Napa | \$ 44,692 | Yuba | \$ 38,132 |
| Average | \$ 39,686 | return to index page |  |
| Median | \$ 39,586 |  |  |
| High | \$ 52,700 |  |  |
| Low | \$ 32,825 |  |  |

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program visit:
http://www.insightcced.org/index.php?page=california-elder-economic-securityinitiative

## Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index Average Annual Social Security Payment for Single Elder* by County, 2007

| County | Average Annual Payment 2005 | Adjusted by COLAS to 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda | \$11,755.89 | \$12,641.74 |
| Alpine | \$11,165.22 | \$12,006.55 |
| Amador | \$11,742.06 | \$12,626.86 |
| Butte | \$11,306.08 | \$12,158.02 |
| Calaveras | \$11,816.13 | \$12,706.51 |
| Colusa | \$11,315.94 | \$12,168.63 |
| Contra Costa | \$12,556.76 | \$13,502.95 |
| Del Norte | \$10,904.96 | \$11,726.68 |
| El Dorado | \$11,712.83 | \$12,595.43 |
| Fresno | \$10,944.90 | \$11,769.63 |
| Glenn | \$10,836.36 | \$11,652.92 |
| Humboldt | \$11,522.06 | \$12,390.28 |
| Imperial | \$9,239.19 | \$9,935.39 |
| Inyo | \$11,017.48 | \$11,847.68 |
| Kern | \$11,107.09 | \$11,944.04 |
| Kings | \$10,563.07 | \$11,359.03 |
| Lake | \$11,222.45 | \$12,068.09 |
| Lassen | \$10,238.57 | \$11,010.08 |
| Los Angeles | \$11,661.48 | \$12,540.21 |
| city | N/A | N/A |
| county | N/A | N/A |
| Madera | \$11,020.65 | \$11,851.09 |
| Marin | \$13,280.77 | \$14,281.52 |
| Mariposa | \$11,041.60 | \$11,873.61 |
| Mendocino | \$11,286.40 | \$12,136.86 |
| Merced | \$10,456.38 | \$11,244.30 |
| Modoc | \$10,576.40 | \$11,373.36 |
| Mono | \$11,366.87 | \$12,223.40 |
| Monterey | \$11,252.51 | \$12,100.42 |
| Napa | \$11,612.74 | \$12,487.80 |

SOURCE: Social Security Administration, Office of Policy OASDI Beneficiaries by State and County, 2005
http://www.socialsecurity.gov/policy/docs/statcomps/oasdi sc/ 2005/

* Amount for couples estimated at 1.68 times the single amount.

| County | Average Annual Payment 2005 | Adjusted by COLAS to 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nevada | \$11,781.07 | \$12,668.80 |
| Orange | \$12,541.98 | \$13,487.05 |
| Placer | \$11,981.28 | \$12,884.10 |
| Plumas | \$11,229.42 | \$12,075.59 |
| Riverside | \$11,798.28 | \$12,687.31 |
| Sacramento | \$11,219.75 | \$12,065.19 |
| San Benito | \$11,314.70 | \$12,167.29 |
| Bernardino | \$11,195.42 | \$12,039.03 |
| San Diego | \$11,395.90 | \$12,254.61 |
| San Francisco | \$11,322.76 | \$12,175.97 |
| San Joaquin | \$11,253.28 | \$12,101.24 |
| Obispo | \$11,666.77 | \$12,545.89 |
| San Mateo | \$12,772.13 | \$13,734.54 |
| Santa Barbara | \$11,877.22 | \$12,772.20 |
| Santa Clara | \$12,520.18 | \$13,463.62 |
| Santa Cruz | \$11,614.58 | \$12,489.78 |
| Shasta | \$11,365.43 | \$12,221.85 |
| Sierra | \$11,229.36 | \$12,075.52 |
| Siskiyou | \$10,846.39 | \$11,663.70 |
| Solano | \$10,927.16 | \$11,750.55 |
| Sonoma | \$11,975.41 | \$12,877.79 |
| Stanislaus | \$11,230.59 | \$12,076.85 |
| Sutter | \$10,834.88 | \$11,651.32 |
| Tehama | \$11,120.68 | \$11,958.66 |
| Trinity | \$10,974.55 | \$11,801.51 |
| Tulare | \$10,462.88 | \$11,251.29 |
| Tuolumne | \$11,718.01 | \$12,600.99 |
| Ventura | \$11,939.30 | \$12,838.96 |
| Yolo | \$11,398.60 | \$12,257.52 |
| Yuba | \$10,586.27 | \$11,383.98 |
| California | \$11,688.22 | \$12,568.96 |

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit:
http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index08feb.html

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program visit:
http://www.insightcced.org/index.php?page=california-elder-economic-security-initiative

# Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index Adjustments Used to Inflate Historic Costs 

## Medical care <br> 1.138

CPI-West 7/04-6/07 (Series ID : CUUR0400SAM)
July 2004= $310.4 \quad$ June 2007= 353.37

## Housing <br> 1.083

CPI-West 7/05-6/07 (Series ID : CUUR0400SAH)
July 2004=204.2 June 2007=221.23

Social Security COLA
2005= 4.1\%
1.075

2006= 3.3\%

CPI Source: http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/dsrv?cu
Social Security: http://www.ssa.gov/OACT/COLA/colaseries.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index08feb.html

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Program visit:
http://www.insightcced.org/index.php?page=california-elder-economic-security-initiative

## Elder Economic Security Standard ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Index

Housing Type for Older Adults in California by County and Income

|  | All persons age 65 and over* |  |  | Persons age 65 and over with incomes under 200\% of federal poverty level* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Owner without Mortgage | \% Owner with <br> Mortgage | \% Renting | Owner without Mortgage | \% Owner with Mortgage | \% Renting |
| California | 43\% | 34\% | 21\% | 38\% | 27\% | 33\% |
| Alameda | 41\% | 35\% | 22\% | 35\% | 26\% | 37\% |
| Alpine | 62\% | 31\% | 5\% | 64\% | 25\% | 7\% |
| Amador | 62\% | 31\% | 5\% | 64\% | 25\% | 7\% |
| Butte | 58\% | 27\% | 13\% | 55\% | 22\% | 21\% |
| Calaveras | 62\% | 31\% | 5\% | 64\% | 25\% | 7\% |
| Colusa (2) | 59\% | 23\% | 13\% | 59\% | 21\% | 15\% |
| Contra Costa | 47\% | 38\% | 14\% | 43\% | 30\% | 26\% |
| Del Norte (1) | 54\% | 31\% | 12\% | 54\% | 26\% | 18\% |
| El Dorado | 57\% | 33\% | 9\% | 54\% | 28\% | 18\% |
| Fresno | 46\% | 31\% | 20\% | 41\% | 28\% | 28\% |
| Glenn (2) | 59\% | 23\% | 13\% | 59\% | 21\% | 15\% |
| Humboldt | 70\% | 19\% | 10\% | 64\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| Imperial | 41\% | 23\% | 34\% | 33\% | 20\% | 45\% |
| Inyo | 62\% | 31\% | 5\% | 64\% | 25\% | 7\% |
| Kern | 47\% | 34\% | 17\% | 45\% | 30\% | 23\% |
| Kings | 47\% | 31\% | 21\% | 53\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| Lake (7) | 65\% | 18\% | 15\% | 66\% | 13\% | 17\% |
| Lassen (1) | 54\% | 31\% | 12\% | 54\% | 26\% | 18\% |
| Los Angeles City | 32\% | 32\% | 35\% | 22\% | 23\% | 53\% |
| LA County, balance | 39\% | 34\% | 25\% | 32\% | 27\% | 38\% |
| Madera | 58\% | 28\% | 13\% | 43\% | 26\% | 29\% |
| Marin | 45\% | 38\% | 15\% | 47\% | 22\% | 29\% |
| Mariposa | 62\% | 31\% | 5\% | 64\% | 25\% | 7\% |
| Mendocino (7) | 65\% | 18\% | 15\% | 66\% | 13\% | 17\% |
| Merced | 47\% | 29\% | 18\% | 41\% | 26\% | 25\% |
| Modoc (1) | 54\% | 31\% | 12\% | 54\% | 26\% | 18\% |
| Mono | 62\% | 31\% | 5\% | 64\% | 25\% | 7\% |
| Monterey (5) | 48\% | 35\% | 15\% | 42\% | 28\% | 27\% |
| Napa | 59\% | 29\% | 11\% | 63\% | 20\% | 17\% |
| Nevada (3) | 57\% | 33\% | 8\% | 56\% | 25\% | 17\% |
| Orange | 42\% | 37\% | 19\% | 36\% | 29\% | 33\% |
| Placer | 43\% | 41\% | 14\% | 41\% | 36\% | 19\% |
| Plumas (3) | 57\% | 33\% | 8\% | 56\% | 25\% | 17\% |
| Riverside | 47\% | 38\% | 14\% | 43\% | 34\% | 22\% |
| Sacramento | 45\% | 36\% | 17\% | 39\% | 27\% | 31\% |
| San Benito (5) | 48\% | 35\% | 15\% | 42\% | 28\% | 27\% |
| San Bernardino | 43\% | 40\% | 16\% | 47\% | 29\% | 23\% |
| San Diego | 43\% | 35\% | 21\% | 38\% | 28\% | 33\% |
| San Francisco | 35\% | 22\% | 42\% | 24\% | 13\% | 60\% |
| San Joaquin | 42\% | 35\% | 21\% | 36\% | 32\% | 29\% |


|  | All persons age 65 and over* |  |  | Persons age 65 and over with incomes under 200\% of federal poverty level* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Owner without <br> Mortgage | \% Owner with <br> Mortgage | \% Renting | Owner without Mortgage | \% Owner with <br> Mortgage | \% Renting |
| San Luis Obispo | 49\% | 36\% | 11\% | 45\% | 31\% | 17\% |
| San Mateo | 45\% | 35\% | 19\% | 36\% | 27\% | 34\% |
| Santa Barbara | 52\% | 31\% | 15\% | 48\% | 29\% | 21\% |
| Santa Clara | 43\% | 35\% | 20\% | 37\% | 28\% | 33\% |
| Santa Cruz | 58\% | 27\% | 13\% | 55\% | 21\% | 20\% |
| Shasta | 53\% | 29\% | 16\% | 48\% | 29\% | 18\% |
| Sierra (3) | 57\% | 33\% | 8\% | 56\% | 25\% | 17\% |
| Siskiyou (1) | 54\% | 31\% | 12\% | 54\% | 26\% | 18\% |
| Solano | 41\% | 42\% | 16\% | 44\% | 29\% | 26\% |
| Sonoma | 45\% | 34\% | 19\% | 43\% | 23\% | 32\% |
| Stanislaus | 46\% | 31\% | 21\% | 36\% | 33\% | 27\% |
| Sutter (4) | 53\% | 26\% | 19\% | 44\% | 27\% | 27\% |
| Tehama (2) | 59\% | 23\% | 13\% | 59\% | 21\% | 15\% |
| Trinity (2) | 59\% | 23\% | 13\% | 59\% | 21\% | 15\% |
| Tulare | 44\% | 34\% | 18\% | 37\% | 32\% | 28\% |
| Tuolumne | 62\% | 31\% | 5\% | 64\% | 25\% | 7\% |
| Ventura | 42\% | 41\% | 16\% | 44\% | 25\% | 29\% |
| Yolo | 51\% | 30\% | 19\% | 53\% | 16\% | 30\% |
| Yuba (4) | 53\% | 26\% | 19\% | 44\% | 27\% | 27\% |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2005 American Community Survey (ACS)

* County totals do not equal $100 \%$ because some elders report "other" housing arrangements.

Note: The following counties were combined in the U.S. Census ACS data
(1) Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou
(2) Colusa, Glenn, Tehama, Trinity
(3) Nevada, Plumas, Sierra
(4) Sutter, Yuba
(5) Monterey, San Benito
(6) Alpine, Amador, Calvaeras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono, Tuolumne
(7) Mendocino, Lake

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit:
http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index08feb.html
For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program visit: http://www.insightcced.org/index.php?page=california-elder-economic-security-initiative



[^0]:    Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties
    Please see Clustered Counties for further details.
    For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

    For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder index09feb.html

