Characteristics of Adults age 65 and older with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index, California

County Numbers and Percents, State Totals, and County Comparisons Adults age 65 and older Living Alone and Couples Only

Prepared by Steven P. Wallace, PhD
D. Imelda Padilla-Frausto, MPH
L. Cricel Molina, MPH
Y. Jenny Chia, PhD



February 2009

The methodology for this report was developed by UCLA Center for Health Policy Research in collaboration with the Insight Center for Community Economic Development, Oakland, CA

The Elder Index is based on the methodology originally developed by the Gerontology Institute, University of Massachusetts-Boston and Wider Opportunities for Women

Funding for this report provided by the University of California's Program on Access to Care (CPAC), Area Agency on Aging of Los Angeles County, Aging & Independent Services of San Diego, and Insight Center for Community Economic Development, Oakland, California.

This report is part of the California Elder Economic Security Initiative™ program (Cal-EESI) which is led by the Insight Center for Community Economic Development, Oakland, California, with the guidance of a statewide steering committee. Cal-EESI is part of a national initiative led by Wider Opportunities for Women (WOW), Washington, DC, which holds the trademarks for the terms Elder Economic Security Initiative program, Elderly Economic Security Standard Index, EESI, and Elder Index. The national methodology was developed by the Gerontology Institute at UMass-Boston in collaboration with WOW. The UCLA Center for Health Policy Research used the national methodology to model the data for California.







Wider Opportunities for Women

www.wowonline.org

Funding for the California Elder Economic Security Initiative program has been provided by: Aging & Independent Services of San Diego, Alameda County Social Services Agency Adult and Aging Services, Area Agency on Aging of the County of Los Angeles, The California Endowment, California Community Foundation, California Policy Research Center, The California Wellness Foundation, City of Los Angeles Department of Aging, Council on Aging-Silicon Valley, Health Trust, Wider Opportunities for Women, UC Program on Access to Care, United Way of the Bay Area, and Y & H Soda Foundation.

The views expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, the Regents of the University of California, or University of California, Office of the President, California Program on Access to Care Copyright 2009 The Regents of the University of California. All Rights Reserved.

The UCLA Center for Health Policy Research is affiliated with the UCLA School of Public Health and the UCLA School of Public Affairs. Visit the center's website at:

www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu

Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index) California Counties

Click to go to page

<u>Alameda</u>	<u>Madera</u>	San Luis Obispo
<u>Alpine</u>	<u>Marin</u>	San Mateo
<u>Amador</u>	<u>Mariposa</u>	Santa Barbara
<u>Butte</u>	<u>Mendocino</u>	Santa Clara
<u>Calaveras</u>	<u>Merced</u>	Santa Cruz
<u>Colusa</u>	<u>Modoc</u>	<u>Shasta</u>
Contra Costa	<u>Mono</u>	<u>Sierra</u>
Del Norte	<u>Monterey</u>	Siskiyou
El Dorado	<u>Napa</u>	<u>Solano</u>
<u>Fresno</u>	<u>Nevada</u>	<u>Sonoma</u>
Glenn	<u>Orange</u>	<u>Stanislaus</u>
<u>Humboldt</u>	<u>Placer</u>	<u>Sutter</u>
<u>Imperial</u>	<u>Plumas</u>	<u>Tehama</u>
<u>Inyo</u>	<u>Riverside</u>	<u>Trinity</u>
<u>Kern</u>	<u>Sacramento</u>	<u>Tulare</u>
<u>Kings</u>	San Benito	<u>Tuolumne</u>
<u>Lake</u>	San Bernardino	<u>Ventura</u>
<u>Lassen</u>	San Diego	<u>Yolo</u>
Los Angeles City	San Francisco	<u>Yuba</u>
Rest of Los Angeles County	San Joaquin	Statewide Totals

Supplemental Sheets

NarrativeBelow Elder Index CountyFederal Poverty Level andClustered CountiesComparisonsElder Index Comparisons

County Comparisons, Annual Elder Index Amounts

Elder Owners w/o Mortgage Elder Owners w/ Mortgage

Supporting Data

<u>Average Annual Social Security</u> <u>Inflation Adjustments</u> <u>Housing Type by County</u>

For full report on Annual Elder Index Amounts see: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index08feb.html

Financial support for the Elder Economic Security Initiative development provided by: Aging & Independent Services of San Diego, Alameda County Social Services Agency Adult and Aging Services, Area Agency on Aging of the County of Los Angeles, The California Endowment, California Community Foundation, California Policy Research Center, The California Wellness Foundation, City of Los Angeles Department of Aging, Council on Aging-Silicon Valley, Health Trust, Wider Opportunities for Women, UC Program on Access to Care, United Way of the Bay Area, and Y & H Soda Foundation.

For the complete report and methodology visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







California

Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index

The Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index is the basic income needed to make ends meet for persons age 65 and older. It reflects actual costs at the county level for housing, health care, food, transportation, and other costs in different housing types.

Source: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index08feb.html

Federal Poverty Level (FPL)

Offically called the Federal Poverty Guideline (also called the Federal Poverty Level or FPL), it is used by many public programs to calculate eligibility. In 2007, the Federal Poverty Level for one adult age 65+, living anywhere in the country was \$10,210 per year.

Source: http://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/

Above FPL and Below the Elder Index

Persons age 65 and older whose income is in the gap above the Federal Poverty Level and below the Elder Index. These elders often do no qualify for public supports and do not have enough to cover their basic expenses. The Elder Index demonstrates that many older Americans who are not "poor", as defined by the FPL, still do not have enough income to meet their basic needs.

Living Alone (1 person households)

A person age 65 and over who is in a one person household.

Couples (2 person households)

Couples are a two person household where one or both are age 65 or older and married.

Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)

All persons age 65 and older in all living arrangements, except nursing homes, whose income is below the Elder Index (Economically Insecure). The Elder Index measures how much income is needed for retired adults age 65+ to meet basic needs in each county of California.

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ by Subgroup Total These data provide the percent and number of older adults who are economically insecure (below the Elder Index) in each living arrangement. Within each of the four living arrangements, data is provided for those who are economically insecure according to their housing status, gender, age, and race and ethnicity. For example, under the section Gender: the table shows among women 65+ who live alone, the percent and number who are economically insecure. It also shows among men 65+ who live alone, the percent and number who are economically insecure. In cases where the results are fewer than 1,000 people below the elder index for a particular subgroup, the number is too small to calculate a reliable estimate and therefore not included.

Data for these tables are based on the U.S. Census 2006 American Community Survey (ACS) and the California Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index adjusted to 2006.







Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index) **Clustered California Counties**

Methodology for Clustered Counties

Due to small numbers and unreliable estimates in some individual counties, a 2-step method was used to cluster the counties listed on this page to produce reliable estimates.

The first step clustered counties according the the Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMAs) as defined by the Census. PUMAs are special nonoverlapping areas that partition a state, and each PUMA contains a total population of about 100,000. State governments drew the PUMA boundaries at the time of the 2000 Census.

http://www.census.gov/acs/www/Products/users_guide/acs_2006_reference_maps.htm

If these clusters and/or other individual counties still contained small numbers and unreliable estimates when subset to older adults, the second step combined PUMA clusters or other individual counties according to the California Department of Aging, Planning and Service Areas (PSAs). In some instances, the PUMAs and PSAs did not coincide and exceptions are noted.

The following counties are clustered according the Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMAs) as defined by the Census.

http://www.census.gov/geo/puma/puma2000.html

Alpine Calaveras Amador Invo Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne comprise PUMA #1800

Mariposa Mono **Tuolumne**

San Benito Monterey Montery and San Bentio comprise PUMA #3002

Lake **Mendocino** Lake and Mendocino comprise PUMA #400

The following counties are clustered due to small numbers in at least one of the counties. The clusters are consistent with the CA Department of Aging Planning and Service Areas (PSA). PSA county clustering can be obtained at the Triple-A Council of California.

http://www.4tacc.org/

Kings **Tulare** Kings and Tulare Counties follow PSA 15

Fresno Madera Fresno and Madera Counties follow PSA 14

Napa Solano Napa and Solano follow PSA 28

The following clusters contain PUMA clusters with small numbers and unreliable estimates of older adults. Further clustering follows PSA county clusters with exceptions noted.

Del Norte

Siskiyou

Nevada

Yolo

Lassen

Plumas

Yuba

Butte County is combined with PUMA cluster #500, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity, **Butte** Colusa Glenn to follow PSA 3. Note: Due to the composition of Census PUMAs, this cluster differs from **Trinity**

Shasta County is combined with PUMA cluster #100 Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, and Siskiyou to follow PSA 2. Note: Due to the composition of Census PUMAs, this cluster

differs from PSA2 by including Del Norte and excluding Trinity.

Sutter & Yuba (#800) to follow PSA 4. Note: Due to composition of Census PUMAs, this cluster differs from PSA 4 by including Plumas and excluding Placer and Sacramento

Yolo County is combined with two PUMA clusters: Nevada, Plumas, & Sierra (#700) and (available separately).

For the complete report and methodology visit: http://www.healthpolicv.ucla.edu/elder_index09ian.html

PSA3 by including Trinity and excluding Plumas.

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative™ program visit: http://www.insightcced.org/index.php?page=california-elder-economic-security-initiative

return to index page

Tehama

Shasta

Sutter







Modoc

Sierra

Alameda County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Alameda</u>				
Number	143,000	10,000	62,000	71,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.8%	42.9%	49.8%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alameda County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	54.0% 23,000	26.5% 13,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$35,569; Couple = \$45,204)	55.5% 4,000	23.7% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,602; Couple = \$27,237)	32.7% 6,000	20.6% 6,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,153; Couple = \$34,788)	72.9% 14,000	55.1% <i>4,000</i>
Gender:	Women	57.7% 18,000	28.3% 6,000
	Men	45.0% 6,000	25.0% 7,000
Age:	65-74	50.2% 10,000	23.3% 6,000
	75+	57.2% 13,000	30.7% 6,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	43.4% 11,000	17.7% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	79.1% 3,000	39.4% 1,000
	African American	69.9% 6,000	#
	Asian	72.3% 4,000	51.7% 5,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Alameda County Only

Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	57.1% 5,000	20.0% 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586)	64.2% 1,000	22.5% 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	48.4% 2,000	17.5% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,840; Couple = \$29,841)	#	#
Gender:	Women	62.3% 4,000	19.5% 2,000
	Men	45.1% 1,000	20.3% 2,000
Age:	65-74	57.0% 2,000	18.3% 2,000
	75+	57.1% 3,000	22.1% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	56.0% 4,000	21.1% 4,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Alpine County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Alpine, <u>Amador</u>, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	57.1% 5,000	20.0% 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586)	64.2% 1,000	22.5% 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	48.4% 2,000	17.5% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,716; Couple = \$30,717)	#	#
Gender:	Women	62.3% 4,000	19.5% 2,000
	Men	45.1% 1,000	20.3% 2,000
Age:	65-74	57.0% 2,000	18.3% 2,000
	75+	57.1% 3,000	22.1% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	56.0% 4,000	21.1% 4,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Amador County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	47,000	2,000	18,000	20,000
Percent of total	100.0%	5.3%	38.0%	43.3%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	48.6% 7,000	33.3% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$26,582; Couple = \$36,583)	63.1% 2,000	36.5% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,766; Couple = \$26,767)	33.8% 3,000	30.9% 4,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,512; Couple = \$29,513)	65.7% 3,000	#
Gender:	Women	49.2% 5,000	35.4% 3,000
	Men	46.8% 2,000	31.6% 4,000
Age:	65-74	47.2% 3,000	27.5% 3,000
	75+	49.4% 4,000	41.5% 4,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	45.2% 6,000	33.8% 7,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Butte County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and **Tuolumne Counties****

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	57.1% 5,000	20.0% 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586)	64.2% 1,000	22.5% 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	48.4% 2,000	17.5% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,696; Couple = \$29,697)	#	#
Gender:	Women	62.3% 4,000	19.5% 2,000
	Men	45.1% 1,000	20.3% 2,000
Age:	65-74	57.0% 2,000	18.3% 2,000
	75+	57.1% 3, <i>000</i>	22.1% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	56.0% 4,000	21.1% 4,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see Clustered Counties for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Calaveras County Only

Butte, <u>Colusa</u>, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	47,000	2,000	18,000	20,000
Percent of total	100.0%	5.3%	38.0%	43.3%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	48.6% 7,000	33.3% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$26,969; Couple = \$36,970)	63.1% 2,000	36.5% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,517; Couple = \$26,518)	33.8% 3,000	30.9% 4,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,726; Couple = \$28,727)	65.7% 3, <i>000</i>	#
Gender:	Women	49.2% 5,000	35.4% 3,000
	Men	46.8% 2,000	31.6% 4,000
Age:	65-74	47.2% 3,000	27.5% 3,000
	75+	49.4% 4,000	41.5% 4,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	45.2% 6,000	33.8% 7,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Colusa County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Contra Costa County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Contra Costa				
Number	116,000	6,000	42,000	48,000
Percent of total	100.0%	5.4%	36.1%	41.5%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Contra Costa County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	46.1% 13,000	20.8% 10,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$34,470; Couple = \$44,104)	54.5% 4,000	16.8% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,804; Couple = \$28,438)	31.9% <i>4,000</i>	16.4% 4,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,353; Couple = \$34,988)	66.5% 4,000	58.3% 3,000
Gender:	Women	53.1% 11,000	20.6% 4,000
	Men	22.2% 1,000	21.0% 6,000
Age:	65-74	42.2% 5,000	17.4% 5,000
	75+	49.0% 8,000	24.9% 6,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	38.8% 9,000	16.0% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	98.6% 1,000	47.4% 2,000
	African American	75.0% 2,000	35.0% 1,000
	Asian	#	28.0% 1,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: <u>http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html</u>

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Contra Costa County Only

Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	43,000	3,000	15,000	18,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.3%	34.6%	41.9%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	51.9% 7,000	29.6% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,615; Couple = \$35,616)	43.8% 1,000	32.4% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,423; Couple = \$26,424)	46.0% 3,000	27.1% 4,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,627; Couple = \$28,628)	72.4% 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	56.3% 5,000	30.0% 3,000
	Men	42.5% 2,000	29.2% 4,000
Age:	65-74	39.9% 2,000	28.6% 4,000
	75+	59.2% 5,000	31.1% 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	54.1% 6,000	30.1% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates rs on separate sheet Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Del Norte County Only

Eldorado County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Eldorado</u>				
Number	20,000	1,000	5,000	7,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.4%	25.6%	33.0%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Eldorado County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	41.8% 2,000	19.1% 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$31,091; Couple = \$39,721)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,816; Couple = \$25,447)	38.4% 1,000	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$21,151; Couple = \$29,781)	#	#
Gender:	Women	42.1% 1,000	#
	Men	#	#
Age:	65-74	#	22.0% 1,000
	75+	56.1% 2,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	39.8% 2,000	14.2% 1,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Eldorado County Only

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Fresno and Madera Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	97,000	10,000	36,000	46,000
Percent of total	100.0%	10.4%	37.1%	47.5%

Е	Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Fro	esno and Madera Coun	ties**
		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	51.9% 13,000	26.4% 10,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,661; Couple = \$34,526)	50.5% 2,000	25.7% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,001; Couple = \$24,866)	44.3% 5,000	15.7% 3,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,870; Couple = \$27,735)	62.3% 6,000	60.4% 4,000
Gender:	Women	55.2% 10,000	26.2% 4,000
	Men	41.0% 2,000	26.6% 6,000
Age:	65-74	48.4% 5,000	30.4% 7,000
	75+	54.1% 8,000	20.7% 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	42.0% 7,000	18.6% 5,000
	Latino (any race)	83.3% 4,000	57.1% 3,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Fresno County Only
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	47,000	2,000	18,000	20,000
Percent of total	100.0%	5.3%	38.0%	43.3%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	48.6% 7,000	33.3% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$26,969; Couple = \$36,970)	63.1% 2,000	36.5% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,517; Couple = \$26,518)	33.8% 3,000	30.9% 4,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,934; Couple = \$27,935)	65.7% 3,000	#
Gender:	Women	49.2% 5,000	35.4% 3,000
	Men	46.8% 2,000	31.6% <i>4,000</i>
Age:	65-74	47.2% 3,000	27.5% 3,000
	75+	49.4% 4,000	41.5% <i>4</i> ,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	45.2% 6,000	33.8% 7,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Glenn County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Humboldt County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Humboldt</u>				
Number	16,000	1,000	5,000	6,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.1%	28.5%	35.6%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Humboldt County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	43.9%	#
	# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	3,000	
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,955; Couple = \$35,956)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,985; Couple = \$26,986)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,176; Couple = \$29,177)	73.7% 1,000	#
Gender:	Women	47.6% 2,000	#
	Men	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

65-74

75+

Asian

Age:

Race/Ethnicity:

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

White (non-Latino)

Latino (any race)

African American

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html

return to index page

#







47.7%

1,000

40.8% 1,000

43.5% 2,000

^{*}Elder Index Amount for Humboldt County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Imperial County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Imperial</u>				
Number	16,000	2,000	9,000	11,000
Percent of total	100.0%	13.5%	55.6%	69.0%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Imperial County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	67.1% 2,000	47.8% 3,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,551; Couple = \$35,292)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,569; Couple = \$28,310)	#	43.1% 1,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,891; Couple = \$30,632)	94.8% 1,000	#
Gender:	Women	60.5% 1,000	49.8% 1,000
	Men	#	46.0% 1,000
Age:	65-74	#	46.3% 1,000
	75+	68.1% 1,000	49.7% 1,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	#	42.8% 1,000
	Latino (any race)	85.5% 1,000	60.8% 1,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Imperial County Only

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and **Tuolumne Counties****

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	57.1% 5,000	20.0% 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586)	64.2% 1,000	22.5% 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	48.4% 2,000	17.5% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,748; Couple = \$28,749)	#	#
Gender:	Women	62.3% 4,000	19.5% 2,000
	Men	45.1% 1,000	20.3% 2,000
Age:	65-74	57.0% 2,000	18.3% 2,000
	75+	57.1% 3,000	22.1% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	56.0% 4,000	21.1% 4,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Inyo County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Kern County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Kern</u>				
Number	67,000	7,000	22,000	28,000
Percent of total	100.0%	9.9%	32.6%	42.5%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Kern County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	46.3% 8,000	24.3% 6,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,142; Couple = \$32,825)	51.4% 1,000	20.5% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,848; Couple = \$24,531)	36.3% <i>4,000</i>	22.5% 3,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,789; Couple = \$26,471)	64.7% 3,000	44.8% 1,000
Gender:	Women	45.0% 6,000	24.1% 3,000
	Men	50.1% 2,000	24.4% 4,000
Age:	65-74	41.3% 3,000	21.8% <i>4</i> ,000
	75+	50.1% 5,000	28.2% 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	41.4% 5,000	22.8% 5,000
	Latino (any race)	64.3% 2,000	32.7% 1,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Kern County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Kings and Tulare Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	46,000	5,000	16,000	21,000
Percent of total	100.0%	11.3%	33.9%	45.2%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Kings and Tulare Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	48.8% 5,000	26.9% 5,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$27,042; Couple = \$37,043)	#	34.4% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,032; Couple = \$27,032)	34.7% 2,000	15.8% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,112; Couple = \$29,113)	79.8% 2,000	53.8% 1,000
Gender:	Women	49.5% 4,000	28.1% 3,000
	Men	46.4% 1,000	25.9% 3,000
Age:	65-74	49.1% 2,000	21.5% 3,000
	75+	48.5% 3,000	34.8% 3, <i>000</i>
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	40.3% 3,000	18.1% 3,000
	Latino (any race)	87.6% 1,000	67.1% 2,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Kings County Only

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Lake and Mendocino Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	20,000	1,000	9,000	10,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.4%	44.7%	51.0%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Lake and Mendocino Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	57.7% 3,000	37.6% 3,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,226; Couple = \$40,595)	#	51.5% 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,135; Couple = \$27,504)	48.8% 2,000	33.4% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,436; Couple = \$29,805)	83.2% 1,000	#
Gender:	Women	73.3% 3,000	45.0% 1,000
	Men	#	32.3% 1,000
Age:	65-74	61.7% 2,000	39.5% 2,000
	75+	54.5% 2,000	34.9% 1,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	57.1% 3,000	40.4% 3,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see Clustered Counties for further details

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Lake County Only
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Del Norte, <u>Lassen</u>, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	43,000	3,000	15,000	18,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.3%	34.6%	41.9%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	51.9% 7,000	29.6% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,615; Couple = \$35,616)	43.8% 1,000	32.4% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,423; Couple = \$26,424)	46.0% 3,000	27.1% 4,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,843; Couple = \$28,844)	72.4% 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	56.3% 5,000	30.0% 3,000
	Men	42.5% 2,000	29.2% <i>4</i> ,000
Age:	65-74	39.9% 2,000	28.6% 4,000
	75+	59.2% 5,000	31.1% 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	54.1% 6,000	30.1% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Lassen County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Los Angeles City, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Los Angeles City				
Number	350,000	40,000	164,000	204,000
Percent of total	100.0%	11.4%	47.0%	58.4%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Los Angeles City**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	58.8% 58,000	37.8% <i>41,000</i>
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$33,358; Couple = \$41,098)	52.1% 10,000	26.0% 10,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,184; Couple = \$23,924)	34.3% 10,000	17.9% 7,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,798; Couple = \$30,538)	75.3% 38,000	80.1% 24,000
Gender:	Women	58.7% 40,000	39.0% 18,000
	Men	59.0% 18,000	37.0% 23,000
Age:	65-74	58.1% 27,000	34.3% 21,000
	75+	59.4% 31,000	42.7% 19,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	47.3% 26,000	26.8% 19,000
	Latino (any race)	79.9% 11,000	62.3% 9,000
	African American	69.8% 13,000	38.2% 3,000
	Asian	73.3% 7,000	65.4% 10,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: <u>http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html</u>

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Los Angeles City Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Los Angeles County (excluding LA City), CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Los Angeles County (excluding LA City)				
Number	575,000	52,000	260,000	312,000
Percent of total	100.0%	9.0%	45.2%	54.2%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Los Angeles County (excluding LA City)**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	54.4% 74,000	31.2% 55,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,591; Couple = \$38,331)	46.0% 11,000	26.0% 16,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,355; Couple = \$24,095)	36.3% 20,000	18.8% 16,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,827; Couple = \$30,567)	75.8% 43,000	71.7% 24,000
Gender:	Women	57.6% 55,000	31.3% 24,000
	Men	47.0% 19,000	31.1% 31,000
Age:	65-74	51.5% 30,000	30.2% 33,000
	75+	56.6% <i>44,000</i>	32.7% 23,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	44.9% 39,000	20.8% 23,000
	Latino (any race)	75.2% 18,000	56.7% 18,000
	African American	74.6% 9,000	23.4% 2,000
	Asian	63.5% 7,000	46.9% 13,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Los Angeles County (excluding LA City) Only

Fresno and Madera Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	97,000	10,000	36,000	46,000
Percent of total	100.0%	10.4%	37.1%	47.5%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Fresno and Madera Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	51.9% 13,000	26.4% 10,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,342; Couple = \$33,972)	50.5% 2,000	25.7% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,424; Couple = \$26,055)	44.3% 5,000	15.7% 3,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,964; Couple = \$26,595)	62.3% 6,000	60.4% 4,000
Gender:	Women	55.2% 10,000	26.2% 4,000
	Men	41.0% 2,000	26.6% 6,000
Age:	65-74	48.4% 5,000	30.4% 7,000
	75+	54.1% 8,000	20.7% 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	42.0% 7,000	18.6% 5,000
	Latino (any race)	83.3% <i>4,000</i>	57.1% 3,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Madera County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Marin County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Marin</u>				
Number	35,000	2,000	7,000	9,000
Percent of total	100.0%	4.3%	21.0%	25.3%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Marin County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	37.0% 4,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$39,573; Couple = \$48,348)	40.6% 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,005; Couple = \$26,780)	21.5% 1,000	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$26,581; Couple = \$35,355)	53.6% 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	39.6% 3,000	#
	Men	#	#
Age:	65-74	34.3% 2,000	#
	75+	38.7% 3,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	37.2% 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Marin County Only
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, <u>Mariposa</u>, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	57.1% 5,000	20.0% 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586)	64.2% 1,000	22.5% 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	48.4% 2,000	17.5% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,840; Couple = \$29,841)	#	#
Gender:	Women	62.3% 4,000	19.5% 2,000
	Men	45.1% 1,000	20.3% 2,000
Age:	65-74	57.0% 2,000	18.3% 2,000
	75+	57.1% 3,000	22.1% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	56.0% 4,000	21.1% 4,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Mariposa County Only

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Lake and Mendocino Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	20,000	1,000	9,000	10,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.4%	44.7%	51.0%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Lake and Mendocino Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	57.7% 3,000	37.6% 3,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,858; Couple = \$39,859)	#	51.5% 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,766; Couple = \$26,767)	48.8% 2,000	33.4% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,220; Couple = \$30,221)	83.2% 1,000	#
Gender:	Women	73.3% 3,000	45.0% 1,000
	Men	#	32.3% 1,000
Age:	65-74	61.7% 2,000	39.5% 2,000
	75+	54.5% 2,000	34.9% 1,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	57.1% 3,000	40.4% 3,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Mendocino County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Merced County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Merced</u>				
Number	21,000	3,000	10,000	13,000
Percent of total	100.0%	13.8%	46.3%	60.1%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Merced County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	53.7% 3,000	32.1% 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,682; Couple = \$35,683)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,361; Couple = \$26,362)	51.8% 1,000	28.2% 1,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,952; Couple = \$28,953)	#	#
Gender:	Women	52.7% 2,000	35.8% 1,000
	Men	#	28.6% 1,000
Age:	65-74	#	26.6% 1,000
	75+	68.1% 2,000	39.4% 1,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	46.6% 2,000	29.7% 2,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

 $\underline{\text{http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html}}$

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Merced County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Del Norte, Lassen, <u>Modoc</u>, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	43,000	3,000	15,000	18,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.3%	34.6%	41.9%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	51.9% 7,000	29.6% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,615; Couple = \$35,616)	43.8% 1,000	32.4% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,423; Couple = \$26,424)	46.0% 3,000	27.1% 4,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,423; Couple = \$28,424)	72.4% 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	56.3% 5,000	30.0% 3,000
	Men	42.5% 2,000	29.2% 4,000
Age:	65-74	39.9% 2,000	28.6% 4,000
	75+	59.2% 5,000	31.1% 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	54.1% 6,000	30.1% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see Clustered Counties for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Modoc County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and **Tuolumne Counties****

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	57.1% 5,000	20.0% 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586)	64.2% 1,000	22.5% 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	48.4% 2,000	17.5% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$21,652; Couple = \$31,653)	#	#
Gender:	Women	62.3% 4,000	19.5% 2,000
	Men	45.1% 1,000	20.3% 2,000
Age:	65-74	57.0% 2,000	18.3% 2,000
	75+	57.1% 3,000	22.1% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	56.0% 4,000	21.1% 4,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Mono County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Monterey and San Benito Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	43,000	3,000	15,000	19,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.8%	35.3%	43.1%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Monterey and San Benito Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	47.6% 6,000	27.3% 5,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$34,463; Couple = \$44,464)	47.3% 1,000	23.4% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,328; Couple = \$27,329)	31.2% 2,000	27.1% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,178; Couple = \$34,179)	68.6% 3,000	#
Gender:	Women	47.8% 4,000	27.9% 2,000
	Men	46.9% 1,000	27.0% 3,000
Age:	65-74	44.7% 2,000	25.6% 3,000
	75+	49.4% 4,000	30.1% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	37.4% 3,000	22.6% 3,000
	Latino (any race)	90.2% 2,000	58.0% 2,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Monterey County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Napa and Solano Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	60,000	5,000	22,000	27,000
Percent of total	100.0%	8.9%	36.9%	45.7%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Napa and Solano Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	56.1% 10,000	19.4% 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$35,918; Couple = \$44,692)	59.2% 2,000	32.1% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,614; Couple = \$27,388)	48.6% 3,000	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,086; Couple = \$30,861)	60.8% 4,000	#
Gender:	Women	58.3% 7,000	19.8% 2,000
	Men	50.4% 2,000	19.2% 2,000
Age:	65-74	55.2% 4,000	18.6% 2,000
	75+	56.6% 6,000	20.8% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	53.5% 7,000	12.6% 2,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	78.7% 1,000	#
	Asian	#	40.5% 1,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Napa County Only

Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	56,000	3,000	20,000	23,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	35.1%	41.1%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	49.6% 8,000	27.2% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,623; Couple = \$40,623)	56.6% 2,000	27.1% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,687; Couple = \$27,688)	34.8% 3,000	23.3% 3,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,842; Couple = \$30,842)	73.8% 3,000	49.0% 1,000
Gender:	Women	50.1% 6,000	29.0% 3,000
	Men	48.3% 2,000	25.8% 4,000
Age:	65-74	50.9% 3,000	19.2% 3,000
	75+	48.6% 5,000	38.7% 4,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	48.8% 7,000	25.8% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see Clustered Counties for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Nevada County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Orange County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Orange</u>				
Number	313,000	19,000	118,000	137,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	37.6%	43.7%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Orange County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	47.3% 37,000	20.8% 25,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$33,582; Couple = \$41,427)	51.5% 9,000	23.5% 12,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,589; Couple = \$23,434)	25.4% 9,000	11.2% 6,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,466; Couple = \$33,310)	72.6% 19,000	56.3% 6,000
Gender:	Women	51.9% 30,000	21.7% 11,000
	Men	34.4% 7,000	20.2% 14,000
Age:	65-74	40.2% 12,000	17.3% 12,000
	75+	51.8% 25,000	25.7% 13,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	43.3% 29,000	17.8% 18,000
	Latino (any race)	77.4% 4,000	30.5% 2,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	67.0% 4,000	41.2% 5,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html

return to index page







Wider Opportunities for Women

^{*}Elder Index Amount for Orange County Only

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Placer County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Placer</u>				
Number	46,000	1,000	15,000	16,000
Percent of total	100.0%	3.1%	32.8%	35.9%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Placer County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	48.0% 5,000	23.5% 6,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$35,321; Couple = \$44,342)	51.6% 1,000	31.3% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,965; Couple = \$26,986)	31.0% 2,000	11.7% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$21,727; Couple = \$30,749)	75.2% 2,000	59.9% 1,000
Gender:	Women	52.9% 4,000	25.7% 3,000
	Men	#	21.9% 3,000
Age:	65-74	45.9% 2,000	21.2% 3,000
	75+	49.1% 3,000	27.1% 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	47.8% 4,000	22.6% 5,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

=Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Placer County Only
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Nevada, <u>Plumas</u>, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	56,000	3,000	20,000	23,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	35.1%	41.1%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	49.6% 8,000	27.2% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,623; Couple = \$40,623)	56.6% 2,000	27.1% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,687; Couple = \$27,688)	34.8% 3,000	23.3% 3,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,162; Couple = \$29,162)	73.8% 3,000	49.0% 1,000
Gender:	Women	50.1% 6,000	29.0% 3,000
	Men	48.3% 2,000	25.8% 4,000
Age:	65-74	50.9% 3,000	19.2% 3,000
	75+	48.6% 5,000	38.7% 4,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	48.8% 7,000	25.8% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Plumas County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Riverside County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Riverside				
Number	219,000	17,000	75,000	92,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	34.3%	42.2%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Riverside County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	46.9% 26,000	24.5% 23,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$28,858; Couple = \$36,884)	54.7% 6,000	30.1% 11,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,571; Couple = \$23,597)	33.9% 10,000	16.3% 8,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,703; Couple = \$28,729)	69.6% 9,000	47.4% 5,000
Gender:	Women	49.3% 19,000	25.8% 11,000
	Men	41.4% 7,000	23.3% 12,000
Age:	65-74	47.1% 10,000	23.4% 12,000
	75+	46.8% 16,000	25.7% 11,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	41.9% 19,000	20.7% 17,000
	Latino (any race)	63.0% 4,000	45.8% 4,000
	African American	66.6% 1,000	40.1% 2,000
	Asian	76.4% 1,000	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Data III

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

 $\underline{\text{http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html}}$

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Riverside County Only
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Sacramento County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Sacramento</u>				
Number	144,000	12,000	48,000	60,000
Percent of total	100.0%	8.3%	33.6%	41.9%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Sacramento County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	44.3% 19,000	20.6% 11,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$27,752; Couple = \$36,773)	36.8% 3,000	26.6% 5,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,701; Couple = \$25,723)	31.2% 5,000	13.3% 4,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$21,517; Couple = \$30,538)	60.1% 11,000	39.6% 2,000
Gender:	Women	46.9% 15,000	22.3% 5,000
	Men	37.3% <i>4,000</i>	19.1% 5,000
Age:	65-74	45.7% 8,000	15.7% 5,000
	75+	43.2% 11,000	27.9% 6,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	41.3% 14,000	17.3% 7,000
	Latino (any race)	#	42.0% 2,000
	African American	61.2% 2,000	#
	Asian	62.2% 2,000	30.4% 2,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Sacramento County Only

Monterey and <u>San Benito</u> Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	43,000	3,000	15,000	19,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.8%	35.3%	43.1%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Monterey and San Benito Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	47.6% 6,000	27.3% 5,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$34,463; Couple = \$44,464)	47.3% 1,000	23.4% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,328; Couple = \$27,329)	31.2% 2,000	27.1% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,678; Couple = \$32,679)	68.6% 3,000	#
Gender:	Women	47.8% 4,000	27.9% 2,000
	Men	46.9% 1,000	27.0% 3,000
Age:	65-74	44.7% 2,000	25.6% 3,000
	75+	49.4% 4,000	30.1% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	37.4% 3,000	22.6% 3,000
	Latino (any race)	90.2% 2,000	58.0% 2,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for San Benito County Only

San Bernardino County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
San Bernardino				
Number	157,000	13,000	67,000	80,000
Percent of total	100.0%	8.1%	42.8%	50.9%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in San Bernardino County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	58.6% 19,000	25.9% 14,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$27,459; Couple = \$35,485)	71.3% 5,000	22.0% 5,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$14,901; Couple = \$22,926)	44.8% 7,000	23.3% 6,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,591; Couple = \$28,617)	70.9% 8,000	52.7% 3,000
Gender:	Women	59.2% 14,000	25.5% 6,000
	Men	57.1% 6,000	26.3% 8,000
Age:	65-74	56.7% 8,000	23.3% 7,000
	75+	60.0% 12,000	29.7% 7,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	52.3% 14,000	22.1% 9,000
	Latino (any race)	83.4% 4,000	26.7% 2,000
	African American	77.2% 1,000	#
	Asian	#	60.0% 1,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for San Bernardino County Only
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

San Diego County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
San Diego				
Number	313,000	24,000	107,000	131,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.7%	34.1%	41.8%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in San Diego County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	46.3% 38,000	23.0% 30,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,368; Couple = \$38,603)	47.9% 9,000	25.1% 11,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,678; Couple = \$23,912)	28.2% 11,000	14.4% 10,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,822; Couple = \$31,057)	70.3% 19,000	52.6% 9,000
Gender:	Women	50.0% 29,000	23.7% 14,000
	Men	37.3% 9,000	22.5% 16,000
Age:	65-74	42.3% 13,000	20.4% 15,000
	75+	48.6% 26,000	26.3% 16,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	42.4% 30,000	18.3% 20,000
	Latino (any race)	75.1% 6,000	56.5% 6,000
	African American	63.2% 1,000	#
	Asian	55.7% 1,000	40.0% 4,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for San Diego County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

San Francisco County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
San Francisco				
Number	106,000	10,000	55,000	65,000
Percent of total	100.0%	9.3%	52.0%	61.3%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in San Francisco County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	61.3% 21,000	48.6% 15,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$37,893; Couple = \$47,606)	43.2% 1,000	35.8% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,586; Couple = \$27,299)	35.1% <i>4,000</i>	30.5% <i>4,000</i>
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$27,435; Couple = \$37,148)	79.4% 16,000	78.8% 9,000
Gender:	Women	60.8% 14,000	50.3% 7,000
	Men	62.1% 8,000	47.3% 8,000
Age:	65-74	60.4% 8,000	46.4% 7,000
	75+	61.7% 14,000	50.4% 9,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	50.9% 9,000	24.0% 3,000
	Latino (any race)	57.5% 2,000	58.1% 1,000
	African American	79.9% 2,000	#
	Asian	76.3% 8,000	75.3% 11,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: <u>http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html</u>

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for San Francisco County Only

San Joaquin County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
San Joaquin				
Number	61,000	4,000	24,000	28,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.8%	39.2%	46.0%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in San Joaquin County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	46.4% 7,000	26.4% <i>6,000</i>
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,568; Couple = \$38,329)	52.9% 2,000	24.8% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,034; Couple = \$24,795)	39.5% 3,000	22.4% 3,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,913; Couple = \$28,674)	54.7% 2,000	56.2% 1,000
Gender:	Women	49.6% 5,000	26.1% 3,000
	Men	37.6% 1,000	26.7% 3,000
Age:	65-74	43.7% 3,000	26.2% 4,000
	75+	48.4% <i>4,000</i>	26.7% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	41.4% 5,000	22.1% 3,000
	Latino (any race)	57.4% 1,000	35.2% 1,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	37.3% 1,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for San Joaquin County Only

San Luis Obispo County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
San Luis Obispo				
Number	35,000	4,000	9,000	13,000
Percent of total	100.0%	10.8%	27.1%	38.0%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in San Luis Obispo County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	52.8% 6,000	22.4% 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,588; Couple = \$40,957)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,587; Couple = \$27,956)	41.2% 2,000	17.7% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,380; Couple = \$32,749)	80.1% 3,000	82.9% 2,000
Gender:	Women	55.5% 5,000	22.9% 2,000
	Men	#	21.9% 2,000
Age:	65-74	53.0% 2,000	16.2% 2,000
	75+	52.7% 3,000	28.8% 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	48.8% 4,000	23.2% 4,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

 $\underline{\text{http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html}}$

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for San Luis Obispo County Only
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

San Mateo County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
San Mateo				
Number	88,000	6,000	31,000	38,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.2%	35.8%	43.0%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in San Mateo County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	37.7% 8,000	29.9% 10,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$42,987; Couple = \$52,700)	44.9% 2,000	32.0% 4,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,272; Couple = \$27,985)	27.2% 3,000	23.8% 5,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$27,550; Couple = \$37,263)	51.5% 3,000	57.0% 2,000
Gender:	Women	42.5% 7,000	30.2% 5,000
	Men	24.0% 1,000	29.7% 5,000
Age:	65-74	39.6% 3,000	29.2% 6,000
	75+	36.6% 5,000	31.1% 4,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	32.9% 6,000	24.4% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	#	38.3% 1,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	46.2% 3,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for San Mateo County Only

Santa Barbara County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Santa Barbara				
Number	48,000	2,000	16,000	19,000
Percent of total	100.0%	5.1%	33.6%	38.8%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Santa Barbara County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	47.2% 7,000	25.3% 6,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$33,401; Couple = \$43,770)	#	18.7% 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,320; Couple = \$28,689)	39.2% 3,000	23.7% 3,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,566; Couple = \$34,935)	59.0% 3,000	42.0% 2,000
Gender:	Women	51.0% 5,000	24.0% 2,000
	Men	37.4% 1,000	26.3% 3,000
Age:	65-74	48.1% 3,000	23.1% 3,000
	75+	46.6% 4,000	28.1% 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	41.9% 5,000	19.5% 4,000
	Latino (any race)	88.2% 1,000	70.3% 2,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Santa Barbara County Only
**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Santa Clara County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Santa Clara				
Number	170,000	11,000	72,000	82,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.3%	42.1%	48.4%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Santa Clara County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)		
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	50.2% 20,000	22.9% 14,000		
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$37,641; Couple = \$47,354)	62.5% 5,000	19.9% 4,000		
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,632; Couple = \$27,345)	33.4% 6,000	12.0% 4,000		
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,391; Couple = \$35,104)	63.8% 9,000	57.4% 7,000		
Gender:	Women	55.0% 16,000	23.3% 6,000		
	Men	36.1% <i>4,000</i>	22.5% 8,000		
Age:	65-74	45.9% 7,000	15.6% 5,000		
	75+	53.2% 12,000	31.8% 9,000		
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	42.1% 13,000	15.1% 7,000		
	Latino (any race)	80.5% 3,000	34.5% 2,000		
	African American	#	#		
	Asian	76.5% 4,000	51.2% 5,000		

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: <u>http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html</u>

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Santa Clara County Only

Santa Cruz County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Santa Cruz				
Number	25,000	2,000	9,000	11,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.0%	34.5%	41.6%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Santa Cruz County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	59.4% 4,000	20.9% 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$38,080; Couple = \$48,081)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,528; Couple = \$29,529)	51.4% 2,000	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,492; Couple = \$35,493)	68.7% 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	58.4% 3,000	21.8% 1,000
	Men	#	20.2% 1,000
Age:	65-74	58.2% 2,000	20.4% 1,000
	75+	60.3% 2,000	21.4% 1,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	55.7% 3,000	17.8% 2,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Santa Cruz County Only

Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, <u>Shasta</u> and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	43,000	3,000	15,000	18,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.3%	34.6%	41.9%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	51.9% 7,000	29.6% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,460; Couple = \$34,461)	43.8% 1,000	32.4% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,141; Couple = \$27,142)	46.0% 3,000	27.1% 4,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,298; Couple = \$29,299)	72.4% 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	56.3% 5,000	30.0% 3,000
	Men	42.5% 2,000	29.2% 4,000
Age:	65-74	39.9% 2,000	28.6% 4,000
	75+	59.2% 5,000	31.1% 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	54.1% 6,000	30.1% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Shasta County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	56,000	3,000	20,000	23,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	35.1%	41.1%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	49.6% 8,000	27.2% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,623; Couple = \$40,623)	56.6% 2,000	27.1% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,687; Couple = \$27,688)	34.8% 3,000	23.3% 3,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,314; Couple = \$30,314)	73.8% 3,000	49.0% 1,000
Gender:	Women	50.1% 6,000	29.0% 3,000
	Men	48.3% 2,000	25.8% 4,000
Age:	65-74	50.9% 3,000	19.2% 3,000
	75+	48.6% 5,000	38.7% 4,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	48.8% 7,000	25.8% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see Clustered Counties for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Sierra County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and <u>Siskiyou</u> Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	43,000	3,000	15,000	18,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.3%	34.6%	41.9%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	51.9% 7,000	29.6% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,615; Couple = \$35,616)	43.8% 1,000	32.4% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,423; Couple = \$26,424)	46.0% 3,000	27.1% 4,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,255; Couple = \$28,256)	72.4% 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	56.3% 5,000	30.0% 3,000
	Men	42.5% 2,000	29.2% 4,000
Age:	65-74	39.9% 2,000	28.6% 4,000
	75+	59.2% 5,000	31.1% 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	54.1% 6,000	30.1% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Siskiyou County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Napa and Solano Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	60,000	5,000	22,000	27,000
Percent of total	100.0%	8.9%	36.9%	45.7%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Napa and Solano Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	56.1% 10,000	19.4% 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$34,571; Couple = \$43,345)	59.2% 2,000	32.1% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,305; Couple = \$25,079)	48.6% 3,000	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$21,857; Couple = \$30,632)	60.8% <i>4,000</i>	#
Gender:	Women	58.3% 7,000	19.8% 2,000
	Men	50.4% 2,000	19.2% <i>2,000</i>
Age:	65-74	55.2% 4,000	18.6% 2,000
	75+	56.6% 6,000	20.8% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	53.5% 7,000	12.6% 2,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	78.7% 1,000	#
	Asian	#	40.5% 1,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Solano County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Sonoma County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Sonoma</u>				
Number	60,000	3,000	16,000	19,000
Percent of total	100.0%	4.7%	26.8%	31.5%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Sonoma County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	41.6% 7,000	14.3% <i>4,000</i>
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$31,854; Couple = \$40,628)	53.8% 2,000	17.4% 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,085; Couple = \$25,859)	32.2% 3,000	9.9% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,635; Couple = \$31,410)	50.9% 3,000	#
Gender:	Women	47.7% 6,000	12.8% 2,000
	Men	24.4% 1,000	15.7% 2,000
Age:	65-74	39.5% 2,000	14.6% 2,000
	75+	42.6% 5,000	13.9% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	40.1% 6,000	14.0% 4,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

=Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

 $\underline{\text{http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html}}$

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Sonoma County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Stanislaus County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Stanislaus</u>				
Number	68,000	5,000	28,000	34,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.6%	42.1%	49.7%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Stanislaus County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	54.6% 9,000	29.9% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$28,444; Couple = \$37,074)	57.4% 2,000	33.6% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,833; Couple = \$24,464)	36.9% 3,000	19.2%
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,971; Couple = \$27,601)	71.0% 5,000	55.7% 2,000
Gender:	Women	55.3% 7,000	29.6% 3,000
	Men	52.8% 2,000	30.2% 4,000
Age:	65-74	48.7% 3,000	23.4% 3,000
	75+	58.0% 6,000	38.8% 4,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	51.3% 7,000	24.8% 4,000
	Latino (any race)	80.3% 2,000	35.2% 1,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	71.3% 1,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

=Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

return to index page

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Stanislaus County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	56,000	3,000	20,000	23,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	35.1%	41.1%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	49.6% 8,000	27.2% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$28,132; Couple = \$38,132)	56.6% 2,000	27.1% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,236; Couple = \$26,237)	34.8% 3,000	23.3% 3,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,560; Couple = \$28,561)	73.8% 3,000	49.0% 1,000
Gender:	Women	50.1% 6,000	29.0% 3,000
	Men	48.3% 2,000	25.8% 4,000
Age:	65-74	50.9% 3,000	19.2% 3,000
	75+	48.6% 5,000	38.7% 4,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	48.8% 7,000	25.8% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see Clustered Counties for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Sutter County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	47,000	2,000	18,000	20,000
Percent of total	100.0%	5.3%	38.0%	43.3%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	48.6% 7,000	33.3% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$26,969; Couple = \$36,970)	63.1% 2,000	36.5% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,517; Couple = \$26,518)	33.8% 3,000	30.9% 4,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,234; Couple = \$28,235)	65.7% 3,000	#
Gender:	Women	49.2% 5,000	35.4% 3,000
	Men	46.8% 2,000	31.6% 4,000
Age:	65-74	47.2% 3,000	27.5% 3,000
	75+	49.4% 4,000	41.5% <i>4,000</i>
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	45.2% 6,000	33.8% 7,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see Clustered Counties for further details

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Tehama County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and <u>Trinity</u> Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	47,000	2,000	18,000	20,000
Percent of total	100.0%	5.3%	38.0%	43.3%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	48.6% 7,000	33.3% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$26,969; Couple = \$36,970)	63.1% 2,000	36.5% 3,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,517; Couple = \$26,518)	33.8% 3,000	30.9% 4,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,234; Couple = \$28,235)	65.7% 3,000	#
Gender:	Women	49.2% 5,000	35.4% 3,000
	Men	46.8% 2,000	31.6% <i>4,000</i>
Age:	65-74	47.2% 3,000	27.5% 3,000
	75+	49.4% <i>4,000</i>	41.5% <i>4</i> ,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	45.2% 6,000	33.8% 7,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Trinity County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Kings and Tulare Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	46,000	5,000	16,000	21,000
Percent of total	100.0%	11.3%	33.9%	45.2%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Kings and Tulare Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	48.8% 5,000	26.9% 5,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,624; Couple = \$33,255)	#	34.4% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,303; Couple = \$23,933)	34.7% 2,000	15.8% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,814; Couple = \$26,445)	79.8% 2,000	53.8% 1,000
Gender:	Women	49.5% 4,000	28.1% 3,000
	Men	46.4% 1,000	25.9% 3,000
Age:	65-74	49.1% 2,000	21.5% 3,000
	75+	48.5% 3,000	34.8% 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	40.3% 3,000	18.1% 3,000
	Latino (any race)	87.6% 1,000	67.1% <i>2,000</i>
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Tulare County Only

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and <u>Tuolumne</u> Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	57.1% 5,000	20.0% 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586)	64.2% 1,000	22.5% 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	48.4% 2,000	17.5% 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,044; Couple = \$30,045)	#	#
Gender:	Women	62.3% 4,000	19.5% 2,000
	Men	45.1% 1,000	20.3% 2,000
Age:	65-74	57.0% 2,000	18.3% 2,000
	75+	57.1% 3,000	22.1% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	56.0% 4,000	21.1% 4,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

**Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Tuolumne County Only

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Ventura County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Ventura</u>				
Number	81,000	3,000	28,000	31,000
Percent of total	100.0%	3.8%	34.8%	38.6%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Ventura County**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	45.7% 9,000	16.3% 5,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$32,321; Couple = \$39,670)	48.4% 2,000	15.0% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,082; Couple = \$22,431)	30.3% 3,000	8.7% 1,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$23,910; Couple = \$31,259)	64.8% <i>4,000</i>	56.3% 2,000
Gender:	Women	49.5% 7,000	15.7% 2,000
	Men	36.2% 2,000	16.7% 3,000
Age:	65-74	39.5% 3,000	14.5% 3,000
	75+	49.9% 6,000	19.0% 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	42.6% 7,000	13.4% 4,000
	Latino (any race)	78.0% 2,000	26.5% 1,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html

UCLA CENTER FOR HEALTH POLICY RESEARCH





^{*}Elder Index Amount for Ventura County Only

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, <u>Yolo</u> and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	56,000	3,000	20,000	23,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	35.1%	41.1%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	49.6% 8,000	27.2% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$33,352; Couple = \$42,374)	56.6% 2,000	27.1% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,217; Couple = \$25,239)	34.8% 3,000	23.3% 3,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,608; Couple = \$29,630)	73.8% 3,000	49.0% 1,000
Gender:	Women	50.1% 6,000	29.0% 3,000
	Men	48.3% 2,000	25.8% 4,000
Age:	65-74	50.9% 3,000	19.2% 3,000
	75+	48.6% 5,000	38.7% 4,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	48.8% 7,000	25.8% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see Clustered Counties for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Yolo County Only

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Above and Below Poverty Level and		Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	56,000	3,000	20,000	23,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	35.1%	41.1%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	49.6% 8,000	27.2% 7,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$28,132; Couple = \$38,132)	56.6% 2,000	27.1% 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,236; Couple = \$26,237)	34.8% 3,000	23.3% 3,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,560; Couple = \$28,561)	73.8% 3,000	49.0% 1,000
Gender:	Women	50.1% 6,000	29.0% 3,000
	Men	48.3% 2,000	25.8% 4,000
Age:	65-74	50.9% 3,000	19.2% 3,000
	75+	48.6% 5,000	38.7% 4,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	48.8% 7,000	25.8% 6,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see Clustered Counties for further details. For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Elder Index Amount for Yuba County Only

^{# =} Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates **Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Statewide for California Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

Total		Below Federal	Above FPL	Total Below
Above and Below		Poverty Level	and	Elder Index
Elder Index		(FPL)	Below Elder Index	(Economically Insecure)
California Number Percent of total	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Statewide California**

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	50.9% 495,000	26.1% 369,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,224; Couple = \$39,686)	51.1% 96,000	25.1% 126,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,105; Couple = \$26,567)	34.4% 147,000	17.3% 124,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$21,011; Couple = \$30,472)	70.4% 252,000	61.4% 120,000
Gender:	Women	53.5% 374,000	26.8% 165,000
	Men	44.0% 121,000	25.6% 204,000
Age:	65-74	48.4% 193,000	23.6% 192,000
	75+	52.6% 302,000	29.6% 177,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	44.3% 324,000	20.2% 217,000
	Latino (any race)	75.7% 77,000	47.8% 69,000
	African American	69.2% <i>42,000</i>	29.1% 12,000
	Asian	67.2% 43,000	48.6% 67,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

return to index page

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







^{*}Average Elder Index Amount for Statewide California

^{**}Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index) California County Comparison Summary

	_	ALONE ouseholds)		PLES ouseholds)
	Below Elder Index	% Below Elder Index	Below Elder Index	% Below Elder Index
California	495,000	50.9%	369,000	26.1%
Alameda	23,000	54.0%	13,000	26.5%
Alpine, Amador, Calavaras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono,				
Tuolumne	5,000	57.1%	4,000	20.0%
Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama, Trinity	7,000	48.6%	7,000	33.3%
Contra Costa	13,000	46.1%	10,000	20.8%
Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta, Siskiyou	7,000	51.9%	7,000	29.6%
El Dorado	2,000	41.8%	2,000	19.1%
Fresno, Madera	13,000	51.9%	10,000	26.4%
Humboldt	3,000	43.9%	#	9.7%
Imperial	2,000	67.1%	3,000	47.8%
Kern	8,000	46.3%	6,000	24.3%
Kings, Tulare	5,000	48.8%	5,000	26.9%
Lake, Mendocino	3,000	57.7%	3,000	37.6%
Los Angeles				
LA City	58,000	58.8%	41,000	37.8%
LA County (except LA City)	74,000	54.4%	55,000	31.2%
Marin	4,000	37.0%	#	5.7%
Merced	3,000	53.7%	2,000	32.1%
Monterey, San Benito	6,000	47.6%	5,000	27.3%
Napa, Solano	10,000	56.1%	4,000	19.4%
Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo, Yuba	8,000	49.6%	7,000	27.2%
Orange	37,000	47.3%	25,000	20.8%
Placer	5,000	48.0%	6,000	23.5%
Riverside	26,000	46.9%	23,000	24.5%
Sacramento	19,000	44.3%	11,000	20.6%
San Bernardino	19,000	58.6%	14,000	25.9%
San Diego	38,000	46.3%	30,000	23.0%
San Francisco	21,000	61.3%	15,000	48.6%
San Joaquin	7,000	46.4%	6,000	26.4%
San Luis Obispo	6,000	52.8%	4,000	22.4%
San Mateo	8,000	37.7%	10,000	29.9%
Santa Barbara	7,000	47.2%	6,000	25.3%
Santa Clara	20,000	50.2%	14,000	22.9%
Santa Cruz	4,000	59.4%	2,000	20.9%
Sonoma	7,000	41.6%	4,000	14.3%
Stanislaus	9,000	54.6%	7,000	29.9%
Ventura	9,000	45.7%	5,000	16.3%

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates Numbers for individuals and couples living with others on separate sheet Data from U.S.Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Above the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) and Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index) California County Comparison Summary, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Below FPL	% Below FPL	Below Elder Index	% Below Elder Index	Above FPL & Below Elder Index	% Above FPL & Below Elder Index
California	295,000	7.9%	1,760,000	47.1%	1,465,000	39.2%
Alameda	10,000	6.8%	71,000	49.8%	62,000	42.9%
Alpine, Amador, Calavaras, Inyo,			(
Mariposa, Mono, and Tuolumne	#	2.9%	12,000	35.8%	11,000	32.9%
Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama, and						
Trinity	2,000	5.3%	20,000	43.3%	18,000	38.0%
Contra Costa	6.000	5.4%	48.000	41.5%	42.000	36.1%
Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou,						
and Shasta	3,000	7.3%	18,000	41.9%	15.000	34.6%
El Dorado	1,000	7.4%	7,000	33.0%	5,000	25.6%
Fresno and Madera	10,000	10.4%	46,000	47.5%	36,000	37.1%
Humboldt	1,000	7.1%	6,000	35.6%	5,000	28.5%
Imperial	2,000	13.5%	11.000	69.0%	9,000	55.6%
Kern	7,000	9.9%	28,000	42.5%	22,000	32.6%
Kings and Tulare	5,000	11.3%	21,000	45.2%	16,000	33.9%
Lake and Mendocino	1,000	6.4%	10,000	51.0%	9,000	44.7%
Los Angeles	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0.170	10,000	01.070		
LA City	40.000	11.4%	204.000	58.4%	164,000	47.0%
LA County (excluding LA City)	52,000	9.0%	312,000	54.2%	260,000	45.2%
Marin	2.000	4.3%	9.000	25.3%	7,000	21.0%
Merced	3,000	13.8%	13,000	60.1%	10,000	46.3%
Monterey and San Benito	3,000	7.8%	19,000	43.1%	15,000	35.3%
Napa and Solano	5,000	8.9%	27,000	45.7%	22,000	36.9%
Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yuba,	5,000	0.070	27,000	40.170	22,000	30.370
and Yolo	3,000	6.0%	23,000	41.1%	20,000	35.1%
Orange	19,000	6.0%	137.000	43.7%	118.000	37.6%
Placer	1,000	3.1%	16.000	35.9%	15,000	32.8%
Riverside	17,000	7.9%	92.000	42.2%	75,000	34.3%
Sacramento	12.000	8.3%	60.000	41.9%	48.000	34.5%
San Bernardino	13.000	8.1%	80,000	50.9%	67.000	42.8%
San Diego	24,000	7.7%	131,000	41.8%	107,000	34.1%
San Francisco	10,000	9.3%	65.000	61.3%	55,000	52.0%
	4.000	6.8%	28,000	46.0%	24,000	39.2%
San Joaquin	4,000		(38.0%	9,000	39.2% 27.1%
San Luis Obispo		10.8%	13,000			
San Mateo	6,000	7.2%	38,000	43.0%	31,000	35.8%
Santa Barbara	2,000	5.1%	19,000	38.8% 48.4%	16,000	33.6%
Santa Clara	11,000	6.3%	82,000		72,000	42.1%
Santa Cruz	2,000	7.0%	11,000	41.6%	9,000	34.5%
Sonoma	3,000	4.7%	19,000	31.5%	16,000	26.8%
Stanislaus	5,000	7.6%	34,000	49.7%	28,000	42.1%
Ventura	3,000	3.8%	31,000	38.6%	28,000	34.8%

= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S.Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: <u>http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html</u>

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index09feb.html







Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index, 2007 **County Comparison Summary Annual Elder Index Total Cost for Renters**

Individual Renter in Good Health

	Elder		Elder
County	Index	County	Index
Alameda	\$ 25,153	Nevada	\$20,842
Alpine	\$ 19,840	Orange	\$ 25,466
Amador	\$ 20,716	Placer	\$21,727
Butte	\$ 19,512	Plumas	\$19,162
Calaveras	\$ 19,696	Riverside	\$20,703
Colusa	\$ 18,726	Sacramento	\$ 21,517
Contra Costa	\$ 25,353	San Benito	\$22,678
Del Norte	\$ 18,627	San Bernardino	\$ 20,591
El Dorado	\$ 21,151	San Diego	\$22,822
Fresno	\$ 18,870	San Francisco	\$ 27,435
Glenn	\$ 17,934	San Joaquin	\$19,913
Humboldt	\$ 19,176	San Luis Obispo	\$22,380
Imperial	\$ 19,891	San Mateo	\$ 27,550
Inyo	\$ 18,748	Santa Barbara	\$24,566
Kern	\$ 17,789	Santa Clara	\$ 25,391
Kings	\$19,112	Santa Cruz	\$ 25,492
Lake	\$ 19,436	Shasta	\$19,298
Lassen	\$ 18,843	Sierra	\$20,314
Los Angeles		Siskiyou	\$18,255
City of LA	\$ 22,798	Solano	\$ 21,857
Rest of LA	\$ 22,827	Sonoma	\$22,635
Madera	\$ 17,964	Stanislaus	\$18,971
Marin	\$ 26,581	Sutter	\$18,560
Mariposa	\$ 19,840	Tehama	\$18,234
Mendocino	\$ 20,220	Trinity	\$18,234
Merced	\$ 18,952	Tulare	\$17,814
Modoc	\$ 18,423	Tuolumne	\$20,044
Mono	\$ 21,652	Ventura	\$23,910
Monterey	\$ 24,178	Yolo	\$20,608
Napa	\$ 22,086	Yuba	\$18,560

\$ 21,011 Average Median \$ 20,220 High \$ 27,550 \$ 17,789 Low

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index08feb.html

Couple Renter in Good Health

-	Elder		Elder
County	Index	County	Index
Alameda	\$ 34,788	Nevada	\$ 30,842
Alpine	\$ 29,841	Orange	\$33,310
Amador	\$ 30,717	Placer	\$30,749
Butte	\$ 29,513	Plumas	\$ 29,162
Calaveras	\$ 29,697	Riverside	\$ 28,729
Colusa	\$ 28,727	Sacramento	\$30,538
Contra Costa	\$ 34,988	San Benito	\$32,679
Del Norte	\$ 28,628	San Bernardino	\$ 28,617
El Dorado	\$ 29,781	San Diego	\$31,057
Fresno	\$ 27,735	San Francisco	\$37,148
Glenn	\$ 27,935	San Joaquin	\$28,674
Humboldt	\$ 29,177	San Luis Obispo	\$32,749
Imperial	\$ 30,632	San Mateo	\$37,263
Inyo	\$ 28,749	Santa Barbara	\$ 34,935
Kern	\$ 26,471	Santa Clara	\$ 35,104
Kings	\$ 29,113	Santa Cruz	\$35,493
Lake	\$ 29,805	Shasta	\$29,299
Lassen	\$ 28,844	Sierra	\$30,314
Los Angeles		Siskiyou	\$ 28,256
City of LA	\$ 30,538	Solano	\$30,632
Rest of LA	\$ 30,567	Sonoma	\$31,410
Madera	\$ 26,595	Stanislaus	\$ 27,601
Marin	\$ 35,355	Sutter	\$ 28,561
Mariposa	\$ 29,841	Tehama	\$ 28,235
Mendocino	\$ 30,221	Trinity	\$ 28,235
Merced	\$ 28,953	Tulare	\$ 26,445
Modoc	\$ 28,424	Tuolumne	\$30,045
Mono	\$ 31,653	Ventura	\$31,259
Monterey	\$ 34,179	Yolo	\$ 29,630
Napa	\$ 30,861	Yuba	\$ 28,561
A.,	¢ 20.472		to to do
Average Median	\$ 30,472 \$ 29,841	<u>return</u>	to index page
High	\$ 37,263		
Low	\$ 26,445		
	Ψ 20, ττυ		

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative™ program visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/index.php?page=california-elder-economic-securityinitiative







Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index, 2007 **County Comparison Summary Annual Elder Index Total Cost for Homeowner without Mortgage**

Individual Homeowner w/o Mortgage in Good Health

marriadari		mortgage in Ocoa	ELL
Country	Elder	Country	Elder
County	Index	County	Index
Alameda	\$ 17,602	Nevada	\$ 17,687
Alpine	\$ 18,950	Orange	\$ 15,589
Amador	\$ 18,950	Placer	\$ 17,965
Butte	\$ 16,766	Plumas	\$ 17,687
Calaveras	\$ 18,950	Riverside	\$ 15,571
Colusa	\$ 16,517	Sacramento	\$16,701
Contra Costa	\$ 18,804	San Benito	\$17,328
Del Norte	\$ 16,423	San Bernardino	\$14,901
El Dorado	\$ 16,816	San Diego	\$15,678
Fresno	\$ 16,001	San Francisco	\$17,586
Glenn	\$ 16,517	San Joaquin	\$16,034
Humboldt	\$ 16,985	San Luis Obispo	\$17,587
Imperial	\$ 17,569	San Mateo	\$18,272
Inyo	\$ 18,950	Santa Barbara	\$18,320
Kern	\$ 15,848	Santa Clara	\$17,632
Kings	\$17,032	Santa Cruz	\$19,528
Lake	\$ 17,135	Shasta	\$17,141
Lassen	\$ 16,423	Sierra	\$17,687
Los Angeles		Siskiyou	\$16,423
City of LA	\$16,184	Solano	\$16,305
Rest of LA	\$ 16,355	Sonoma	\$17,085
Madera	\$ 17,424	Stanislaus	\$15,833
Marin	\$ 18,005	Sutter	\$16,236
Mariposa	\$ 18,950	Tehama	\$16,517
Mendocino	\$ 16,766	Trinity	\$16,517
Merced	\$ 16,361	Tulare	\$15,303
Modoc	\$16,423	Tuolumne	\$18,950
Mono	\$18,950	Ventura	\$15,082
Monterey	\$17,328	Yolo	\$16,217
Napa	\$ 18,614	Yuba	\$16,236
	Ţ .o,o		+ 10,200
Average	\$ 17,105		
Median	\$ 16,985		
High	\$ 19,528		

\$ 19,528 \$ 14,901 Low

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index08feb.html



Couple Homeowner w/o Mortgage in Good Health

	Elder		Elder
County	Index	County	Index
Alameda	\$ 27,237	Nevada	\$ 27,688
Alpine	\$ 28,951	Orange	\$23,434
Amador	\$ 28,951	Placer	\$26,986
Butte	\$ 26,767	Plumas	\$ 27,688
Calaveras	\$ 28,951	Riverside	\$23,597
Colusa	\$ 26,518	Sacramento	\$ 25,723
Contra Costa	\$ 28,438	San Benito	\$27,329
Del Norte	\$ 26,424	San Bernardino	\$22,926
El Dorado	\$ 25,447	San Diego	\$23,912
Fresno	\$ 24,866	San Francisco	\$27,299
Glenn	\$ 26,518	San Joaquin	\$24,795
Humboldt	\$ 26,986	San Luis Obispo	\$ 27,956
Imperial	\$ 28,310	San Mateo	\$ 27,985
Inyo	\$ 28,951	Santa Barbara	\$ 28,689
Kern	\$ 24,531	Santa Clara	\$27,345
Kings	\$ 27,032	Santa Cruz	\$29,529
Lake	\$ 27,504	Shasta	\$ 27,142
Lassen	\$ 26,424	Sierra	\$ 27,688
Los Angeles		Siskiyou	\$ 26,424
City of LA	\$ 23,924	Solano	\$25,079
Rest of LA	\$ 24,095	Sonoma	\$ 25,859
Madera	\$ 26,055	Stanislaus	\$ 24,464
Marin	\$ 26,780	Sutter	\$26,237
Mariposa	\$ 28,951	Tehama	\$ 26,518
Mendocino	\$ 26,767	Trinity	\$26,518
Merced	\$ 26,362	Tulare	\$23,933
Modoc	\$ 26,424	Tuolumne	\$ 28,951
Mono	\$ 28,951	Ventura	\$ 22,431
Monterey	\$ 27,329	Yolo	\$ 25,239
Napa	\$ 27,388	Yuba	\$ 26,237
Average Median High Low	\$ 26,567 \$ 26,767 \$ 29,529 \$ 22,431	return	to index page

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative™ program visit:







Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index, 2007 County Comparison Summary Annual Elder Index Total Cost for Homeowner with Mortgage

Individual Homeowner with Mortgage in Good Health

	Elder			Elder
County	Index	County		Index
Alameda	\$ 35,569	Nevada	\$	30,623
Alpine	\$ 29,585	Orange	\$	33,582
Amador	\$ 29,585	Placer	\$	35,321
Butte	\$ 26,582	Plumas	\$	30,623
Calaveras	\$ 29,585	Riverside	\$	28,858
Colusa	\$ 26,969	Sacramento	\$	27,752
Contra Costa	\$ 34,470	San Benito	\$	34,463
Del Norte	\$ 25,615	San Bernardino	\$	27,459
El Dorado	\$ 31,091	San Diego	\$	30,368
Fresno	\$ 25,661	San Francisco	\$	37,893
Glenn	\$ 26,969	San Joaquin	\$	29,568
Humboldt	\$ 25,955	San Luis Obispo	\$	30,588
Imperial	\$ 24,551	San Mateo	\$	42,987
Inyo	\$ 29,585	Santa Barbara	\$	33,401
Kern	\$ 24,142	Santa Clara	\$	37,641
Kings	\$ 27,042	Santa Cruz	\$	38,080
Lake	\$ 30,226	Shasta	\$	24,460
Lassen	\$ 25,615	Sierra	\$	30,623
Los Angeles		Siskiyou	\$	25,615
City of LA	\$ 33,358	Solano	\$	34,571
Rest of LA	\$ 30,591	Sonoma	\$	31,854
Madera	\$ 25,342	Stanislaus	\$	28,444
Marin	\$ 39,573	Sutter	\$	28,132
Mariposa	\$ 29,585	Tehama	\$	26,969
Mendocino	\$ 29,858	Trinity	\$	26,969
Merced	\$ 25,682	Tulare	\$	24,624
Modoc	\$ 25,615	Tuolumne	\$	29,585
Mono	\$ 29,585	Ventura	\$	32,321
Monterey	\$ 34,463	Yolo	\$	33,352
Napa	\$ 35,918	Yuba	\$	28,132
-	Ψ 00,010		Ψ	_0,.02
Average	\$ 30,224			
Median	\$ 29,585			
High	\$ 42,987 \$ 24.142			
Low	\$ 24,142			

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index08feb.html





Couple Homeowner with Mortgage in Good Health

	Elder		Elder
County	Index	County	Index
Alameda	\$ 45,204	Nevada	\$ 40,623
Alpine	\$ 39,586	Orange	\$ 41,427
Amador	\$ 39,586	Placer	\$ 44,342
Butte	\$ 36,583	Plumas	\$ 40,623
Calaveras	\$ 39,586	Riverside	\$ 36,884
Colusa	\$ 36,970	Sacramento	\$ 36,773
Contra Costa	\$ 44,104	San Benito	\$ 44,464
Del Norte	\$ 35,616	San Bernardino	\$ 35,485
El Dorado	\$ 39,721	San Diego	\$ 38,603
Fresno	\$ 34,526	San Francisco	\$ 47,606
Glenn	\$ 36,970	San Joaquin	\$ 38,329
Humboldt	\$ 35,956	San Luis Obispo	\$ 40,957
Imperial	\$ 35,292	San Mateo	\$ 52,700
Inyo	\$ 39,586	Santa Barbara	\$ 43,770
Kern	\$ 32,825	Santa Clara	\$ 47,354
Kings	\$ 37,043	Santa Cruz	\$ 48,081
Lake	\$ 40,595	Shasta	\$ 34,461
Lassen	\$ 35,616	Sierra	\$ 40,623
Los Angeles		Siskiyou	\$ 35,616
City of LA	\$ 41,098	Solano	\$ 43,345
Rest of LA	\$ 38,331	Sonoma	\$ 40,628
Madera	\$ 33,972	Stanislaus	\$ 37,074
Marin	\$ 48,348	Sutter	\$ 38,132
Mariposa	\$ 39,586	Tehama	\$ 36,970
Mendocino	\$ 39,859	Trinity	\$ 36,970
Merced	\$ 35,683	Tulare	\$ 33,255
Modoc	\$ 35,616	Tuolumne	\$ 39,586
Mono	\$ 39,586	Ventura	\$ 39,670
Monterey	\$ 44,464	Yolo	\$ 42,374
Napa		Yuba	
- tupu	\$ 44,692	1 404	\$ 38,132
Average	\$ 39,686	return	to index page
Median	\$ 39,586	<u>return</u>	to much page
High	\$ 52,700		
Low	\$ 32,825		

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative[™] program visit:



Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index Average Annual Social Security Payment for Single Elder* by County, 2007

County	Average Annual Payment 2005	Adjusted by COLAS to 2007
Alameda	\$11,755.89	\$12,641.74
Alpine	\$11,755.89	\$12,006.55
Amador	\$11,742.06	\$12,626.86
Butte	\$11,742.08	\$12,158.02
Calaveras	\$11,816.13	
		\$12,706.51
Colusa	\$11,315.94	\$12,168.63
Contra Costa	\$12,556.76	\$13,502.95
Del Norte	\$10,904.96	\$11,726.68
El Dorado	\$11,712.83	\$12,595.43
Fresno	\$10,944.90	\$11,769.63
Glenn	\$10,836.36	\$11,652.92
Humboldt	\$11,522.06	\$12,390.28
Imperial	\$9,239.19	\$9,935.39
Inyo	\$11,017.48	\$11,847.68
Kern	\$11,107.09	\$11,944.04
Kings	\$10,563.07	\$11,359.03
Lake	\$11,222.45	\$12,068.09
Lassen	\$10,238.57	\$11,010.08
Los Angeles	\$11,661.48	\$12,540.21
city	N/A	N/A
county	N/A	N/A
Madera	\$11,020.65	\$11,851.09
Marin	\$13,280.77	\$14,281.52
Mariposa	\$11,041.60	\$11,873.61
Mendocino	\$11,286.40	\$12,136.86
Merced	\$10,456.38	\$11,244.30
Modoc	\$10,576.40	\$11,373.36
Mono	\$11,366.87	\$12,223.40
Monterey	\$11,252.51	\$12,100.42
Napa	\$11,612.74	\$12,487.80

County	Average Annual Payment 2005	Adjusted by COLAS to 2007
Nevada	\$11,781.07	\$12,668.80
Orange	\$12,541.98	\$13,487.05
Placer	\$11,981.28	\$12,884.10
Plumas	\$11,229.42	\$12,075.59
Riverside	\$11,798.28	\$12,687.31
Sacramento	\$11,219.75	\$12,065.19
San Benito	\$11,314.70	\$12,167.29
Bernardino	\$11,195.42	\$12,039.03
San Diego	\$11,395.90	\$12,254.61
San Francisco	\$11,322.76	\$12,175.97
San Joaquin	\$11,253.28	\$12,101.24
Obispo	\$11,666.77	\$12,545.89
San Mateo	\$12,772.13	\$13,734.54
Santa Barbara	\$11,877.22	\$12,772.20
Santa Clara	\$12,520.18	\$13,463.62
Santa Cruz	\$11,614.58	\$12,489.78
Shasta	\$11,365.43	\$12,221.85
Sierra	\$11,229.36	\$12,075.52
Siskiyou	\$10,846.39	\$11,663.70
Solano	\$10,927.16	\$11,750.55
Sonoma	\$11,975.41	\$12,877.79
Stanislaus	\$11,230.59	\$12,076.85
Sutter	\$10,834.88	\$11,651.32
Tehama	\$11,120.68	\$11,958.66
Trinity	\$10,974.55	\$11,801.51
Tulare	\$10,462.88	\$11,251.29
Tuolumne	\$11,718.01	\$12,600.99
Ventura	\$11,939.30	\$12,838.96
Yolo	\$11,398.60	\$12,257.52
Yuba	\$10,586.27	\$11,383.98
California	\$11,688.22	\$12,568.96

SOURCE: Social Security Administration, Office of Policy OASDI Beneficiaries by State and County, 2005. http://www.socialsecurity.gov/policy/docs/statcomps/oasdi_sc/2005/

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit:

http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index08feb.html

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative $^{\rm TM}$ program visit:







^{*} Amount for couples estimated at 1.68 times the single amount.

Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index Adjustments Used to Inflate Historic Costs

Medical care 1.138

CPI-West 7/04 - 6/07 (Series ID : CUUR0400SAM)

July 2004= 310.4 June 2007= 353.37

Housing 1.083

CPI-West 7/05 - 6/07 (Series ID : CUUR0400SAH)

July 2004= 204.2 June 2007: 221.23

Social Security COLA 1.075

2005= 4.1% 2006= 3.3%

CPI Source: http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/dsrv?cu

Social Security: http://www.ssa.gov/OACT/COLA/colaseries.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index08feb.html

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative™ Program visit:







Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index

Housing Type for Older Adults in California by County and Income

	A 11		1 1		65 and over w	
	All pers % Owner	ons age 65 and % Owner			of federal pov % Owner	
		% Owner with	% Renting	Owner without	% Owner with	% Renting
	without Mortgage	Mortgage		Mortgage	With Mortgage	
California	ŭ ŭ		210/	38%	27%	220/
Alameda	43% 41%	34% 35%	21% 22%	35%	26%	33% 37%
Alpine	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	7%
Amador	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	7%
Butte	58%	27%	13%	55%	22%	21%
Calaveras	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	
	59%	23%	13%	59%	23%	7% 15%
Colusa (2)	47%	38%		43%	30%	
Contra Costa Del Norte (1)	54%	31%	14% 12%	54%	26%	26% 18%
El Dorado		33%	9%			
	57% 46%	31%	20%	54%	28% 28%	18% 28%
Fresno				41%		
Glenn (2)	59% 70%	23% 19%	13%	59% 64%	21% 17%	15%
Humboldt			10%			17%
Imperial	41%	23%	34%	33%	20%	45%
Inyo	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	7%
Kern	47%	34%	17%	45%	30%	23%
Kings	47%	31%	21%	53%	23%	23%
Lake (7)	65%	18%	15%	66%	13%	17%
Lassen (1)	54%	31%	12%	54%	26%	18%
Los Angeles City	32%	32%	35%	22%	23%	53%
LA County,	200/	2.40/	250/	220/	270/	200/
balance	39%	34%	25%	32%	27%	38%
Madera Marin	58%	28%	13%	43%	26%	29%
	45%	38%	15%	47%	22%	29%
Mariposa	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	7%
Mendocino (7)	65%	18%	15%	66%	13%	17%
Merced	47%	29%	18%	41%	26%	25%
Modoc (1)	54%	31%	12%	54%	26%	18%
Mono	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	7%
Monterey (5)	48%	35%	15%	42%	28%	27%
Napa	59%	29%	11%	63%	20%	17%
Nevada (3)	57%	33%	8%	56%	25%	17%
Orange	42%	37%	19%	36%	29%	33%
Placer	43%	41%	14%	41%	36%	19%
Plumas (3)	57%	33%	8%	56%	25%	17%
Riverside	47%	38%	14%	43%	34%	22%
Sacramento	45%	36%	17%	39%	27%	31%
San Benito (5)	48%	35%	15%	42%	28%	27%
San Bernardino	43%	40%	16%	47%	29%	23%
San Diego	43%	35%	21%	38%	28%	33%
San Francisco	35%	22%	42%	24%	13%	60%
San Joaquin	42%	35%	21%	36%	32%	29%

	All persons age 65 and over*		Persons age 65 and over with incomes under 200% of federal poverty level*			
	% Owner	% Owner	% Renting	Owner	% Owner	% Renting
	without	with		without	with	
	Mortgage	Mortgage		Mortgage	Mortgage	
San Luis Obispo	49%	36%	11%	45%	31%	17%
San Mateo	45%	35%	19%	36%	27%	34%
Santa Barbara	52%	31%	15%	48%	29%	21%
Santa Clara	43%	35%	20%	37%	28%	33%
Santa Cruz	58%	27%	13%	55%	21%	20%
Shasta	53%	29%	16%	48%	29%	18%
Sierra (3)	57%	33%	8%	56%	25%	17%
Siskiyou (1)	54%	31%	12%	54%	26%	18%
Solano	41%	42%	16%	44%	29%	26%
Sonoma	45%	34%	19%	43%	23%	32%
Stanislaus	46%	31%	21%	36%	33%	27%
Sutter (4)	53%	26%	19%	44%	27%	27%
Tehama (2)	59%	23%	13%	59%	21%	15%
Trinity (2)	59%	23%	13%	59%	21%	15%
Tulare	44%	34%	18%	37%	32%	28%
Tuolumne	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	7%
Ventura	42%	41%	16%	44%	25%	29%
Yolo	51%	30%	19%	53%	16%	30%
Yuba (4)	53%	26%	19%	44%	27%	27%

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2005 American Community Survey (ACS)

Note: The following counties were combined in the U.S. Census ACS data

- (1) Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou
- (2) Colusa, Glenn, Tehama, Trinity
- (3) Nevada, Plumas, Sierra
- (4) Sutter, Yuba
- (5) Monterey, San Benito
- (6) Alpine, Amador, Calvaeras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono, Tuolumne
- (7) Mendocino, Lake

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit:

http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder_index08feb.html

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative™ program visit:







^{*} County totals do not equal 100% because some elders report "other" housing arrangements.