## Characteristics of Adults age 65 and older with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard<sup>TM</sup> Index, California

County Numbers and Percents, State Totals, and County Comparisons Single and Couple Adults age 65 and older Living with Others

> Prepared by Steven P. Wallace, PhD D. Imelda Padilla-Frausto, MPH L. Cricel Molina, MPH Y. Jenny Chia, PhD



### February 2009

The methodology for this report was developed by UCLA Center for Health Policy Research in collaboration with the Insight Center for Community Economic Development, Oakland, CA

The Elder Index is based on the methodology originally developed by the Gerontology Institute, University of Massachusetts-Boston and Wider Opportunities for Women

Funding for this report provided by the University of California's Program on Access to Care (CPAC), Area Agency on Aging of Los Angeles County, Aging & Independent Services of San Diego, and Insight Center for Community Economic Development, Oakland, California.

This report is part of the California Elder Economic Security Initiative<sup>TM</sup> program (Cal-EESI) which is led by the Insight Center for Community Economic Development, Oakland, California, with the guidance of a statewide steering committee. Cal-EESI is part of a national initiative led by Wider Opportunities for Women (WOW), Washington, DC, which holds the trademarks for the terms Elder Economic Security Initiative program, Elderly Economic Security Standard Index, EESI, and Elder Index. The national methodology was developed by the Gerontology Institute at UMass-Boston in collaboration with WOW. The UCLA Center for Health Policy Research used the national methodology to model the data for California.



www.insightcced.org



www.wowonline.org

Funding for the California Elder Economic Security Initiative program has been provided by: Aging & Independent Services of San Diego, Alameda County Social Services Agency Adult and Aging Services, Area Agency on Aging of the County of Los Angeles, The California Endowment, California Community Foundation, California Policy Research Center, The California Wellness Foundation, City of Los Angeles Department of Aging, Council on Aging-Silicon Valley, Health Trust, Wider Opportunities for Women, UC Program on Access to Care, United Way of the Bay Area, and Y & H Soda Foundation.

The views expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, the Regents of the University of California, or University of California, Office of the President, California Program on Access to Care Copyright 2009 The Regents of the University of California. All Rights Reserved. The UCLA Center for Health Policy Research is affiliated with the UCLA School of Public Health and the UCLA School of Public Affairs. Visit the center's website at: www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu

# Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index) California Counties

Click to go to page

<u>Alameda</u>	<u>Madera</u>	San Luis Obispo
<u>Alpine</u>	<u>Marin</u>	San Mateo
<u>Amador</u>	<u>Mariposa</u>	Santa Barbara
<u>Butte</u>	<u>Mendocino</u>	Santa Clara
<u>Calaveras</u>	<u>Merced</u>	Santa Cruz
<u>Colusa</u>	<u>Modoc</u>	<u>Shasta</u>
Contra Costa	<u>Mono</u>	<u>Sierra</u>
Del Norte	<u>Monterey</u>	Siskiyou
El Dorado	<u>Napa</u>	<u>Solano</u>
<u>Fresno</u>	<u>Nevada</u>	<u>Sonoma</u>
Glenn	<u>Orange</u>	<u>Stanislaus</u>
<u>Humboldt</u>	<u>Placer</u>	<u>Sutter</u>
<u>Imperial</u>	<u>Plumas</u>	<u>Tehama</u>
<u>Inyo</u>	<u>Riverside</u>	<u>Trinity</u>
<u>Kern</u>	<u>Sacramento</u>	<u>Tulare</u>
<u>Kings</u>	San Benito	<u>Tuolumne</u>
<u>Lake</u>	San Bernardino	<u>Ventura</u>
<u>Lassen</u>	San Diego	<u>Yolo</u>
Los Angeles City	San Francisco	<u>Yuba</u>
Rest of Los Angeles County	San Joaquin	Statewide Totals

#### **Supplemental Sheets**

NarrativeBelow Elder Index CountyFederal Poverty Level andClustered CountiesComparisonsElder Index Comparisons

#### **County Comparisons, Annual Elder Index Amounts**

Elder Owners w/o Mortgage Elder Owners w/ Mortgage

#### **Supporting Data**

<u>Average Annual Social Security</u> <u>Inflation Adjustments</u> <u>Housing Type by County</u>

For full report on Annual Elder Index Amounts see: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index08feb.html

Financial support for the Elder Economic Security Initiative development provided by: Aging & Independent Services of San Diego, Alameda County Social Services Agency Adult and Aging Services, Area Agency on Aging of the County of Los Angeles, The California Endowment, California Community Foundation, California Policy Research Center, The California Wellness Foundation, City of Los Angeles Department of Aging, Council on Aging-Silicon Valley, Health Trust, Wider Opportunities for Women, UC Program on Access to Care, United Way of the Bay Area, and Y & H Soda Foundation.

For the complete report and methodology visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







#### California

## Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index

The Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index is the basic income needed to make ends meet for persons age 65 and older. It reflects actual costs at the county level for housing, health care, food, transportation, and other costs in different housing types.

Source: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index08feb.html

Federal Poverty Level (FPL)

Offically called the Federal Poverty Guideline (also called the Federal Poverty Level or FPL), it is used by many public programs to calculate eligibility. In 2007, the Federal Poverty Level for one adult age 65+, living anywhere in the country was \$10,210 per year.

Source: http://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/

Above FPL and Below the Elder Index

Persons age 65 and older whose income is in the gap above the Federal Poverty Level and below the Elder Index. These elders often do no qualify for public supports and do not have enough to cover their basic expenses. The Elder Index demonstrates that many older Americans who are not "poor", as defined by the FPL, still do not have enough income to meet their basic needs.

Single Elder (living with others)

A person age 65 and over who is in a household containing two or more persons but who is not living with their spouse or partner. Examples can include an older window living in an adult child's home or an older divorcee who is raising a grandchild in her own home. The calculation is based on only the older adult (one person) and their personal income.

Couples (living with others)

Couples who are in households with three or more persons. Examples include older couples living in an adult child's home or an older couple raising grandchildren in their own home. The calculation is based on only the couple (two person) and their income.

Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)

All persons age 65 and older in all living arrangements, except nursing homes, whose income is below the Elder Index (Economically Insecure). The Elder Index measures how much income is needed for retired adults age 65+ to meet basic needs in each county of California.

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ by Subgroup Total These data provide the percent and number of older adults who are economically insecure (below the Elder Index) in each living arrangement. Within each of the four living arrangements, data is provided for those who are economically insecure according to their housing status, gender, age, and race and ethnicity. For example, under the section Gender: the table shows among single women 65+ who live with others, the percent and number who are economically insecure. It also shows among single men 65+ who live with others, the percent and number who are economically insecure. In cases where the results are fewer than 1,000 people below the elder index for a particular subgroup, the number is too small to calculate a reliable estimate and therefore not included.

Data for these tables are based on the U.S. Census 2006 American Community Survey (ACS) and the California Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index adjusted to 2006.







### Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index) **Clustered California Counties**

Methodology for Clustered Counties

Due to small numbers and unreliable estimates in some individual counties, a 2-step method was used to cluster the counties listed on this page to produce reliable estimates.

The first step clustered counties according the the Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMAs) as defined by the Census. PUMAs are special nonoverlapping areas that partition a state, and each PUMA contains a total population of about 100,000. State governments drew the PUMA boundaries at the time of the 2000 Census.

http://www.census.gov/acs/www/Products/users\_guide/acs\_2006\_reference\_maps.htm

If these clusters and/or other individual counties still contained small numbers and unreliable estimates when subset to older adults, the second step combined PUMA clusters or other individual counties according to the California Department of Aging, Planning and Service Areas (PSAs). In some instances, the PUMAs and PSAs did not coincide and exceptions are noted.

The following counties are clustered according the Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMAs) as defined by the Census.

http://www.census.gov/geo/puma/puma2000.html

**Alpine** Calaveras Amador Invo Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne comprise PUMA #1800

Mariposa Mono **Tuolumne** 

San Benito Monterey Montery and San Bentio comprise PUMA #3002

Lake **Mendocino** Lake and Mendocino comprise PUMA #400

The following counties are clustered due to small numbers in at least one of the counties. The clusters are consistent with the CA Department of Aging Planning and Service Areas (PSA). PSA county clustering can be obtained at the Triple-A Council of California.

http://www.4tacc.org/

Kings **Tulare** Kings and Tulare Counties follow PSA 15

**Fresno** Madera Fresno and Madera Counties follow PSA 14

Napa Solano Napa and Solano follow PSA 28

The following clusters contain PUMA clusters with small numbers and unreliable estimates of older adults. Further clustering follows PSA county clusters with exceptions noted.

Butte County is combined with PUMA cluster #500, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity, **Butte** to follow PSA 3. Note: Due to the composition of Census PUMAs, this cluster differs from **Trinity** 

Colusa

Lassen

**Tehama** 

PSA3 by including Trinity and excluding Plumas.

Shasta County is combined with PUMA cluster #100 Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, and

differs from PSA2 by including Del Norte and excluding Trinity.

Siskiyou to follow PSA 2. Note: Due to the composition of Census PUMAs, this cluster

Yolo County is combined with two PUMA clusters: Nevada, Plumas, & Sierra (#700) and

Sutter & Yuba (#800) to follow PSA 4. Note: Due to composition of Census PUMAs, this

cluster differs from PSA 4 by including Plumas and excluding Placer and Sacramento

Modoc **Shasta** 

Glenn

**Del Norte** Siskiyou

Yolo Yuba

**Nevada Plumas** Sierra Sutter

For the complete report and methodology visit: http://www.healthpolicv.ucla.edu/elder\_index09ian.html

(available separately).

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative™ program visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html







# Alameda County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Alameda</u>				
Number	143,000	10,000	62,000	71,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.8%	42.9%	49.8%

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>76.2%</b> 25,000	<b>53.7%</b> 11,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$35,569; Couple = \$45,204)	<b>81.7%</b> 6,000	<b>33.5%</b> 2,000
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,602; Couple = \$27,237)	<b>38.9%</b> 2,000	<b>37.8%</b> 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,153; Couple = \$34,788)	<b>84.8%</b> 17,000	<b>79.8%</b> 7,000
Gender:	Women	<b>78.2%</b> 18,000	<b>54.8%</b> 4,000
	Men	<b>71.2%</b> 7,000	<b>52.8%</b> 6,000
Age:	65-74	<b>77.5%</b> 12,000	<b>47.0%</b> 6,000
	75+	<b>74.9%</b> 12,000	<b>65.7%</b> 5,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>60.2%</b> 6,000	<b>20.6%</b> 1,000
	Latino (any race)	<b>84.9%</b> 4,000	<b>81.5%</b> 3,000
	African American	<b>60.1%</b> 3,000	#
	Asian	<b>94.1%</b> 10,000	<b>71.7%</b> 6,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Alameda County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

#### Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>65.8%</b> 3,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586 )	#	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,840; Couple = \$29,841)	<b>80.2%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>71.6%</b> 2,000	#
	Men	#	#
Age:	65-74	<b>57.0%</b> 1,000	#
	75+	<b>78.0%</b> 1,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>63.3%</b> 2,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Alpine County Only

#### Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>65.8%</b> 3,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,716; Couple = \$30,717)	<b>80.2%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>71.6%</b> 2,000	#
	Men	#	#
Age:	65-74	<b>57.0%</b> 1,000	#
	75+	<b>78.0%</b> 1,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>63.3%</b> 2,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Amador County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

#### Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	47,000	2,000	18,000	20,000
Percent of total	100.0%	5.3%	38.0%	43.3%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>57.3%</b> 4,000	<b>55.1%</b> 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$26,582; Couple = \$36,583)	#	<b>70.5%</b> 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,766; Couple = \$26,767)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,512; Couple = \$29,513)	<b>66.1%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>55.1%</b> 2,000	<b>62.4%</b> 1,000
	Men	<b>61.1%</b> 1,000	<b>50.0%</b> 1,000
Age:	65-74	<b>64.6%</b> 2,000	#
	75+	<b>50.7%</b> 2,000	<b>66.1%</b> 1,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>56.1%</b> 3,000	<b>54.2%</b> 2,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Butte County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

#### Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>65.8%</b> 3,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,696; Couple = \$29,697)	<b>80.2%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>71.6%</b> 2,000	#
	Men	#	#
Age:	65-74	<b>57.0%</b> 1,000	#
	75+	<b>78.0%</b> 1,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>63.3%</b> 2,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Calaveras County Only
\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

#### Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	47,000	2,000	18,000	20,000
Percent of total	100.0%	5.3%	38.0%	43.3%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>57.3%</b> 4,000	<b>55.1%</b> 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$26,969; Couple = \$36,970 )	#	<b>70.5%</b> 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,517; Couple = \$26,518)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,726; Couple = \$28,727)	<b>66.1%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>55.1%</b> 2,000	<b>62.4%</b> 1,000
	Men	<b>61.1%</b> 1,000	<b>50.0%</b> 1,000
Age:	65-74	<b>64.6%</b> 2,000	#
	75+	<b>50.7%</b> 2,000	<b>66.1%</b> 1,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>56.1%</b> 3,000	<b>54.2%</b> 2,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Colusa County Only

# Contra Costa County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Contra Costa				
Number	116,000	6,000	42,000	48,000
Percent of total	100.0%	5.4%	36.1%	41.5%

		Single Elder	Couples
		(living with others)	(living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	73.3%	43.6%
	# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	20,000	5,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage	70.2%	32.6%
	(Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$34,470; Couple = \$44,104)	5,000	1,000
	Owner without mortgage	48.7%	#
	(Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,804; Couple = \$28,438)	2,000	
	Renter, one bedroom	80.1%	88.0%
	(Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,353; Couple = \$34,988)	13,000	3,000
Gender:	Women	76.1%	44.8%
		14,000	2,000
	Men	67.1%	43.1%
		6,000	4,000
Age:	65-74	70.2%	43.1%
		9,000	3,000
	75+	76.1%	44.6%
		11,000	2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	64.1%	31.3%
		9,000	2,000
	Latino (any race)	81.0%	67.4%
		3,000	1,000
	African American	73.5%	#
		1,000	
	Asian	85.2%	55.5%
		5,000	2,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Contra Costa County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

#### Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	43,000	3,000	15,000	18,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.3%	34.6%	41.9%

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>73.2%</b> 4,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,615; Couple = \$35,616)	<b>78.7%</b> 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,423; Couple = \$26,424)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,627; Couple = \$28,628)	<b>85.1%</b> 3,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>76.2%</b> 3,000	#
	Men	<b>67.9%</b> 1,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>76.3%</b> 2,000	#
	75+	<b>70.6%</b> 2,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>73.3%</b> 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Del Norte County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# Eldorado County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Eldorado</u>				
Number	20,000	1,000	5,000	7,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.4%	25.6%	33.0%

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>64.8%</b> 2,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$31,091; Couple = \$39,721)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,816; Couple = \$25,447)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$21,151; Couple = \$29,781)	<b>71.8%</b> 1,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>70.8%</b> 2,000	#
	Men	#	#
Age:	65-74	<b>69.3%</b> 1,000	#
	75+	<b>60.7%</b> 1,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>61.0%</b> 2,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Eldorado County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

# Fresno and Madera Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	97,000	10,000	36,000	46,000
Percent of total	100.0%	10.4%	37.1%	47.5%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Fresno and Madera Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>77.2%</b> 17,000	<b>51.6%</b> 6,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,661; Couple = \$34,526)	<b>88.7%</b> 3,000	<b>42.6%</b> 2,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,001; Couple = \$24,866)	<b>60.6%</b> 3,000	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,870; Couple = \$27,735)	<b>80.1%</b> 11,000	<b>75.5%</b> 3,000
Gender:	Women	<b>77.2%</b> 11,000	<b>50.0%</b> 2,000
	Men	<b>77.3%</b> 5,000	<b>52.6%</b> 4,000
Age:	65-74	<b>81.3%</b> 8,000	<b>46.6%</b> 4,000
	75+	<b>74.0%</b> 9,000	<b>60.5%</b> 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>60.7%</b> 6,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>93.2%</b> 7,000	<b>76.7%</b> 4,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	<b>89.8%</b> 3,000	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Fresno County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

#### Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	47,000	2,000	18,000	20,000
Percent of total	100.0%	5.3%	38.0%	43.3%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>57.3%</b> 4,000	<b>55.1%</b> 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$26,969; Couple = \$36,970 )	#	<b>70.5%</b> 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,517; Couple = \$26,518)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,934; Couple = \$27,935)	<b>66.1%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>55.1%</b> 2,000	<b>62.4%</b> 1,000
	Men	<b>61.1%</b> 1,000	<b>50.0%</b> 1,000
Age:	65-74	<b>64.6%</b> 2,000	#
	75+	<b>50.7%</b> 2,000	<b>66.1%</b> 1,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>56.1%</b> 3,000	<b>54.2%</b> 2,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# =Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Glenn County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# Humboldt County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Humboldt</u>				
Number	16,000	1,000	5,000	6,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.1%	28.5%	35.6%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Humboldt County\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>71.4%</b> 2,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,955; Couple = \$35,956)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,985; Couple = \$26,986)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,176; Couple = \$29,177)	#	#
Gender:	Women	<b>84.4%</b> 1,000	#
	Men	#	#
Age:	65-74	#	#
	75+	#	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>66.2%</b> 1,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Humboldt County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

## Imperial County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Imperial</u>				
Number	16,000	2,000	9,000	11,000
Percent of total	100.0%	13.5%	55.6%	69.0%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Imperial County\*\*

		Living Alone (1 person households)	Couples (2 person households)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>91.2%</b> <i>4,000</i>	<b>78.9%</b> 3,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,551; Couple = \$35,292)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,569; Couple = \$28,310)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,891; Couple = \$30,632)	<b>100.0%</b> 3,000	<b>100.0%</b>
Gender:	Women	<b>87.7%</b> 2,000	<b>77.0%</b> 1,000
	Men	<b>100.0%</b> 1,000	<b>80.1%</b> 2,000
Age:	65-74	<b>84.1%</b> 2,000	<b>75.9%</b> 2,000
	75+	<b>100.0%</b> 2,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	#	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>90.8%</b> 3,000	<b>93.7%</b> 3,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Imperial County Only

#### Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>65.8%</b> 3,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,748; Couple = \$28,749)	<b>80.2%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>71.6%</b> 2,000	#
	Men	#	#
Age:	65-74	<b>57.0%</b> 1,000	#
	75+	<b>78.0%</b> 1,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>63.3%</b> 2,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# =Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Inyo County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples living with others on separate sheet

### Kern County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Kern</u>				
Number	67,000	7,000	22,000	28,000
Percent of total	100.0%	9.9%	32.6%	42.5%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Kern County\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	71.1%	42.4%
	# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	11,000	4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage	60.9%	29.1%
	(Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,142; Couple = \$32,825)	2,000	1,000
	Owner without mortgage	#	54.3%
	(Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,848; Couple = \$24,531)		1,000
	Renter, one bedroom	82.7%	53.2%
	( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,789; Couple = \$26,471)	8,000	1,000
Gender:	Women	76.9%	43.7%
		8,000	2,000
	Men	54.7%	41.5%
		2,000	2,000
Age:	65-74	72.0%	37.6%
		5,000	2,000
	75+	70.2%	52.4%
		5,000	1,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	55.1%	34.4%
		4,000	2,000
	Latino (any race)	88.5%	46.3%
		4,000	1,000
	African American	90.1%	#
		1,000	
	Asian	<b>100.0%</b> 1,000	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Kern County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

## Kings and Tulare Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	46,000	5,000	16,000	21,000
Percent of total	100.0%	11.3%	33.9%	45.2%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Kings and Tulare Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>71.5%</b> 7,000	<b>57.1%</b> 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$27,042; Couple = \$37,043)	<b>66.8%</b> 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,032; Couple = \$27,032)	<b>65.7%</b> 2,000	<b>53.3%</b> 1,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,112; Couple = \$29,113)	<b>75.2%</b> 4,000	<b>68.5%</b> 2,000
Gender:	Women	<b>68.4%</b> 5,000	<b>59.2%</b> 1,000
	Men	<b>78.6%</b> 2,000	<b>56.0%</b> 2,000
Age:	65-74	<b>76.0%</b> 4,000	<b>53.0%</b> 2,000
	75+	<b>66.1%</b> 3,000	<b>65.1%</b> 1,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>57.2%</b> 2,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>79.0%</b> 4,000	<b>77.2%</b> 2,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Kings County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# Lake and Mendocino Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	20,000	1,000	9,000	10,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.4%	44.7%	51.0%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Lake and Mendocino Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>60.8%</b> 3,000	<b>61.4%</b> 1,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,226; Couple = \$40,595)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,135; Couple = \$27,504)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,436; Couple = \$29,805)	<b>52.7%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>45.5%</b> 1,000	#
	Men	<b>78.7%</b> 2,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>83.4%</b> 2,000	#
	75+	<b>45.7%</b> 1,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>52.2%</b> 2,000	<b>61.4%</b> 1,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Lake County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

#### Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	43,000	3,000	15,000	18,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.3%	34.6%	41.9%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>73.2%</b> 4,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,615; Couple = \$35,616)	<b>78.7%</b> 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,423; Couple = \$26,424)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,843; Couple = \$28,844)	<b>85.1%</b> 3,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>76.2%</b> 3,000	#
	Men	<b>67.9%</b> 1,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>76.3%</b> 2,000	#
	75+	<b>70.6%</b> 2,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>73.3%</b> 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see Clustered Counties for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit:







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Lassen County Only \*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# Los Angeles City, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Los Angeles City				
Number	350,000	40,000	164,000	204,000
Percent of total	100.0%	11.4%	47.0%	58.4%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Los Angeles City\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>81.5%</b> <i>82,000</i>	<b>54.4%</b> 23,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$33,358; Couple = \$41,098 )	<b>78.4%</b> 11,000	<b>38.5%</b> 6,000
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,184; Couple = \$23,924)	<b>40.0%</b> <i>4,000</i>	<b>25.0%</b> 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,798; Couple = \$30,538)	<b>87.6%</b> 67,000	<b>81.8%</b> 15,000
Gender:	Women	<b>84.3%</b> 63,000	<b>55.7%</b> 9,000
	Men	<b>73.5%</b> 19,000	<b>53.7%</b> 14,000
Age:	65-74	<b>79.1%</b> 41,000	<b>48.9%</b> 13,000
	75+	<b>84.0%</b> 41,000	<b>63.5%</b> 10,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>66.9%</b> 22,000	<b>23.8%</b> 2,000
	Latino (any race)	<b>91.0%</b> <i>37,000</i>	<b>66.0%</b> 11,000
	African American	<b>79.0%</b> 10,000	<b>36.4%</b> 1,000
	Asian	<b>92.3%</b> 12,000	<b>71.5%</b> 8,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Los Angeles City Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

# Los Angeles County (excluding LA City), CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Los Angeles County	y (excluding LA City)			
Number	575,000	52,000	260,000	312,000
Percent of total	100.0%	9.0%	45.2%	54.2%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Los Angeles County (excluding LA City)\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>79.1%</b> 138,000	<b>51.0%</b> <i>44</i> ,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,591; Couple = \$38,331)	<b>75.0%</b> 27,000	<b>40.2%</b> 12,000
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,355; Couple = \$24,095)	<b>51.7%</b> 12,000	<b>22.3%</b> 5,000
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,827; Couple = \$30,567)	<b>86.1%</b> 99,000	<b>80.2%</b> 27,000
Gender:	Women	<b>83.3%</b> 104,000	<b>52.4%</b> 17,000
	Men	<b>68.6%</b> 34,000	<b>50.1%</b> 27,000
Age:	65-74	<b>76.3%</b> 65,000	<b>46.9%</b> 27,000
	75+	<b>81.8%</b> 74,000	<b>59.7%</b> 16,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>64.8%</b> 36,000	<b>28.0%</b> 8,000
	Latino (any race)	<b>86.6%</b> 53,000	<b>65.8%</b> 20,000
	African American	<b>71.2%</b> 11,000	<b>38.0%</b> 2,000
	Asian	<b>90.0%</b> 36,000	<b>63.1%</b> 14,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Los Angeles County (excluding LA City) Only
\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

## Fresno and Madera Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	97,000	10,000	36,000	46,000
Percent of total	100.0%	10.4%	37.1%	47.5%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Fresno and Madera Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	77.2%	51.6%
	# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	17,000	6,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage	88.7%	42.6%
	(Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,342; Couple = \$33,972)	3,000	2,000
	Owner without mortgage	60.6%	#
	(Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,424; Couple = \$26,055)	3,000	
	Renter, one bedroom	80.1%	75.5%
	( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,964; Couple = \$26,595)	11,000	3,000
Gender:	Women	77.2%	50.0%
		11,000	2,000
	Men	77.3%	52.6%
		5,000	4,000
Age:	65-74	81.3%	46.6%
		8,000	4,000
	75+	74.0%	60.5%
		9,000	3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	60.7%	#
		6,000	
	Latino (any race)	93.2%	76.7%
		7,000	4,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	89.8%	#
		3,000	

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Madera County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# Marin County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	•	• •	<u> </u>	•
	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Marin</u>				
Number	35,000	2,000	7,000	9,000
Percent of total	100.0%	4.3%	21.0%	25.3%

	Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Marin County**				
		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)		
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>49.1%</b> 3,000	<b>52.4%</b> 1,000		
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$39,573; Couple = \$48,348)	#	#		
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,005; Couple = \$26,780 )	#	#		
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$26,581; Couple = \$35,355)	<b>61.6%</b> 2,000	#		
Gender:	Women	<b>64.0%</b> 3,000	#		
	Men	#	#		
Age:	65-74	<b>51.8%</b> 1,000	#		
	75+	<b>46.3%</b> 1,000	#		
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>38.3%</b> 2,000	#		
	Latino (any race)	#	#		
	African American	#	#		
	Asian	#	#		

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Marin County Only

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

#### Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>65.8%</b> 3,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,840; Couple = \$29,841)	<b>80.2%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>71.6%</b> 2,000	#
	Men	#	#
Age:	65-74	<b>57.0%</b> 1,000	#
	75+	<b>78.0%</b> 1,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>63.3%</b> 2,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Mariposa County Only

## Lake and Mendocino Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	20,000	1,000	9,000	10,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.4%	44.7%	51.0%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Lake and Mendocino Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>60.8%</b> 3,000	<b>61.4%</b> 1,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,858; Couple = \$39,859)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,766; Couple = \$26,767)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,220; Couple = \$30,221)	<b>52.7%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>45.5%</b> 1,000	#
	Men	<b>78.7%</b> 2,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>83.4%</b> 2,000	#
	75+	<b>45.7%</b> 1,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>52.2%</b> 2,000	<b>61.4%</b> 1,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Mendocino County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# Merced County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)	
California					
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000	
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%	
<u>Merced</u>					
Number	21,000	3,000	10,000	13,000	
Percent of total	100.0%	13.8%	46.3%	60.1%	

	Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 6	5+ in Merced County**	
		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>91.8%</b> <i>4,000</i>	<b>83.4%</b> 3,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,682; Couple = \$35,683)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,361; Couple = \$26,362)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,952; Couple = \$28,953)	<b>94.6%</b> 3,000	<b>100.0%</b> 2,000
Gender:	Women	<b>94.9%</b> 3,000	<b>80.5%</b> 1,000
	Men	<b>86.6%</b> 2,000	<b>85.1%</b> 2,000
Age:	65-74	<b>97.5%</b> 3,000	<b>80.0%</b> 2,000
	75+	<b>83.8%</b> 2,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>80.0%</b> 1,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>97.2%</b> 2,000	<b>87.8%</b> 1,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Merced County Only

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

#### Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	43,000	3,000	15,000	18,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.3%	34.6%	41.9%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>73.2%</b> 4,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,615; Couple = \$35,616 )	<b>78.7%</b> 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,423; Couple = \$26,424 )	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,423; Couple = \$28,424)	<b>85.1%</b> 3, <i>000</i>	#
Gender:	Women	<b>76.2%</b> 3,000	#
	Men	<b>67.9%</b> 1,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>76.3%</b> 2,000	#
	75+	<b>70.6%</b> 2,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>73.3%</b> 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Modoc County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

#### Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>65.8%</b> 3,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$21,652; Couple = \$31,653)	<b>80.2%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>71.6%</b> 2,000	#
	Men	#	#
Age:	65-74	<b>57.0%</b> 1,000	#
	75+	<b>78.0%</b> 1,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>63.3%</b> 2,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Mono County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

## Monterey and San Benito Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	43,000	3,000	15,000	19,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.8%	35.3%	43.1%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Monterey and San Benito Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	69.7%	43.4%
	# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	6,000	2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$34,463; Couple = \$44,464)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,328; Couple = \$27,329)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,178; Couple = \$34,179)	<b>79.7%</b> 4,000	<b>58.4%</b> 1,000
Gender:	Women	<b>75.1%</b> 5,000	#
	Men	#	<b>45.7%</b> 2,000
Age:	65-74	<b>51.1%</b> 2,000	<b>44.2%</b> 2,000
	75+	<b>85.0%</b> <i>4,000</i>	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>52.3%</b> 2,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>97.7%</b> 2,000	<b>75.5%</b> 2,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Monterey County Only

# Napa and Solano Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	60,000	5,000	22,000	27,000
Percent of total	100.0%	8.9%	36.9%	45.7%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Napa and Solano Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>75.2%</b> 11,000	<b>44.2%</b> 3,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$35,918; Couple = \$44,692)	<b>68.0%</b> 2,000	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,614; Couple = \$27,388)	<b>46.1%</b> 1,000	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,086; Couple = \$30,861)	<b>85.3%</b> 8,000	<b>57.2%</b> 1,000
Gender:	Women	<b>80.8%</b> 8,000	<b>48.5%</b> 1,000
	Men	<b>59.9%</b> 2,000	<b>41.6%</b> 2,000
Age:	65-74	<b>67.6%</b> 5,000	<b>44.1%</b> 2,000
	75+	<b>82.1%</b> 6,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>66.7%</b> 5,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>88.5%</b> 2,000	#
	African American	<b>71.3%</b> 1,000	#
	Asian	<b>89.1%</b> 2,000	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Napa County Only

#### Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	56,000	3,000	20,000	23,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	35.1%	41.1%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>73.0%</b> 7,000	<b>25.4%</b> 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,623; Couple = \$40,623)	<b>68.8%</b> 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,687; Couple = \$27,688)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,842; Couple = \$30,842)	<b>87.5%</b> 5,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>73.4%</b> 5,000	#
	Men	<b>71.8%</b> 2,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>69.0%</b> 3,000	#
	75+	<b>75.5%</b> 4,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>64.0%</b> 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>95.4%</b> 2,000	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

te Objective Health O. Orace a Branco of a transmillion of latin at the state of th

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Nevada County Only
\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

## Orange County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

#### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Orange</u>				
Number	313,000	19,000	118,000	137,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	37.6%	43.7%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Orange County\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	77.8%	40.8%
	# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	59,000	16,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage	80.9%	38.0%
	(Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$33,582; Couple = \$41,427)	15,000	5,000
	Owner without mortgage	39.5%	14.3%
	( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,589; Couple = \$23,434)	4,000	1,000
	Renter, one bedroom	85.6%	61.3%
	( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,466; Couple = \$33,310)	40,000	9,000
Gender:	Women	80.0%	41.4%
		42,000	6,000
	Men	72.5%	40.4%
		16,000	9,000
Age:	65-74	74.7%	37.3%
		27,000	10,000
	75+	80.5%	50.3%
		32,000	5,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	60.1%	15.2%
		22,000	3,000
	Latino (any race)	93.6%	63.8%
		16,000	6,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	94.6%	65.9%
		19,000	7,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Orange County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

# Placer County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Above and Below Poverty Level and Elder In-		Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)	
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Placer</u>				
Number	46,000	1,000	15,000	16,000
Percent of total	100.0%	3.1%	32.8%	35.9%

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>69.7%</b> 5,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$35,321; Couple = \$44,342)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,965; Couple = \$26,986)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$21,727; Couple = \$30,749)	<b>74.2%</b> 4,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>73.2%</b> 4,000	#
	Men	<b>61.7%</b> 1,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>56.8%</b> 2,000	#
	<b>75</b> +	<b>78.7%</b> 3,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>63.6%</b> 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Placer County Only

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates eet Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

## Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	56,000	3,000	20,000	23,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	35.1%	41.1%

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>73.0%</b> 7,000	<b>25.4%</b> 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,623; Couple = \$40,623)	<b>68.8%</b> 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,687; Couple = \$27,688)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,162; Couple = \$29,162)	<b>87.5%</b> 5,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>73.4%</b> 5,000	#
	Men	<b>71.8%</b> 2,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>69.0%</b> 3,000	#
	75+	<b>75.5%</b> 4,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>64.0%</b> 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>95.4%</b> 2,000	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Plumas County Only

# Riverside County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Riverside</u>				
Number	219,000	17,000	75,000	92,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	34.3%	42.2%

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>72.0%</b> 35,000	<b>41.6%</b> 8,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$28,858; Couple = \$36,884)	<b>72.1%</b> 5,000	<b>32.9%</b> 3,000
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,571; Couple = \$23,597)	<b>44.2%</b> <i>4,000</i>	<b>18.8%</b> 1,000
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,703; Couple = \$28,729)	<b>79.1%</b> 26,000	<b>75.7%</b> 4,000
Gender:	Women	<b>76.7%</b> 25,000	<b>45.3%</b> 4,000
	Men	<b>62.7%</b> 10,000	<b>39.1%</b> 4,000
Age:	65-74	<b>68.8%</b> 15,000	<b>39.9%</b> 5,000
	75+	<b>74.5%</b> 20,000	<b>45.4%</b> 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>58.8%</b> 15,000	<b>15.3%</b> 1,000
	Latino (any race)	<b>89.9%</b> 14,000	<b>66.0%</b> 5,000
	African American	<b>63.2%</b> 1,000	#
	Asian	<b>93.4%</b> 4,000	<b>76.7%</b> 1,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Riverside County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

# Sacramento County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Sacramento</u>				
Number	144,000	12,000	48,000	60,000
Percent of total	100.0%	8.3%	33.6%	41.9%

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>69.0%</b> 23,000	<b>47.2%</b> 8,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$27,752; Couple = \$36,773)	<b>69.1%</b> 5,000	<b>33.0%</b> 2,000
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,701; Couple = \$25,723)	<b>31.9%</b> 2,000	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$21,517; Couple = \$30,538)	<b>80.6%</b> 16,000	<b>89.8%</b> 5,000
Gender:	Women	<b>73.6%</b> 17,000	<b>49.8%</b> 3,000
	Men	<b>58.8%</b> 6,000	<b>45.5%</b> 4,000
Age:	65-74	<b>68.8%</b> 11,000	<b>40.6%</b> 4,000
	75+	<b>69.2%</b> 12,000	<b>58.6%</b> 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>56.7%</b> 11,000	<b>29.3%</b> 2,000
	Latino (any race)	<b>90.8%</b> 5,000	<b>47.9%</b> 1,000
	African American	<b>82.6%</b> 3,000	#
	Asian	<b>84.0%</b> 4,000	<b>80.0%</b> 4,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Sacramento County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

## Monterey and San Benito Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	43,000	3,000	15,000	19,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.8%	35.3%	43.1%

### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Monterey and San Benito Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>69.7%</b> 6,000	<b>43.4%</b> 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$34,463; Couple = \$44,464)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,328; Couple = \$27,329)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,678; Couple = \$32,679)	<b>79.7%</b> 4,000	<b>58.4%</b> 1,000
Gender:	Women	<b>75.1%</b> 5,000	#
	Men	#	<b>45.7%</b> 2,000
Age:	65-74	<b>51.1%</b> 2,000	<b>44.2%</b> 2,000
	75+	<b>85.0%</b> 4,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>52.3%</b> 2,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>97.7%</b> 2,000	<b>75.5%</b> 2,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for San Benito County Only
\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# San Bernardino County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
San Bernardino				
Number	157,000	13,000	67,000	80,000
Percent of total	100.0%	8.1%	42.8%	50.9%

	Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in S	an Bernardino Coun	ty**
		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>74.9%</b> 33,000	<b>52.3%</b> 13,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$27,459; Couple = \$35,485)	<b>75.9%</b> 6,000	<b>46.4%</b> 5,000
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$14,901; Couple = \$22,926)	<b>54.7%</b> 4,000	<b>20.6%</b> 1,000
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,591; Couple = \$28,617)	<b>80.0%</b> 23,000	<b>84.6%</b> 7,000
Gender:	Women	<b>79.3%</b> 25,000	<b>54.3%</b> 5,000
	Men	<b>64.2%</b> 8,000	<b>51.1%</b> 8,000
Age:	65-74	<b>73.4%</b> 16,000	<b>41.8%</b> 7,000
	75+	<b>76.3%</b> 17,000	<b>74.7%</b> 6,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>62.7%</b> 11,000	<b>36.9%</b> 3,000
	Latino (any race)	<b>89.1%</b> 14,000	<b>65.3%</b> 8,000
	African American	<b>57.9%</b> 2,000	#
	Asian	<b>88.4%</b> 5,000	<b>82.7%</b> 2,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for San Bernardino County Only

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# San Diego County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
San Diego				
Number	313,000	24,000	107,000	131,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.7%	34.1%	41.8%

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>72.4%</b> 48,000	<b>44.6%</b> 14,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,368; Couple = \$38,603)	<b>70.7%</b> 9,000	<b>34.4%</b> 4,000
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,678; Couple = \$23,912)	<b>46.1%</b> 5,000	<b>23.1%</b> 2,000
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,822; Couple = \$31,057)	<b>78.8%</b> 34,000	<b>80.6%</b> 8,000
Gender:	Women	<b>74.4%</b> 37,000	<b>46.2%</b> 6,000
	Men	<b>66.3%</b> 11,000	<b>43.6%</b> 9,000
Age:	65-74	<b>67.7%</b> 20,000	<b>40.9%</b> 9,000
	75+	<b>76.2%</b> 28,000	<b>51.7%</b> 6,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>58.0%</b> 18,000	<b>21.5%</b> 3,000
	Latino (any race)	<b>87.7%</b> 17,000	<b>65.9%</b> 6,000
	African American	<b>64.8%</b> 2,000	#
	Asian	<b>91.1%</b> 10,000	<b>76.1%</b> 5.000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for San Diego County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

# San Francisco County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
San Francisco				
Number	106,000	10,000	55,000	65,000
Percent of total	100.0%	9.3%	52.0%	61.3%

		Single Elder	Couples
		(living with others)	(living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	79.6%	47.0%
	# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	24,000	5,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage	83.3%	40.8%
	( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$37,893; Couple = \$47,606)	4,000	2,000
	Owner without mortgage	47.6%	43.8%
	(Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,586; Couple = \$27,299)	2,000	2,000
	Renter, one bedroom	84.8%	63.2%
	(Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$27,435; Couple = \$37,148)	18,000	1,000
Gender:	Women	81.4%	50.7%
		17,000	2,000
	Men	75.7%	45.5%
		7,000	3,000
Age:	65-74	78.2%	43.8%
		12,000	3,000
	75+	81.2%	53.8%
		12,000	2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	57.9%	#
		4,000	
	Latino (any race)	90.5%	59.9%
		4,000	1,000
	African American	59.1%	#
		1,000	
	Asian	90.3%	58.0%
		14,000	3,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for San Francisco County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

# San Joaquin County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
San Joaquin				
Number	61,000	4,000	24,000	28,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.8%	39.2%	46.0%

	Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ i	n San Joaquin County	**
		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>72.9%</b> 11,000	<b>47.1%</b> 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,568; Couple = \$38,329)	<b>73.2%</b> 2,000	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,034; Couple = \$24,795)	<b>55.3%</b> 2,000	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,913; Couple = \$28,674)	<b>77.9%</b> 8,000	<b>64.6%</b> 2,000
Gender:	Women	<b>77.5%</b> 8,000	<b>46.1%</b> 1,000
	Men	<b>64.3%</b> 3,000	<b>47.6%</b> 3,000
Age:	65-74	<b>65.4%</b> 4,000	<b>52.3%</b> 3,000
	75+	<b>78.0%</b> 7,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>62.1%</b> 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>75.0%</b> 3,000	<b>74.2%</b> 2,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	<b>90.5%</b> 3,000	<b>68.7%</b> 1,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for San Joaquin County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

# San Luis Obispo County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
San Luis Obispo				
Number	35,000	4,000	9,000	13,000
Percent of total	100.0%	10.8%	27.1%	38.0%

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>69.9%</b> 3,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,588; Couple = \$40,957)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,587; Couple = \$27,956)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,380; Couple = \$32,749)	<b>80.7%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>78.6%</b> 2,000	#
	Men	#	#
Age:	65-74	<b>64.6%</b> 1,000	#
	75+	<b>75.9%</b> 2,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>66.5%</b> 3,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for San Luis Obispo County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

# San Mateo County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
San Mateo				
Number	88,000	6,000	31,000	38,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.2%	35.8%	43.0%

Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in San Mateo County\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>73.3%</b> 14,000	<b>40.3%</b> 5,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$42,987; Couple = \$52,700 )	<b>76.9%</b> 4,000	<b>45.7%</b> 2,000
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,272; Couple = \$27,985)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$27,550; Couple = \$37,263)	<b>81.5%</b> 10,000	<b>62.8%</b> 3,000
Gender:	Women	<b>74.7%</b> 11,000	<b>36.0%</b> 2,000
	Men	<b>69.4%</b> 3,000	<b>43.1%</b> 3,000
Age:	65-74	<b>70.5%</b> 6,000	<b>43.1%</b> 4,000
	75+	<b>75.8%</b> 8,000	<b>35.0%</b> 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>55.2%</b> 5,000	#
	Latino (any race)	92.9%	53.6%

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

Asian

African American

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates
Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

4,000

87.7%

4,000

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html

return to index page

1,000

#

58.2%

3,000







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for San Mateo County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# Santa Barbara County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)	
California					
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000	
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%	
Santa Barbara					
Number	48,000	2,000	16,000	19,000	
Percent of total	100.0%	5.1%	33.6%	38.8%	

		Single Elder	Couples
		(living with others)	(living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	57.7%	55.8%
	# of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	4,000	2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage	64.1%	#
	(Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$33,401; Couple = \$43,770)	1,000	
	Owner without mortgage	#	#
	(Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,320; Couple = \$28,689)		
	Renter, one bedroom	61.6%	#
	( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,566; Couple = \$34,935)	2,000	
Gender:	Women	64.8%	#
		3,000	
	Men	43.6%	61.5%
		1,000	1,000
Age:	65-74	52.2%	52.6%
		2,000	1,000
	75+	62.5%	#
		2,000	
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	54.6%	#
		3,000	
	Latino (any race)	69.3%	87.7%
		1,000	1,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Santa Barbara County Only

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

# Santa Clara County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Santa Clara				
Number	170,000	11,000	72,000	82,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.3%	42.1%	48.4%

	Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ i	n Santa Clara County	**
		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>80.4%</b> 38,000	<b>50.3%</b> 10,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$37,641; Couple = \$47,354)	<b>83.8%</b> 11,000	<b>29.6%</b> 2,000
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,632; Couple = \$27,345)	<b>42.9%</b> 3,000	<b>15.8%</b> 1,000
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,391; Couple = \$35,104)	<b>86.9%</b> 24,000	<b>90.3%</b> 8,000
Gender:	Women	<b>86.2%</b> 27,000	<b>50.7%</b> 4,000
	Men	<b>68.7%</b> 11,000	<b>50.0%</b> 6,000
Age:	65-74	<b>79.4%</b> 20,000	<b>53.1%</b> 7,000
	75+	<b>81.6%</b> 18,000	<b>45.1%</b> 3,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>58.2%</b> 10,000	<b>30.1%</b> 2,000
	Latino (any race)	<b>92.6%</b> 8,000	<b>49.1%</b> 2,000
	African American	<b>93.5%</b> 2,000	#
	Asian	<b>93.3%</b> 18,000	<b>67.1%</b> 6,000

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Santa Clara County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

# Santa Cruz County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

### Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Santa Cruz				
Number	25,000	2,000	9,000	11,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.0%	34.5%	41.6%

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>71.3%</b> 4,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$38,080; Couple = \$48,081)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,528; Couple = \$29,529)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,492; Couple = \$35,493)	<b>76.1%</b> 3,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>73.1%</b> 3,000	#
	Men	<b>67.6%</b> 1,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>75.8%</b> 2,000	#
	75+	<b>67.3%</b> 2,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>62.3%</b> 2,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>96.1%</b> 2,000	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Santa Cruz County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

## Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

Total **Below Federal** Above FPL **Total Below** Above and Below **Poverty Level** and **Elder Index Below Elder Index** (Economically Insecure) **Elder Index** (FPL) California 1,465,000 1,760,000 Number 3,736,000 295,000 7.9% 39.2% 47.1% Percent of total 100.0% **Clustered Counties** Number 43,000 3,000 15,000 18,000 7.3% 34.6% 41.9% Percent of total 100.0%

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>73.2%</b> 4,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,460; Couple = \$34,461)	<b>78.7%</b> 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,141; Couple = \$27,142 )	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$19,298; Couple = \$29,299)	<b>85.1%</b> 3,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>76.2%</b> 3,000	#
	Men	<b>67.9%</b> 1,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>76.3%</b> 2,000	#
	75+	<b>70.6%</b> 2,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>73.3%</b> 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Shasta County Only

## Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	56,000	3,000	20,000	23,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	35.1%	41.1%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>73.0%</b> 7,000	<b>25.4%</b> 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,623; Couple = \$40,623)	<b>68.8%</b> 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,687; Couple = \$27,688)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,314; Couple = \$30,314)	<b>87.5%</b> 5,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>73.4%</b> 5,000	#
	Men	<b>71.8%</b> 2,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>69.0%</b> 3,000	#
	75+	<b>75.5%</b> 4,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>64.0%</b> 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>95.4%</b> 2,000	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see Clustered Counties for further details.

> For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit:







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Sierra County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

## Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	43,000	3,000	15,000	18,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.3%	34.6%	41.9%

### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta and Siskiyou Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>73.2%</b> 4,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$25,615; Couple = \$35,616)	<b>78.7%</b> 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,423; Couple = \$26,424)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,255; Couple = \$28,256)	<b>85.1%</b> 3,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>76.2%</b> 3,000	#
	Men	<b>67.9%</b> 1,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>76.3%</b> 2,000	#
	75+	<b>70.6%</b> 2,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>73.3%</b> 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Siskiyou County Only
\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup>·</sup> 

## Napa and Solano Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	60,000	5,000	22,000	27,000
Percent of total	100.0%	8.9%	36.9%	45.7%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Napa and Solano Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>75.2%</b> 11,000	<b>44.2%</b> 3,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$34,571; Couple = \$43,345)	<b>68.0%</b> 2,000	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,305; Couple = \$25,079)	<b>46.1%</b> 1,000	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$21,857; Couple = \$30,632)	<b>85.3%</b> 8,000	<b>57.2%</b> 1,000
Gender:	Women	<b>80.8%</b> 8,000	<b>48.5%</b> 1,000
	Men	<b>59.9%</b> 2,000	<b>41.6%</b> 2,000
Age:	65-74	<b>67.6%</b> 5,000	<b>44.1%</b> 2,000
	75+	<b>82.1%</b> 6,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>66.7%</b> 5,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>88.5%</b> 2,000	#
	African American	<b>71.3%</b> 1,000	#
	Asian	<b>89.1%</b> 2,000	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Solano County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# Sonoma County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

		<u> </u>					
	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)			
California							
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000			
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%			
<u>Sonoma</u>							
Number	60,000	3,000	16,000	19,000			
Percent of total	100.0%	4.7%	26.8%	31.5%			

	Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Sonoma County**					
		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)			
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>58.4%</b> 6,000	<b>29.8%</b> 2,000			
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$31,854; Couple = \$40,628)	#	#			
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,085; Couple = \$25,859)	#	#			
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$22,635; Couple = \$31,410)	<b>67.6%</b> 5,000	#			
Gender:	Women	<b>62.7%</b> 4,000	#			
	Men	<b>50.6%</b> 2,000	#			
Age:	65-74	<b>55.0%</b> 3,000	<b>29.1%</b> 1,000			
	75+	<b>61.6%</b> 3,000	#			
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>50.8%</b> 4,000	#			
	Latino (any race)	<b>75.1%</b> 1,000	#			
	African American	#	#			
	Asian	#	#			

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Sonoma County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

# Stanislaus County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Stanislaus</u>				
Number	68,000	5,000	28,000	34,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.6%	42.1%	49.7%

	Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65-	⊦ in Stanislaus County*	*
		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>76.4%</b> 13,000	<b>44.0%</b> 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$28,444; Couple = \$37,074)	<b>83.6%</b> 2,000	<b>44.2%</b> 2,000
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,833; Couple = \$24,464)	<b>38.8%</b> 1,000	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,971; Couple = \$27,601)	<b>83.9%</b> 9,000	<b>76.6%</b> 2,000
Gender:	Women	<b>79.0%</b> 9,000	<b>42.5%</b> 1,000
	Men	<b>70.3%</b> 3,000	<b>44.8%</b> 3,000
Age:	65-74	<b>77.6%</b> 7,000	<b>39.3%</b> 2,000
	75+	<b>75.2%</b> 6,000	<b>52.7%</b> 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>66.0%</b> 6,000	<b>30.3%</b> 2,000
	Latino (any race)	<b>86.7%</b> 5,000	<b>62.9%</b> 2,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	<b>90.4%</b> 2,000	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Stanislaus County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

## Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	56,000	3,000	20,000	23,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	35.1%	41.1%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>73.0%</b> 7,000	<b>25.4%</b> 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$28,132; Couple = \$38,132)	<b>68.8%</b> 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,236; Couple = \$26,237)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,560; Couple = \$28,561)	<b>87.5%</b> 5,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>73.4%</b> 5,000	#
	Men	<b>71.8%</b> 2,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>69.0%</b> 3,000	#
	75+	<b>75.5%</b> 4,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>64.0%</b> 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>95.4%</b> 2,000	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

·

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Sutter County Only
\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

## Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
Clustered Counties				
Number	47,000	2,000	18,000	20,000
Percent of total	100.0%	5.3%	38.0%	43.3%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>57.3%</b> 4,000	<b>55.1%</b> 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$26,969; Couple = \$36,970 )	#	<b>70.5%</b> 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,517; Couple = \$26,518)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,234; Couple = \$28,235)	<b>66.1%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>55.1%</b> 2,000	<b>62.4%</b> 1,000
	Men	<b>61.1%</b> 1,000	<b>50.0%</b> 1,000
Age:	65-74	<b>64.6%</b> 2,000	#
	75+	<b>50.7%</b> 2,000	<b>66.1%</b> 1,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>56.1%</b> 3,000	<b>54.2%</b> 2,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Tehama County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

## Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	47,000	2,000	18,000	20,000
Percent of total	100.0%	5.3%	38.0%	43.3%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama and Trinity Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>57.3%</b> 4,000	<b>55.1%</b> 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$26,969; Couple = \$36,970 )	#	<b>70.5%</b> 1,000
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,517; Couple = \$26,518)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,234; Couple = \$28,235)	<b>66.1%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>55.1%</b> 2,000	<b>62.4%</b> 1,000
	Men	<b>61.1%</b> 1,000	<b>50.0%</b> 1,000
Age:	65-74	<b>64.6%</b> 2,000	#
	75+	<b>50.7%</b> 2,000	<b>66.1%</b> 1,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>56.1%</b> 3,000	<b>54.2%</b> 2,000
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Trinity County Only
\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

## Kings and Tulare Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	46,000	5,000	16,000	21,000
Percent of total	100.0%	11.3%	33.9%	45.2%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Kings and Tulare Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>71.5%</b> 7,000	<b>57.1%</b> 4,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$24,624; Couple = \$33,255 )	<b>66.8%</b> 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,303; Couple = \$23,933)	<b>65.7%</b> 2,000	<b>53.3%</b> 1,000
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,814; Couple = \$26,445)	<b>75.2%</b> 4,000	<b>68.5%</b> 2,000
Gender:	Women	<b>68.4%</b> 5,000	<b>59.2%</b> 1,000
	Men	<b>78.6%</b> 2,000	<b>56.0%</b> 2,000
Age:	65-74	<b>76.0%</b> 4,000	<b>53.0%</b> 2,000
	75+	<b>66.1%</b> 3,000	<b>65.1%</b> 1,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>57.2%</b> 2,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>79.0%</b> 4,000	<b>77.2%</b> 2,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties. Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Tulare County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

## Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	33,000	#	11,000	12,000
Percent of total	100.0%		32.9%	35.8%

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono and Tuolumne Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>65.8%</b> 3,000	#
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$29,585; Couple = \$39,586)	#	#
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,950; Couple = \$28,951)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,044; Couple = \$30,045)	<b>80.2%</b> 2,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>71.6%</b> 2,000	#
	Men	#	#
Age:	65-74	<b>57.0%</b> 1,000	#
	75+	<b>78.0%</b> 1,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>63.3%</b> 2,000	#
	Latino (any race)	#	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Tuolumne County Only
\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

# Ventura County, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<u>Ventura</u>				
Number	81,000	3,000	28,000	31,000
Percent of total	100.0%	3.8%	34.8%	38.6%

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>72.3%</b> 12,000	<b>40.6%</b> 5,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$32,321; Couple = \$39,670 )	<b>77.9%</b> 4,000	<b>33.5%</b> 2,000
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$15,082; Couple = \$22,431)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$23,910; Couple = \$31,259)	<b>81.9%</b> 8,000	<b>80.7%</b> 2,000
Gender:	Women	<b>77.7%</b> 9,000	<b>37.9%</b> 2,000
	Men	<b>60.9%</b> 3,000	<b>42.6%</b> 3,000
Age:	65-74	<b>71.0%</b> 6,000	<b>36.2%</b> 2,000
	75+	<b>73.8%</b> 6,000	<b>47.4%</b> 2,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>61.6%</b> 6,000	<b>33.4%</b> 2,000
	Latino (any race)	<b>86.7%</b> 4,000	<b>44.0%</b> 2,000
	African American	#	#
	Asian	<b>96.0%</b> 1,000	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

#= Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Ventura County Only

<sup>\*\*</sup>Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

## Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	56,000	3,000	20,000	23,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	35.1%	41.1%

## Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>73.0%</b> 7,000	<b>25.4%</b> 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$33,352; Couple = \$42,374)	<b>68.8%</b> 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,217; Couple = \$25,239)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$20,608; Couple = \$29,630)	<b>87.5%</b> 5,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>73.4%</b> 5,000	#
	Men	<b>71.8%</b> 2,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>69.0%</b> 3,000	#
	75+	<b>75.5%</b> 4,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>64.0%</b> 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>95.4%</b> 2,000	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Yolo County Only

## Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties, CA Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Total Above and Below Elder Index	Below Federal Poverty Level (FPL)	Above FPL and Below Elder Index	Total Below Elder Index (Economically Insecure)
California				
Number	3,736,000	295,000	1,465,000	1,760,000
Percent of total	100.0%	7.9%	39.2%	47.1%
<b>Clustered Counties</b>				
Number	56,000	3,000	20,000	23,000
Percent of total	100.0%	6.0%	35.1%	41.1%

#### Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+ in Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba Counties\*\*

		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>73.0%</b> 7,000	<b>25.4%</b> 2,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$28,132; Couple = \$38,132)	<b>68.8%</b> 1,000	#
	Owner without mortgage (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$16,236; Couple = \$26,237)	#	#
	Renter, one bedroom (Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$18,560; Couple = \$28,561)	<b>87.5%</b> 5,000	#
Gender:	Women	<b>73.4%</b> 5,000	#
	Men	<b>71.8%</b> 2,000	#
Age:	65-74	<b>69.0%</b> 3,000	#
	75+	<b>75.5%</b> 4,000	#
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>64.0%</b> 4,000	#
	Latino (any race)	<b>95.4%</b> 2,000	#
	African American	#	#
	Asian	#	#

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

Note: Clustered by U.S. Census Bureau due to small population sizes of individual counties.

Please see <u>Clustered Counties</u> for further details.

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Elder Index Amount for Yuba County Only

## Statewide for California Characteristics of Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index)

## Adults Age 65+, All Living Arrangements (Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

Above FPL **Total Below Federal Total Below Above and Below Elder Index Poverty Level** and **Elder Index** (FPL) **Below Elder Index** (Economically Insecure) **California** 3,736,000 295,000 1,465,000 1,760,000 Number 100.0% 7.9% 39.2% 47.1% Percent of total

	Economically Insecure (Below Elder Index) Adults Age 65+	in Statewide California	**
		Single Elder (living with others)	Couples (living with others)
Total:	% of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index # of all elders in each living arrangement with incomes below the Elder Index	<b>75.7%</b> 683,000	<b>47.6%</b> 213,000
Housing Type:	Owner with mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$30,224; Couple = \$39,686)	<b>75.7%</b> 135,000	<b>38.5%</b> 62,000
	Owner without mortgage ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$17,105; Couple = \$26,567)	<b>45.2%</b> 63,000	<b>22.4%</b> 28,000
	Renter, one bedroom ( Annual Elder Index*: Single = \$21,011; Couple = \$30,472)	<b>83.0%</b> <i>485,000</i>	<b>77.0%</b> 123,000
Gender:	Women	<b>79.1%</b> 503,000	<b>48.5%</b> 82,000
	Men	<b>67.4%</b> 179,000	<b>47.1%</b> 132,000
Age:	65-74	<b>73.7%</b> 322,000	<b>44.0%</b> 132,000
	75+	<b>77.5%</b> 361,000	<b>54.9%</b> 82,000
Race/Ethnicity:	White (non-Latino)	<b>61.0%</b> 243,000	<b>25.3%</b> 46,000
	Latino (any race)	<b>88.7%</b> 223,000	<b>66.3%</b> 89,000
	African American	<b>72.4%</b> <i>4</i> 2,000	<b>36.2%</b> 7,000
	Asian	<b>91.0%</b> 161,000	<b>66.4%</b> <i>67,000</i>

note: numbers may not sum to total due to rounding

\*\*Numbers for single elders and couples only on separate sheet

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







<sup>\*</sup>Average Elder Index Amount for Statewide California

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S. Census 2006 ACS

## Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index) California County Comparison Summary

	SINGLE ELDERS (living with others)			PLES th others)
	Below Elder Index	% Below Elder Index	Below Elder Index	% Below Elder Index
California	683,000	75.7%	213,000	47.6%
Alameda	25,000	76.2%	11,000	53.7%
Alpine, Amador, Calavaras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono,				
Tuolumne	3,000	65.8%	#	35.0%
Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama, Trinity	4,000	57.3%	2,000	55.1%
Contra Costa	20,000	73.3%	5,000	43.6%
Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta, Siskiyou	4,000	73.2%	#	23.8%
El Dorado	2,000	64.8%	#	30.7%
Fresno, Madera	17,000	77.2%	6,000	51.6%
Humboldt	2,000	71.4%	#	59.9%
Imperial	4,000	91.2%	3,000	78.9%
Kern	11,000	71.1%	4,000	42.4%
Kings, Tulare	7,000	71.5%	4,000	57.1%
Lake, Mendocino	3,000	60.8%	1,000	61.4%
Los Angeles				
LA City	82,000	81.5%	23,000	54.4%
LA County (except LA City)	138,000	79.1%	44,000	51.0%
Marin	3,000	49.1%	1,000	52.4%
Merced	4,000	91.8%	3,000	83.4%
Monterey, San Benito	6,000	69.7%	2,000	43.4%
Napa, Solano	11,000	75.2%	3,000	44.2%
Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo, Yuba	7,000	73.0%	2,000	25.4%
Orange	59,000	77.8%	16,000	40.8%
Placer	5,000	69.7%	#	17.7%
Riverside	35,000	72.0%	8,000	41.6%
Sacramento	23,000	69.0%	8,000	47.2%
San Bernardino	33,000	74.9%	13,000	52.3%
San Diego	48,000	72.4%	14,000	44.6%
San Francisco	24,000	79.6%	5,000	47.0%
San Joaquin	11,000	72.9%	4,000	47.1%
San Luis Obispo	3,000	69.9%	#	36.0%
San Mateo	14,000	73.3%	5,000	40.3%
Santa Barbara	4,000	57.7%	2,000	55.8%
Santa Clara	38,000	80.4%	10,000	50.3%
Santa Cruz	4,000	71.3%	#	20.3%
Sonoma	6,000	58.4%	2,000	29.8%
Stanislaus	13,000	76.4%	4,000	44.0%
Ventura	12,000	72.3%	5,000	40.6%

<sup># =</sup> Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates Numbers for individuals and couples only on separate sheet

Data from U.S.Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







# Adults Age 65+ with Incomes Above the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) and Below the 2007 Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index (Elder Index) California County Comparison Summary, All Living Arrangements

(Includes: living alone, couples; single elder and couples living with others)

	Below FPL	% Below FPL	Below Elder Index	% Below Elder Index	Above FPL & Below Elder Index	% Above FPL & Below Elder Index
California	295,000	7.9%	1,760,000	47.1%	1,465,000	39.2%
Alameda	10,000	6.8%	71,000	49.8%	62,000	42.9%
Alpine, Amador, Calavaras, Inyo,			(			
Mariposa, Mono, and Tuolumne	#	2.9%	12,000	35.8%	11,000	32.9%
Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Tehama, and						
Trinity	2,000	5.3%	20,000	43.3%	18,000	38.0%
Contra Costa	6.000	5.4%	48.000	41.5%	42.000	36.1%
Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou,						
and Shasta	3,000	7.3%	18,000	41.9%	15.000	34.6%
El Dorado	1,000	7.4%	7,000	33.0%	5,000	25.6%
Fresno and Madera	10,000	10.4%	46,000	47.5%	36,000	37.1%
Humboldt	1,000	7.1%	6,000	35.6%	5,000	28.5%
Imperial	2,000	13.5%	11.000	69.0%	9,000	55.6%
Kern	7,000	9.9%	28,000	42.5%	22,000	32.6%
Kings and Tulare	5,000	11.3%	21,000	45.2%	16,000	33.9%
Lake and Mendocino	1,000	6.4%	10,000	51.0%	9,000	44.7%
Los Angeles	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0.170	10,000	01.070		
LA City	40.000	11.4%	204.000	58.4%	164,000	47.0%
LA County (excluding LA City)	52,000	9.0%	312,000	54.2%	260,000	45.2%
Marin	2.000	4.3%	9.000	25.3%	7,000	21.0%
Merced	3,000	13.8%	13,000	60.1%	10,000	46.3%
Monterey and San Benito	3,000	7.8%	19,000	43.1%	15,000	35.3%
Napa and Solano	5,000	8.9%	27,000	45.7%	22,000	36.9%
Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Yuba,	5,000	0.070	27,000	40.170	22,000	30.370
and Yolo	3,000	6.0%	23,000	41.1%	20,000	35.1%
Orange	19,000	6.0%	137.000	43.7%	118.000	37.6%
Placer	1,000	3.1%	16.000	35.9%	15,000	32.8%
Riverside	17,000	7.9%	92.000	42.2%	75,000	34.3%
Sacramento	12.000	8.3%	60.000	41.9%	48.000	34.5%
San Bernardino	13.000	8.1%	80.000	50.9%	67.000	42.8%
San Diego	24,000	7.7%	131,000	41.8%	107,000	34.1%
San Francisco	10,000	9.3%	65.000	61.3%	55,000	52.0%
	4.000	6.8%	28,000	46.0%	24,000	39.2%
San Joaquin	4,000		(	38.0%	9,000	39.2% 27.1%
San Luis Obispo		10.8%	13,000			
San Mateo	6,000	7.2%	38,000	43.0%	31,000	35.8%
Santa Barbara	2,000	5.1%	19,000	38.8% 48.4%	16,000	33.6%
Santa Clara	11,000	6.3%	82,000		72,000	42.1%
Santa Cruz	2,000	7.0%	11,000	41.6%	9,000	34.5%
Sonoma	3,000	4.7%	19,000	31.5%	16,000	26.8%
Stanislaus	5,000	7.6%	34,000	49.7%	28,000	42.1%
Ventura	3,000	3.8%	31,000	38.6%	28,000	34.8%

# = Number is below 1,000, too small to calculate reliable estimates

Data from U.S.Census 2006 ACS

For more information about the Elder Economic Security Initiative visit: <u>http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html</u>

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index09feb.html







## Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index, 2007 County Comparison Summary Annual Elder Index Total Cost for Renters

#### **Individual Renter in Good Health**

	Elder		Elder
County	Index	County	Index
Alameda	\$ 25,153	Nevada	\$20,842
Alpine	\$19,840	Orange	\$ 25,466
Amador	\$ 20,716	Placer	\$21,727
Butte	\$19,512	Plumas	\$19,162
Calaveras	\$ 19,696	Riverside	\$20,703
Colusa	\$ 18,726	Sacramento	\$21,517
Contra Costa	\$ 25,353	San Benito	\$22,678
Del Norte	\$ 18,627	San Bernardino	\$ 20,591
El Dorado	\$ 21,151	San Diego	\$22,822
Fresno	\$ 18,870	San Francisco	\$ 27,435
Glenn	\$ 17,934	San Joaquin	\$19,913
Humboldt	\$ 19,176	San Luis Obispo	\$22,380
Imperial	\$ 19,891	San Mateo	\$ 27,550
Inyo	\$ 18,748	Santa Barbara	\$ 24,566
Kern	\$ 17,789	Santa Clara	\$ 25,391
Kings	\$19,112	Santa Cruz	\$ 25,492
Lake	\$ 19,436	Shasta	\$19,298
Lassen	\$ 18,843	Sierra	\$ 20,314
Los Angeles		Siskiyou	\$18,255
City of LA	\$ 22,798	Solano	\$ 21,857
Rest of LA	\$ 22,827	Sonoma	\$ 22,635
Madera	\$ 17,964	Stanislaus	\$ 18,971
Marin	\$ 26,581	Sutter	\$18,560
Mariposa	\$ 19,840	Tehama	\$18,234
Mendocino	\$ 20,220	Trinity	\$18,234
Merced	\$ 18,952	Tulare	\$17,814
Modoc	\$ 18,423	Tuolumne	\$ 20,044
Mono	\$ 21,652	Ventura	\$23,910
Monterey	\$ 24,178	Yolo	\$ 20,608
Napa	\$ 22,086	Yuba	\$ 18,560

Average \$ 21,011 Median \$ 20,220 High \$ 27,550 Low \$ 17,789

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index08feb.html

### **Couple Renter in Good Health**

	Elder		Elder
County	Index	County	Index
Alameda	\$ 34,788	Nevada	\$30,842
Alpine	\$ 29,841	Orange	\$33,310
Amador	\$ 30,717	Placer	\$30,749
Butte	\$ 29,513	Plumas	\$29,162
Calaveras	\$ 29,697	Riverside	\$28,729
Colusa	\$ 28,727	Sacramento	\$30,538
Contra Costa	\$ 34,988	San Benito	\$32,679
Del Norte	\$ 28,628	San Bernardino	\$28,617
El Dorado	\$ 29,781	San Diego	\$31,057
Fresno	\$ 27,735	San Francisco	\$37,148
Glenn	\$ 27,935	San Joaquin	\$28,674
Humboldt	\$ 29,177	San Luis Obispo	\$32,749
Imperial	\$ 30,632	San Mateo	\$37,263
Inyo	\$ 28,749	Santa Barbara	\$34,935
Kern	\$ 26,471	Santa Clara	\$35,104
Kings	\$ 29,113	Santa Cruz	\$35,493
Lake	\$ 29,805	Shasta	\$29,299
Lassen	\$ 28,844	Sierra	\$30,314
Los Angeles		Siskiyou	\$28,256
City of LA	\$ 30,538	Solano	\$30,632
Rest of LA	\$ 30,567	Sonoma	\$31,410
Madera	\$ 26,595	Stanislaus	\$27,601
Marin	\$ 35,355	Sutter	\$ 28,561
Mariposa	\$ 29,841	Tehama	\$ 28,235
Mendocino	\$ 30,221	Trinity	\$ 28,235
Merced	\$ 28,953	Tulare	\$ 26,445
Modoc	\$ 28,424	Tuolumne	\$30,045
Mono	\$ 31,653	Ventura	\$31,259
Monterey	\$ 34,179	Yolo	\$29,630
Napa	\$ 30,861	Yuba	\$ 28,561
Average	\$ 30,472	return	to index page
Median	\$ 29,841	Ictum	to mack page
High	\$ 37,263		

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative  $^{\intercal M}$  program visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html

\$ 26,445





Low



## Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index, 2007 **County Comparison Summary Annual Elder Index Total Cost for Homeowner without Mortgage**

#### Individual Homeowner w/o Mortgage in Good Health

	Elder		Elder
County	Index	County	Index
Alameda	\$ 17,602	Nevada	\$17,687
Alpine	\$ 18,950	Orange	\$15,589
Amador	\$ 18,950	Placer	\$17,965
Butte	\$ 16,766	Plumas	\$17,687
Calaveras	\$ 18,950	Riverside	\$15,571
Colusa	\$ 16,517	Sacramento	\$16,701
Contra Costa	\$ 18,804	San Benito	\$17,328
Del Norte	\$ 16,423	San Bernardino	\$14,901
El Dorado	\$ 16,816	San Diego	\$15,678
Fresno	\$ 16,001	San Francisco	\$17,586
Glenn	\$ 16,517	San Joaquin	\$16,034
Humboldt	\$ 16,985	San Luis Obispo	\$17,587
Imperial	\$ 17,569	San Mateo	\$18,272
Inyo	\$ 18,950	Santa Barbara	\$18,320
Kern	\$ 15,848	Santa Clara	\$17,632
Kings	\$ 17,032	Santa Cruz	\$19,528
Lake	\$ 17,135	Shasta	\$17,141
Lassen	\$ 16,423	Sierra	\$17,687
Los Angeles		Siskiyou	\$16,423
City of LA	\$ 16,184	Solano	\$16,305
Rest of LA	\$ 16,355	Sonoma	\$17,085
Madera	\$ 17,424	Stanislaus	\$15,833
Marin	\$ 18,005	Sutter	\$16,236
Mariposa	\$ 18,950	Tehama	\$16,517
Mendocino	\$ 16,766	Trinity	\$16,517
Merced	\$ 16,361	Tulare	\$15,303
Modoc	\$16,423	Tuolumne	\$18,950
Mono	\$ 18,950	Ventura	\$15,082
Monterey	\$17,328	Yolo	\$16,217
Napa	\$18,614	Yuba	\$16,236
			, ,
Average	\$ 17,105		
Median High	\$ 16,985 \$ 19,528		
1 11911	Ψ 13,320		

High \$ 14,901 Low

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index08feb.html

#### Couple Homeowner w/o Mortgage in Good Health

	Elder		Elder
County	Index	County	Index
Alameda	\$ 27,237	Nevada	\$27,688
Alpine	\$ 28,951	Orange	\$23,434
Amador	\$ 28,951	Placer	\$26,986
Butte	\$ 26,767	Plumas	\$ 27,688
Calaveras	\$ 28,951	Riverside	\$23,597
Colusa	\$ 26,518	Sacramento	\$ 25,723
Contra Costa	\$ 28,438	San Benito	\$27,329
Del Norte	\$ 26,424	San Bernardino	\$22,926
El Dorado	\$ 25,447	San Diego	\$23,912
Fresno	\$ 24,866	San Francisco	\$27,299
Glenn	\$ 26,518	San Joaquin	\$24,795
Humboldt	\$ 26,986	San Luis Obispo	\$ 27,956
Imperial	\$ 28,310	San Mateo	\$ 27,985
Inyo	\$ 28,951	Santa Barbara	\$ 28,689
Kern	\$ 24,531	Santa Clara	\$27,345
Kings	\$ 27,032	Santa Cruz	\$ 29,529
Lake	\$ 27,504	Shasta	\$ 27,142
Lassen	\$ 26,424	Sierra	\$27,688
Los Angeles		Siskiyou	\$26,424
City of LA	\$ 23,924	Solano	\$25,079
Rest of LA	\$ 24,095	Sonoma	\$ 25,859
Madera	\$ 26,055	Stanislaus	\$ 24,464
Marin	\$ 26,780	Sutter	\$ 26,237
Mariposa	\$ 28,951	Tehama	\$26,518
Mendocino	\$ 26,767	Trinity	\$26,518
Merced	\$ 26,362	Tulare	\$ 23,933
Modoc	\$ 26,424	Tuolumne	\$ 28,951
Mono	\$ 28,951	Ventura	\$ 22,431
Monterey	\$ 27,329	Yolo	\$ 25,239
Napa	\$ 27,388	Yuba	\$ 26,237
Average Median High Low	\$ 26,567 \$ 26,767 \$ 29,529 \$ 22,431		to index page

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative™ program visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html







## Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index, 2007 County Comparison Summary Annual Elder Index Total Cost for Homeowner with Mortgage

### Individual Homeowner with Mortgage in Good Health

	Elder	iiii iiiortgago iii Good		Elder
County	Index	County	Index	
Alameda	\$ 35,569	Nevada	\$	30,623
Alpine	\$ 29,585	Orange	\$	33,582
Amador	\$ 29,585	Placer	\$	35,321
Butte	\$ 26,582	Plumas	\$	30,623
Calaveras	\$ 29,585	Riverside	\$	28,858
Colusa	\$ 26,969	Sacramento	\$	27,752
Contra Costa	\$ 34,470	San Benito	\$	34,463
Del Norte	\$ 25,615	San Bernardino	\$	27,459
El Dorado	\$ 31,091	San Diego	\$	30,368
Fresno	\$ 25,661	San Francisco	\$	37,893
Glenn	\$ 26,969	San Joaquin	\$	29,568
Humboldt	\$ 25,955	San Luis Obispo	\$	30,588
Imperial	\$ 24,551	San Mateo	\$	42,987
Inyo	\$ 29,585	Santa Barbara	\$	33,401
Kern	\$ 24,142	Santa Clara	\$	37,641
Kings	\$ 27,042	Santa Cruz	\$	38,080
Lake	\$ 30,226	Shasta	\$	24,460
Lassen	\$ 25,615	Sierra	\$	30,623
Los Angeles		Siskiyou	\$	25,615
City of LA	\$ 33,358	Solano	\$	34,571
Rest of LA	\$ 30,591	Sonoma	\$	31,854
Madera	\$ 25,342	Stanislaus	\$	28,444
Marin	\$ 39,573	Sutter	\$	28,132
Mariposa	\$ 29,585	Tehama	\$	26,969
Mendocino	\$ 29,858	Trinity	\$	26,969
Merced	\$ 25,682	Tulare	\$	24,624
Modoc	\$ 25,615	Tuolumne	\$	29,585
Mono	\$ 29,585	Ventura	\$	32,321
Monterey	\$ 34,463	Yolo	\$	33,352
Napa	\$ 35,918	Yuba	\$	28,132
Average Median High Low	\$ 30,224 \$ 29,585 \$ 42,987 \$ 24,142			

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index08feb.html

### **Couple Homeowner with Mortgage in Good Health**

	Elder		Elder
County	Index	County	Index
Alameda	\$ 45,204	Nevada	\$ 40,623
Alpine	\$ 39,586	Orange	\$ 41,427
Amador	\$ 39,586	Placer	\$ 44,342
Butte	\$ 36,583	Plumas	\$ 40,623
Calaveras	\$ 39,586	Riverside	\$ 36,884
Colusa	\$ 36,970	Sacramento	\$ 36,773
Contra Costa	\$ 44,104	San Benito	\$ 44,464
Del Norte	\$ 35,616	San Bernardino	\$ 35,485
El Dorado	\$ 39,721	San Diego	\$ 38,603
Fresno	\$ 34,526	San Francisco	\$ 47,606
Glenn	\$ 36,970	San Joaquin	\$ 38,329
Humboldt	\$ 35,956	San Luis Obispo	\$ 40,957
Imperial	\$ 35,292	San Mateo	\$ 52,700
Inyo	\$ 39,586	Santa Barbara	\$ 43,770
Kern	\$ 32,825	Santa Clara	\$ 47,354
Kings	\$ 37,043	Santa Cruz	\$ 48,081
Lake	\$ 40,595	Shasta	\$ 34,461
Lassen	\$ 35,616	Sierra	\$ 40,623
Los Angeles		Siskiyou	\$ 35,616
City of LA	\$ 41,098	Solano	\$ 43,345
Rest of LA	\$ 38,331	Sonoma	\$ 40,628
Madera	\$ 33,972	Stanislaus	\$ 37,074
Marin	\$ 48,348	Sutter	\$ 38,132
Mariposa	\$ 39,586	Tehama	\$ 36,970
Mendocino	\$ 39,859	Trinity	\$ 36,970
Merced	\$ 35,683	Tulare	\$ 33,255
Modoc	\$ 35,616	Tuolumne	\$ 39,586
Mono	\$ 39,586	Ventura	\$ 39,670
Monterey	\$ 44,464	Yolo	\$ 42,374
Napa	\$ 44,692	Yuba	\$ 38,132
Average Median High Low	\$ 39,686 \$ 39,586 \$ 52,700 \$ 32,825	<u>return</u>	to index page

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative<sup>™</sup> program visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html







## Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index Average Annual Social Security Payment for Single Elder\* by County, 2007

County	Average Annual Payment 2005	Adjusted by COLAS to 2007	
Alameda	\$11,755.89	\$12,641.74	
Alpine	\$11,755.89	\$12,006.55	
Amador	\$11,742.06	\$12,626.86	
Butte	\$11,742.08	\$12,158.02	
Calaveras	\$11,816.13		
		\$12,706.51	
Colusa	\$11,315.94	\$12,168.63	
Contra Costa	\$12,556.76	\$13,502.95	
Del Norte	\$10,904.96	\$11,726.68	
El Dorado	\$11,712.83	\$12,595.43	
Fresno	\$10,944.90	\$11,769.63	
Glenn	\$10,836.36	\$11,652.92	
Humboldt	\$11,522.06	\$12,390.28	
Imperial	\$9,239.19	\$9,935.39	
Inyo	\$11,017.48	\$11,847.68	
Kern	\$11,107.09	\$11,944.04	
Kings	\$10,563.07	\$11,359.03	
Lake	\$11,222.45	\$12,068.09	
Lassen	\$10,238.57	\$11,010.08	
Los Angeles	\$11,661.48	\$12,540.21	
city	N/A	N/A	
county	N/A	N/A	
Madera	\$11,020.65	\$11,851.09	
Marin	\$13,280.77	\$14,281.52	
Mariposa	\$11,041.60	\$11,873.61	
Mendocino	\$11,286.40	\$12,136.86	
Merced	\$10,456.38	\$11,244.30	
Modoc	\$10,576.40	\$11,373.36	
Mono	\$11,366.87	\$12,223.40	
Monterey	\$11,252.51	\$12,100.42	
Napa	\$11,612.74	\$12,487.80	

County	Average Annual Payment 2005	Adjusted by COLAS to 2007		
Nevada	\$11,781.07	\$12,668.80		
Orange	\$12,541.98	\$13,487.05		
Placer	\$11,981.28	\$12,884.10		
Plumas	\$11,229.42	\$12,075.59		
Riverside	\$11,798.28	\$12,687.31		
Sacramento	\$11,219.75	\$12,065.19		
San Benito	\$11,314.70	\$12,167.29		
Bernardino	\$11,195.42	\$12,039.03		
San Diego	\$11,395.90	\$12,254.61		
San Francisco	\$11,322.76	\$12,175.97		
San Joaquin	\$11,253.28	\$12,101.24		
Obispo	\$11,666.77	\$12,545.89		
San Mateo	\$12,772.13	\$13,734.54		
Santa Barbara	\$11,877.22	\$12,772.20		
Santa Clara	\$12,520.18	\$13,463.62		
Santa Cruz	\$11,614.58	\$12,489.78		
Shasta	\$11,365.43	\$12,221.85		
Sierra	\$11,229.36	\$12,075.52		
Siskiyou	\$10,846.39	\$11,663.70		
Solano	\$10,927.16	\$11,750.55		
Sonoma	\$11,975.41	\$12,877.79		
Stanislaus	\$11,230.59	\$12,076.85		
Sutter	\$10,834.88	\$11,651.32		
Tehama	\$11,120.68	\$11,958.66		
Trinity	\$10,974.55	\$11,801.51		
Tulare	\$10,462.88	\$11,251.29		
Tuolumne	\$11,718.01	\$12,600.99		
Ventura	\$11,939.30	\$12,838.96		
Yolo	\$11,398.60	\$12,257.52		
Yuba	\$10,586.27	\$11,383.98		
California	\$11,688.22	\$12,568.96		

SOURCE: Social Security Administration, Office of Policy OASDI Beneficiaries by State and County, 2005. http://www.socialsecurity.gov/policy/docs/statcomps/oasdi\_sc/2005/

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit:

http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index08feb.html

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative  $^{\text{TM}}$  program visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/index.php?page=california-eldereconomic-security-initiative







<sup>\*</sup> Amount for couples estimated at 1.68 times the single amount.

## Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index Adjustments Used to Inflate Historic Costs

Medical care 1.138

CPI-West 7/04 - 6/07 (Series ID : CUUR0400SAM)

July 2004= 310.4 June 2007= 353.37

Housing 1.083

CPI-West 7/05 - 6/07 (Series ID : CUUR0400SAH)

July 2004= 204.2 June 2007: 221.23

Social Security COLA 1.075

2005= 4.1% 2006= 3.3%

CPI Source: http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/dsrv?cu

Social Security: http://www.ssa.gov/OACT/COLA/colaseries.html

For complete report, methodology, or other counties visit: http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index08feb.html

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative™ Program visit:

http://www.insightcced.org/index.php?page=california-elder-economic-security-initiative







## Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index

## Housing Type for Older Adults in California by County and Income

	All persons age 65 and over*		Persons age 65 and over with incomes under 200% of federal poverty level*			
	% Owner	% Owner	% Renting	Owner	% Owner	% Renting
	without	with	70 Iteming	without	with	70 Iteming
	Mortgage	Mortgage		Mortgage	Mortgage	
California	43%	34%	21%	38%	27%	33%
Alameda	41%	35%	22%	35%	26%	37%
Alpine	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	7%
Amador	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	7%
Butte	58%	27%	13%	55%	22%	21%
Calaveras	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	7%
Colusa (2)	59%	23%	13%	59%	21%	15%
Contra Costa	47%	38%	14%	43%	30%	26%
Del Norte (1)	54%	31%	12%	54%	26%	18%
El Dorado	57%	33%	9%	54%	28%	18%
Fresno	46%	31%	20%	41%	28%	28%
Glenn (2)	59%	23%	13%	59%	21%	15%
Humboldt	70%	19%	10%	64%	17%	17%
Imperial	41%	23%	34%	33%	20%	45%
Inyo	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	7%
Kern	47%	34%	17%	45%	30%	23%
Kings	47%	31%	21%	53%	23%	23%
Lake (7)	65%	18%	15%	66%	13%	17%
Lassen (1)	54%	31%	12%	54%	26%	18%
Los Angeles City	32%	32%	35%	22%	23%	53%
LA County,						
balance	39%	34%	25%	32%	27%	38%
Madera	58%	28%	13%	43%	26%	29%
Marin	45%	38%	15%	47%	22%	29%
Mariposa	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	7%
Mendocino (7)	65%	18%	15%	66%	13%	17%
Merced	47%	29%	18%	41%	26%	25%
Modoc (1)	54%	31%	12%	54%	26%	18%
Mono	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	7%
Monterey (5)	48%	35%	15%	42%	28%	27%
Napa	59%	29%	11%	63%	20%	17%
Nevada (3)	57%	33%	8%	56%	25%	17%
Orange	42%	37%	19%	36%	29%	33%
Placer	43%	41%	14%	41%	36%	19%
Plumas (3)	57%	33%	8%	56%	25%	17%
Riverside	47%	38%	14%	43%	34%	22%
Sacramento	45%	36%	17%	39%	27%	31%
San Benito (5)	48%	35%	15%	42%	28%	27%
San Bernardino	43%	40%	16%	47%	29%	23%
San Diego	43%	35%	21%	38%	28%	33%
San Francisco	35%	22%	42%	24%	13%	60%
San Joaquin	42%	35%	21%	36%	32%	29%

	All persons age 65 and over*		Persons age 65 and over with incomes under 200% of federal poverty level*			
	% Owner	% Owner	% Renting	Owner	% Owner	% Renting
	without	with		without	with	
	Mortgage	Mortgage		Mortgage	Mortgage	
San Luis Obispo	49%	36%	11%	45%	31%	17%
San Mateo	45%	35%	19%	36%	27%	34%
Santa Barbara	52%	31%	15%	48%	29%	21%
Santa Clara	43%	35%	20%	37%	28%	33%
Santa Cruz	58%	27%	13%	55%	21%	20%
Shasta	53%	29%	16%	48%	29%	18%
Sierra (3)	57%	33%	8%	56%	25%	17%
Siskiyou (1)	54%	31%	12%	54%	26%	18%
Solano	41%	42%	16%	44%	29%	26%
Sonoma	45%	34%	19%	43%	23%	32%
Stanislaus	46%	31%	21%	36%	33%	27%
Sutter (4)	53%	26%	19%	44%	27%	27%
Tehama (2)	59%	23%	13%	59%	21%	15%
Trinity (2)	59%	23%	13%	59%	21%	15%
Tulare	44%	34%	18%	37%	32%	28%
Tuolumne	62%	31%	5%	64%	25%	7%
Ventura	42%	41%	16%	44%	25%	29%
Yolo	51%	30%	19%	53%	16%	30%
Yuba (4)	53%	26%	19%	44%	27%	27%

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2005 American Community Survey (ACS)

Note: The following counties were combined in the U.S. Census ACS data

- (1) Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou
- (2) Colusa, Glenn, Tehama, Trinity
- (3) Nevada, Plumas, Sierra
- (4) Sutter, Yuba
- (5) Monterey, San Benito
- (6) Alpine, Amador, Calvaeras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono, Tuolumne
- (7) Mendocino, Lake

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit:

http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder\_index08feb.html

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative™ program visit:

 $\underline{\text{http://www.insightcced.org/index.php?page=california-elder-economic-security-initiative}}$ 







<sup>\*</sup> County totals do not equal 100% because some elders report "other" housing arrangements.